

From: Anita Gardner <Anita.Gardner@arun.gov.uk>
Sent: 11 May 2021 09:14
To: Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: CM/17/21/PL

Please treat as a rep

Anita Gardner
Principal Planning Officer,
Directorate of Place

T: 01903 737529
E: anita.gardner@arun.gov.uk

Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF
www.arun.gov.uk



Sign up to our newsletter [here](#)



To register to receive notification of planning applications in your area please go to
www.arun.gov.uk/planning

From: Paul Beard [REDACTED]
Sent: 09 May 2021 14:50
To: Anita Gardner <Anita.Gardner@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: CM/17/21/PL

Dear Anita

With regards to the above development please find detailed my objection, for what it is worth.

1. The application is for the same site as CM/21/19/PL it is not a different site and as such should be viewed as one application only. I find it very strange that the land is called 'Wren Cottage' it is not.
2. The wildlife, environment and trees that have already been removed on the site were considered under the previous planning application. Therefore, all drainage surveys, environmental surveys etc are now incorrect for CM/21/19/PL
3. The car usage surveys etc, again, are all incorrect, there will be an increase in vehicular usage. All these cars will have headlights directed into my living room and bedroom at night, disturbing obvious privacy rights in particular with regards to a bedroom.
4. The previous applications had the weight of some 50 odd objections, plus the local council. Since then planning has been granted for some 300 plus units within a mile and further applications have gone in for more developments. The housing density in this area is getting out of hand.
5. Is there no consideration for the fact that adjacent developers have dumped waste on my property, left plastics in the trees of my property, damaged verges and damaged Horsemere Green Lane.

6. In the past you have personally accused me (in error) of accusations of collusion with developers. The process of allowing planning for 9 houses and then submitting for 4 more on exactly the same site is bemusing. I suspect that no Section 106 considerations will be sought on this 13 house, built for profit not housing need, site?

Paul Beard

Sent from Mail for Windows 10