

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Amanda Scholes on behalf of Planning.Responses  
**Sent:** 05 December 2019 09:00  
**To:** Planning Scanning  
**Subject:** FW: EP/100/19/DOC - 23 Sea Road, East Preston, BN16

---

**From:** Kate Giddings [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 04 December 2019 17:29  
**To:** Zac Denton [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Planning.Responses <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** EP/100/19/DOC - 23 Sea Road, East Preston, BN16

Dear Zac,

In relation to the above, I recommend that Condition 5 cannot be discharged because the applicant has failed to demonstrate how any noise and/or vibration generated by the plant and associated ducting system will be controlled.

With regard to Condition 6, the sound insulation testing report by Airtight Noisecheck Ltd (ref.: 17462B, produced December 2019), does not demonstrate that the attenuation measures detailed in the agreed scheme are effective in protecting the first-floor property from noise. For example, the report does not contain information such as the time of day the assessment was conducted, schematics of the location and equipment set-up, calibration certification, predicted noise levels generated by the micro-pub and the consequential impact(s) on occupants of the flat, measured data, background noise levels, etc.. The report was written to comply with Approved Document E, which relates to Building Regulations and assess the sound insulation as opposed to assessing the impact of the noise likely to be experienced by occupiers of the noise sensitive dwelling.

If the applicant/agent wishes to discuss these points, I am of course happy to do so, although please note that I shall be out of the office now until next Wednesday, 11<sup>th</sup> December.

Many thanks,

Kate.

---

Kate Giddings | Senior Technical Officer, Environmental Health, Arun District Council | Location: Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF  
[REDACTED]

Visit Arun's web site at [www.arun.gov.uk](http://www.arun.gov.uk)



Save the environment - think before you print.