

[REDACTED]

From: Carolyn Pannell [REDACTED]

Sent: 11 November 2014 15:08

To: Anita Gardner
[REDACTED]

Subject: RE: EP/149/14/PL - Rep Letter from Mr Lee of 33 Orchard Road, East Preston

Dear Mrs Gardner,

This is the gentleman who I have been advised has been knocking on all the doors of Orchard Road and Windlesham Gardens, which before we had no documentary evidence until now to prove this was the case, but in his letter he states and confirms. **"I have spoken to the people in the old people's bungalows."** The late Mr Shepherd who wrote a supporting letter for the new build in the original Appeal, who lived in the first dwelling next to no. 37 had previously advised me of this, but until now we could not prove this to be so.

He has been advising that the **HOUSE** is going to be taller than no. 37.
Hence many of the objection letters **STILL** refer to the new proposal being taller than no. 37 and overbearing. It was the same person who advised the neighbours of this incorrect fact previously!

This is totally unfair and making residents of Orchard Road and Windlesham Gardens biased and **WRONGLY** informed. Thus pursuing a **PRIVATE vendetta** against myself. **But Aun Planning will now understand the true situation of what has been happening, thanks to this letter submitted as an objection.**

Although in this letter he has now had to admit that some of the issues have been addressed. We would argue and point out that they have **ALL** now been addressed. Also in his letter he persists in calling the new build a **HOUSE**, when in fact it is a Chalet Bungalow that is the **SAME HEIGHT** as number 37. So, once again highlights the points he is raising are **INCORRECT** and **NOT VALID**.

He trips over once again with his false statements by saying, **"a HOUSE is being built on a plot of land only large enough for a double garage."** Then contradicts himself later to advise **"there can be as many as SEVEN vehicles parked in No.37's driveway, PLUS a caravan"**

So, which are you to believe in the contents of Mr Lee's letter. We would hope the statement about the **ACTUAL** available **AREA** to build on which can hold **FIVE CARS (not seven), ONE CARAVAN, A DOUBLE GARAGE** and of

course **DO NOT** forget the area currently where a large kitchen is situated will also be used. Therefore the area is large, not small.

Who would Arun believe the Inspectorate who confirmed in point 12, ***"I am satisfied that there would be enough private garden space for the occupiers of the proposed dwelling."*** Or this gentleman's contradictory comments?

With regard to the comments about the drains I have lived at no. 37 for 11 years and neither this gentleman or his neighbour have ever knocked on my door to advise of any problems about the sewage drains and believe me he soon would. I have also contact Southern Water on 30th October asking them to advise me of any issues that they are aware of relating to this and as yet they have not reported any to myself. His comments are **INVALID**.

As for the Fire Brigade there was an Fire Engine down this road THIS year and it drove straight pass my house to the small dwellings, without any issue.! The street scenes already provided show the situation down the Road, The same can be seen from Google Earth. Once again this gentleman is exaggerating and not reflecting the truth. His comments are **INVALID**.

He is also now chosing to add comments on behalf of other residents which is totally **INVALID**, but at least now I and Arun Council have the proof and can see who has been the instigator of the objection letters with so many **duplicating statements** and **INCORRECT** information within them.

We are glad he has written this letter for he has now been found out by his owm admissions.

This letter should be disregarded for all of the above reasons.

Regards, CAROLYN PANNELL