

[REDACTED]

From: Jonny Ribbans [REDACTED]
Sent: 31 May 2018 17:44
To: Simon Davis
Subject: RE: EG/10/18/DOC - 59 Elm Grove


Hi Simon,

For simplicity our groundworks contractor has fenced off the entire back gardens now so there is no access to any workman. Unsure if they will have to move this fence in line with the protection barrier proposal or the fact that the whole rear garden is fenced off and there is no access into it that this will suffice. I would suggest the tree office makes a visit to site to confirm with the ground workers exactly what is to be done and if what has been constructed is adequate as we are some way off the trees that have TPOS now. Many thanks!

Kind Regards,

[REDACTED]

JONNY RIBBANS BA (Hons)
ASSISTANT DESIGN MANAGER



"Beautifully bespoke homes, for the most discerning clients"

DISCLAIMER: This email, together with any attachments, is for the exclusive and confidential use of the addressee(s) and may contain legally privileged information. Any other distribution, use or reproduction without the sender's prior consent is unauthorized and strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender by telephone or email immediately and destroy the message without making any copies.

From: Simon Davis [<mailto:Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk>]
Sent: 29 May 2018 15:24
To: 'Jonny Ribbans'
Subject: RE: EG/10/18/DOC - 59 Elm Grove

Dear Jonny,

I haven't had a response from you to my email of the 30th April below.

Kind Regards

Simon J Davis MRTPI | Principal Planning Officer, Planning Department, Directorate of Place, [Arun District Council](#) |
Location: Planning, First Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltrovers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
Internal: 37874 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737874 | E-mail: simon.davis@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Simon Davis
Sent: 30 April 2018 15:56
To: 'Jonny Ribbans'
Subject: EG/10/18/DOC - 59 Elm Grove

Dear Jonny,

We have had the following comments of our tree officer (those in italics):

Comments on Application:

Condition 7 – 'No development including construction activities shall take place unless and until all of the existing trees/hedges to be retained on the site have been protected in accordance with the submitted Tree Retention and Protection Plan dwg.LLD789/02 Rev 00.

Within the areas so fenced off the existing ground must not be cultivated, nor must it be lowered or raised or added to by the importation and spreading of top soil unless agreed by the Local Planning authority. There must be no materials, temporary buildings, plant machinery or surplus soil shall be placed or stored thereon without the prior written approval of the Local Planning Authority.

The fencing and ground protection must then be retained for the duration of the development works. Following the erection of the ground protection measures, the Councils Arboriculturist must be contacted in order that a site visit can be made to check the position of the protective fencing/ground protection measures.'

The following is a list of omissions, alterations and incomplete actions, observed at the time of my inspection and with direct reference to the aforementioned Tree Retention and Protection Plan provided by Lizard Landscape Design. I attach photographs taken at the time of my inspection.

- *Tree nos T01, T03 and T08 and mixed species hedgerow around the site entrance have been removed, despite their being identified for retention. Numerous other trees previously identified for removal have been so, thus there is no significant vegetation around this section of the site frontage. This now negates any requirement for the proposed 'No dig' construction North of T1 and installation of Tree Protective Fencing (TPF) around the Root Protection Area (RPA) of T08 as detailed on the plan (figs.1 & 2).*

- *TPF is incomplete from the West corner of the existing dwelling and so does not form the required Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ) around Yew tree T06 (fig.1).*

- *ALL the TPF on site does not meet their own stated standard and falls short of the requirements in BS5837. The HERAS panels while clamped together are sat in movable block trays. There are no stabilizing struts or ground penetration of uprights therefore the whole structure can be moved around as it suits and offers little if any*

resistance to an impact (figs 3 & 4). There is adequate room and surface type to allow for a secure installation, as described.

- TPF around T25 does not abut the dwelling and therefore does not provide the expected CEZ. There has been mechanical intrusion into the RPA involving deep excavation with associated loss of tree roots, thus negating any requirement for the proposed 'No dig' construction to its North aspect (figs.1 & 5).
- TPF around T24 is incomplete and does not provide the necessary CEZ (fig.6).

Conclusions:

- A number of trees scheduled for retention have been removed, leading to a much denuded front aspect.
- Tree Protective Fencing throughout the site is not 'fit for purpose' and so does not provide the necessary level of protection for retained trees.
- The developer has failed to meet any of their obligations in respect of tree protection as detailed in the condition.

Recommendations:

- The developer must now install permanent fencing in accordance with their landscape design plan and as a matter of urgency; to form an effective Construction Exclusion Zone so as to adequately respect and protect significant trees on site before further damage can occur.

.....

Please can you ensure that the requested changes are made and then advise me so that I can get Mark to visit again.

Kind Regards

Simon J Davis MRTPI | Principal Planning Officer, Planning Department, Directorate of Place, Arun District Council |
Location: Planning, First Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
Internal: 37874 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737874 | E-mail: simon.davis@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.