

Lynnyrd Wolfe

From: Anita Gardner
Sent: 15 July 2019 11:26
To: Lynnyrd Wolfe
Subject: FW: Scyld - Highways
Attachments: MBSK190628-01.pdf; MBSK190628-02.pdf; Highways 21.06.19.pdf

This is the additional information

From: Anita Gardner
Sent: 28 June 2019 15:37

Subject: FW: Scyld - Highways

Hi Emma,
Please see attached additional information as requested in your earlier comments. Can you let me have an updated response in due course?
Thanks
Anita

From: Natalie McKellar
Sent: 28 June 2019 12:55
To: Anita Gardner
Cc: Kerry Simmon
Subject: FW: Scyld - Highways

Dear Anita,

Further to the above highway comments I attach drawings showing a larger visibility splay and swept path analysis for Scyld. Mayer Brown have shown 2.4m x 90m splays which is the DMRB standard. We do not fully understand why this has been requested as our Highway Engineers are comfortable that the original 2.4m x 43m splays are acceptable in highway safety terms. Whilst we are happy to show this larger splay for indicative purposes the preference is that any condition relates to the original drawing showing 2.4 x 43m splays, otherwise this represents over engineering and sets an unusual precedent.

Tracking has also been provided to show that the 'build out' does not impact ingress or egress to the site.

Another point to mention is that the consultee response mentions that the site plan doesn't show the existing access, when the existing access to Scyld is shown on the plan.

Should you have any queries or require anything further please contact me.

Kind regards,

Natalie

Natalie McKellar

