

Sent: 18 July 2021 12:22

To: Neil Crowther <Neil.Crowther@arun.gov.uk>

Subject: CM/16/21/PL

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. You should take extra care when clicking links or opening attachments - if you are unsure the content is safe contact the IT Helpdesk before clicking or opening.

Dear Mr Crowther

I am writing with regard to the planning committee meeting for this application. I know that deadlines have passed and these comments will probably be disregarded but I would still like them to be documented for future reference and to ensure that you are aware of Arun District Council's disjointed approach to tackling pollution, climate change and the obesity crisis that this country is facing , if this planning application is passed.

In Arun Council's Action for Rural communities report for Climping

<https://www.arun.gov.uk/download.cfm?doc=docm93ijjm4n4663.pdf&ver=4352> – the council states that they will:

- Actively seek to minimise climate change, including through energy efficiency and the use of renewables;
- Protect the environment, by minimising pollution on land, in water and in the air;
- Minimise waste and dispose of it in accordance with current good practice;
- Make efficient use of natural resources, encouraging sustainable production and consumption;
- Protect and improve bio-diversity (e.g. wildlife habitats);
- Enable a lifestyle that minimises negative environmental impact and enhances positive impacts (e.g. by creating opportunities for walking and cycling, and reducing noise pollution and dependence on cars);
- Create cleaner, safer and greener neighbourhoods (e.g. by reducing litter and graffiti, and maintaining pleasant public spaces).

Please can you tell me how approving an outlet, encouraging people to drive to have a coffee from a single use cup is compatible with these aims?

Arun council is also spending tax payers' money on a Public health well being programme <https://democracy.arun.gov.uk/documents/s2143/1910%20Cabinet%20-%20Authority%20to%20enter%20agreement.pdf> where three of their aims are:

Reducing risk factors for CVD, Stroke, Diabetes type 2, Respiratory disease, preventable cancers through motivational interviewing and one to one personalised support to enable:

1. Lifestyle/behaviour change
2. Improving nutrition
3. Weight management

Again, please can you explain how approving the building of another fast food outlet, particularly near a primary school will support this Public health and well being programme? What is the point of Arun spending our council tax money, attempting to persuade residents not to eat fast food and then the planning committee making it easier for their residents to do so?

If the planning committee rejects this application, I will be satisfied that the council is looking at the environmental, and health well-being of their residents. If, unfortunately, it is approved, I shall be pursuing the contradictory policies of the council and the waste of tax-payers money if the planning department deliberately undermines the efforts of their colleagues in other sections of the organisation.

On a slightly different note, Looking through the letters 'in favour' of the application, I notice that 53 of them are from people who live a fair distance from Clymping or have not given a proper address, and in case of one, has given a false name and address. In this age of social media, it has been so easy for the applicants to drum up support from residents of Scotland, South Wales, Dublin, Cambridgeshire, Yeovil, Nottingham and Liverpool to name a few. They have probably never visited the area and I am concerned that, because they have contacted the council to say that the location is 'ideal' and it is 'good for the community', their comments will be taken into consideration. Of the 28 comments you had from Clymping residents, who know the most about the area and will be the ones affected by your decision, only one was in support.

Yours sincerely

Rachel Grimwood

Sent from Mail for Windows 10