[redacted]

From: Ann Smith [redacted]
Sent: 18 January 2017 07:16
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: Planning application CM/1/17/OUT

Climping. Land west of Church Lane and south of Horsemere Green Lane
Comments : -
1) The plan seems to adopt a cavalier and light hearted attitude to the traffic issues this more than doubling of numbers will produce. 300 homes must mean a minimum of 300 vehicles on the already congested Church Lane & A259 which the new roundabout is inadequate to address
2)I am surprised that the biodiversity study failed to spot that skylarks (50% in decline in our country) nest on the field. This should be revisited at nesting time & Natural England involved.
3) The report mentions that the proposed site is at low risk of flood but could cause flooding elsewhere. This is worrying. Where could elsewhere be?
4) The D1 building might well be a doctor's surgery for which the development will throw up a need. Our local doctor is at capacity. The building is not the problem, but our local well publicised inability to recruit GPs. Likewise Clymping Primary School is at capacity. To avoid more traffic it is desirable that the new children walk to school in Climping.
5) It is sad that the developers did not respond to, nor have incorporated my suggestion that the development might incorporate a small wood in memory of Lord Moyne. He was the first to tree plant in Climping and new planting could soften the landscape and offer a buffer between the existing building line south of Horsemere Green Lane & north of the field, thus overcoming the objections of residents who have enjoyed an open outlook over the field from the rear of their homes in the past.
6) The report talks up local support for the plan. The consultation was mounted at short notice. In the meantime village awareness has grown and the plan has doubled – with no proposal to mount a public display for the village.

Ann Findlay