

[REDACTED]

From: Nicola Moore on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 25 October 2019 09:25
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: Consultation response: The Boweries, Barnham Road, Eastergate (EG/22/19/OUT - amendment)

[REDACTED]

Nicky Moore | Technical Support Assistant, Planning Department, [Arun District Council](#) | Location: First floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF

[REDACTED]

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Mark Warwick [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 October 2019 15:17
To: Michael Eastham [REDACTED]
Cc: Planning.Responses [REDACTED]
Subject: Consultation response: The Boweries, Barnham Road, Eastergate (EG/22/19/OUT - amendment)

Proposal: Outline application with some matters reserved for the erection of 28 No. dwellings, access, landscaping & associated works (re-submission following EG/42/18/OUT).

I comment following submissions received subsequent to my initial response, report dated 22nd May 2019.

Of particular relevance are the following items:

1. Wider Development and Landscape Framework Plan (plan dwg. 1000 rev P04).
2. Proposed site layout (plan dwg. 101 rev P04).

I support the revised access to this site as shown on item 1. This is a very welcome refinement as it better respects high value amenity trees on the site's front boundary.

The proposed site layout appears to adequately respect valuable trees along the front boundary (roadside) of the site although I could only take a considered view once I am able to see the plot configuration accurately overlaid with a tree retention/removal plan. This should be easy for the applicant to provide given they have already commissioned Lizard Landscape Design Ltd to submit a Tree Constraints Plan.

Although only illustrative, the extent of tree removal (TL07 and TL19) proposed along the west boundary is disappointing, although not unexpected. Here there are a significant number of Category B and C trees. While not my principal objection to the scheme, I do believe they could be retained with a more sympathetic configuration (plots 23-27).

As layout is a reserved matter, I would expect us to be provided with more detailed plans then, that show precisely which trees are to be removed/retained and how they might impact on the development.

I see no obvious reason to sustain my OBJECTION now that we have secured the retention of roadside trees of high public amenity value but would draw your attention to the potential impact of losing the aforementioned tree groups (TL07 and TL19) which may provide valuable screening between developments.



Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.