

From: Carolyn Pannell [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 November 2014 22:13
To: Anita Gardner
[REDACTED]

Subject: RE: EP/149/14/PL

Dear Mrs Gardner,

As of and including the 5th November 2014 we can see that only two people have raised unfounded objections in rep letters, as per our responses. Mr R Charman of 1 Hillview Crescent, East Preston and M/S Mantle of 27 Orchard Road, East Preston.

Also a Letter from East Preston Parish Council whose objections are flawed, as per my response to this.

There have been no rep letters from the dwellings next to number 37 on the same side heading towards Lashmar Road, who would be affected the most. I cannot see any as yet from the residents of Windlesham Gardens to the rear, but would point out that these dwellings are all New Builds in residential gardens themselves. Where previously there had been objection letters submitted. Or as yet from my immediate neighbours directly opposite, diagonally or from the residents of numbers 35 and 33 Orchard Road, East Preston.

Previously there had been objections from:-

Mrs B E Kent- 32 Orchard Road, East Preston.

Mr Henry & Jean Jorgenson- 35 Orchard Road, East Preston.

Jennifer Gillam-29 Orchard Road, East Preston.

Mr R A Haffenden 5 Windlesham Gardens, East Preston.

Mr John Anthony Lee, 33 Orchard Road, East Preston.

Mrs Barbara Moody:- 2 Windlesham Gardens, East Preston.

Mr & Mrs Cable 42 Orchard Road, East Preston.

Mr Raymond Charman, 1 Hillview Crescent, East Preston. RE- Objected but unfounded.

Mrs H Leadbeatter, 5 Hillview Crescent, East Preston.

Mr K D Wood 3 Windlesham Gardens, East Preston.

Mr Tim Fallowfield-Cooper, 52 Orchard Road, East Preston.

Mrs MJH Mantle, 27 Orchard Road, East Preston. RE- Objected but unfounded.

Mr K Laker, 4 Windlesham Gardens, East Preston.

Miss K I Gorman, 31 Orchard Road, East Preston

And the East Preston and Kingston Preservation Society.

The minutes of the East Preston Parish meeting says NO PUBLIC REPRESENTATIONS HAD BEEN RECEIVED ABOUT THIS APPLICATION, paragraph 2.

Clearly all these people now think the new design has addressed all previous issues they had previously raised, unless they are received on the 6th. That they now agree that the dwelling design is in Character with its surrounding dwellings and not un-neighbourly, as the East Preston Parish Council MAY BE claiming.

Yours sincerely,

MRS CAROLYN PANNELL