

From: Carolyn Pannell [REDACTED]
Sent: 10 November 2014 18:20
To: Anita Gardner [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: EP/149/14/PL REP Letter from Mrs Moody of Windlesham Gardens

Dear Mrs Gardner,

Once again this resident from Windlesham Gardens has misread the plans about **HEIGHT** size of the property. The plans **CLEARLY** states that the new dwelling is the **SAME** height as the **existing BUNGALOW**. Therefore, making her comments **INVALID** due to her mis-interpretation of the plans.

The proposed site of the new build is not limited to Character Area 2 as per the Inspectorates Appeal Report Points:-
Point 3. Inspectorate Report- "The site is located in a residential area with a mix of detached and semi-detached Bungalows, as well as 2 bed houses and flats."

Point 10. Inspectorate Report. "I have noted the appeal site is close to the boundaries of Character Areas 2 and 4 which have slightly different criteria for new development."

As the new proposal also mimics the appearance of no. 37, surrounding dwellings in the AREA, points verified by the Inspectorate and is the same height how can it be deem contrary? These points raised by Mrs Moody are therefore, **INVALID**.

To support the normal parking street scene outside no. 37 I will attach Day and night scenes, or you can refer to **GOOGLE EARTH**. This shows the clear road outside no. 37, 35 33 etc . Although as already pointed out our vehicles will not cause congestion on the road, as we will still have enough parking space. Thus this is another **INVALID** comment made by Mrs Moody.

Mrs Moody's comment about the new proposal "differing very little" is **completely INVALID**, as the **two proposals are completely different in ALL respects, as you can see from both plans, plus ALL the previous points raised by the Inspectorate and Arun Council have been addressed IN FULL**. The dwelling now looks like it's surrounding dwellings of Orchard Road and as seen in the rear gardens of one properties of Windlesham Gardens - see below.
The new design has mimicked this design.

The East Preston Village Design Statement says:- ***“New Builds should be Compatible with,, but NOT necessarily the SAME, as the existing buildings in the ROAD”***. Point 10 of Inspectorates report confirms this. Point 9. Inspectorate Appeal Report states. ***“On my visit I noted that there are other examples of dormers within the wider area including rear dormers to the properties at Windlesham Gardens”***. Thus confirming the picture as seen here below is a true image and a VALID image. Instead of the INVALID, unfounded and incorrect comments provided by Mrs Moody in her objections.



Privacy to the Gardens of Windlesham Gardens has already been addressed and verified with photographs provided. Thus showing **TRUE FACTS**, not **INVALID comments**.

I am sorry to see yet another resident being confused about the height of the proposed new build as the **PLANS CLEARLY** show it to be the **SAME**. Thus making **ALL** of Mrs Moody's points **INVALID** and can proved as **incorrect**.

Regards, CAROLYN







