

From: Amanda Scholes on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 24 November 2020 10:26
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: Application_Ref_No=EP/124/20/HH

Amanda Scholes | Receptionist, Department, [Arun District Council](#) | Location: Ground Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF

Internal: 37736 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737500 ext 37736 | E-mail: amanda.scholes@arun.gov.uk



Visit Arun's web site at [Error! Hyperlink reference not valid.](#)



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Andrew Wood <Andrew.Wood@arun.gov.uk>
Sent: 24 November 2020 07:16
To: Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: Application_Ref_No=EP/124/20/HH

Neighbour response

Andrew Wood MRTPI
Senior Planning Officer, Planning Department

T: 01903 737768

E: andrew.wood@arun.gov.uk



Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF
www.arun.gov.uk

Sign up to our newsletter [here](#)



From: David Uncles [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 November 2020 22:43
To: Andrew Wood <Andrew.Wood@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: Application_Ref_No=EP/124/20/HH

Please click on the link or cut and paste the link in a new browser window.

<https://www1.arun.gov.uk/PublicViewer/Index.aspx?emailUrl=90BE8FF412C808986CAD110EF4C18EB4CDD6874BB6E2050EC041CF1B9D5B89F9>

Dear Mr Wood

OBJECTION

My philosophy in life is to promote self-expression and individuality to its fullest extent with the proviso that the amenity and enjoyment of others is not unnecessarily or unduly compromised.

I have studied the proposed development incorporated in Application reference EP/124/20/HH submitted in conjunction with a supposedly reputable local architect. This is very disappointing. The result to me is of a proposal that is audacious and reckless in equal measure.

- The proposal unquestionably adversely affects the amenity of the householders immediately to the North of the development.

- The existing building line has been totally disregarded.

- The proposed structure virtually abuts the Northern and Eastern boundaries of the applicant's property. In this position a garage of the dimensions proposed would be disproportionate and both out of place and out of keeping with every other property in Upper Drive.

- *The proposal states, as per the relevant highlighted box on the application, that no trimming or removal of trees or hedgerows will be required to accommodate the development but fails to acknowledge that this exercise has already been completed in the recent past.

- * By way of offering a more viable alternative, it would appear the property benefits from a generously sized rear garden. According to the applicant's architect's own drawings there is a gap of almost 12 feet between the property and its Southern boundary. This gives ample vehicular access to the rear of the property where the construction of a garage with the dimensions proposed might be considered more favourably both by local residents and the planning authorities.

In conclusion, I object to the current application and request that you reject it in its totality.

Yours sincerely

Dr David Uncles
Amballa
Golden Acre
East Preston
BN16 1QP