




Sent: 19 August 2018 09:49
To: Planning; Anita Gardner
Subject: CM/20/18/PL

Good Morning

I write to you with concerns regarding the planning application at the Mill Cottage Climping (CM/20/18/PL). At present the owner has submitted a retrospective application for a security fence, and my concern is that he has extended the boundary line of his property, and with the fence already erected continues to remove plantation up to the security fence.

In the past the owner has diverted a footpath and removed many trees not on his property in an attempt of grabbing more land for his development. Anybody familiar with the site is aware of an old fence north of the main building and situated behind the trailer which I believed formed the boundary line, the new fence has extended that line. This of course could easily be clarified by myself and I attach a couple of pictures to illustrate my point. Before the construction of the new fence, he placed wooden stakes along that old boundary line, which can easily be seen in the pictures, and the new fence extends those posts.

Another point of concern is the wooden style gate that runs west behind the Golf Course up to the Mill. This has since been removed and only a footpath sign remains, looking at the pictures you can see that it was very narrow in width by the kissing gate, and I have also raised my concerns with Darryl Hobden from the WSCC Access Ranger team, asking for clarification about the width, was it the WSCC or the builder who removed the gate?

I have no personal interest in what the owner builds on his property, the fact is he could have easily built a non intrusive and unsightly fence around his property, but this again shows he has no respect for boundaries,

continues in the removal of trees and hedges and greatly impacts the green environment of the Climping Gap. I hope you give this matter your urgent attention and look forward to receiving your reply.

regards

Bola Akinola

<http://climbingbirds.blogspot.co.uk/>





