

The proposed road and footpath leading to Plot 8 and back fences of existing residents

Highways impact – public road and footpath ending at existing residents back garden fence the road leads to and is part of the design of plot 8 which is under discussion. I am concerned about the end of the proposed road ending at my back fence creating an obvious and very real security risk with an open prison less than a mile away. New parts of the estate are always designed so that NEW houses' back gardens back onto the back garden of EXISTING houses located in a separate road.

Nowhere on the whole estate does a new road and footpath end at someone's back garden where no path/ easement etc existed previously. This is a very obvious and very real security risk for the existing residents at numbers 44, 45 and 46 Appletree Walk. We should have been officially notified in writing by the relevant Council departments and Highways Agency notified that this was happening. At no point have we been given opportunity to object. Therefore, I submit that the Council has been negligent. If we had ever been consulted, we would have vehemently objected.

Breach Wildlife and Countryside Act, Conservation of Habitats and Species Act

There are a number of protected species on this site and birds which are on the RSPB red list such as great spotted and green woodpeckers (I have photos). This has been virtually undisturbed for centuries, and was left to natural woodland with a thriving ecosystem.

Anyone developing the site are **obliged to conduct wildlife surveys**. At no point has this ever been done. The site was cleared of all trees and vegetation during the week of 4th November 2019 (filmed). This was been reported to the Council at the time and works were allowed to continue in breach of clearly laid out legislation.

After attempting to destroy any evidence of a natural ecosystem a cynical 'Ecological Impact Assessment' was conducted on behalf of MJH Homes dated 3rd December 2019. CPRE Sussex and Sussex Wildlife Trust have been notified and informed me in writing they are looking into this.

Pygmy Shrew – ground burrowing protected species

Many protected species still live on site including the Pygmy Shrew (see photo taken this week) which lives in holes in the ground. Ground works will disturb and destroy their natural habitat. Sussex Wild life Trust have been notified.

Plot 8 deliberate misrepresentation by applicant MJH Homes to ADC

My written objection wholly supports and incorporates the contents of all objections made by the Parish Council, Neighbourhood plan and all local residents who have made representations over the past few months. Many of whom have been completely ignored and not acknowledged or loaded onto the planning portal – such as mine

1. Clearance and groundworks the other side of my fence have lowered the ground level and weakened my fence posts due to the removal of soil. This damage was done without consulting me and needs to be rectified. To date my complaint has been completely ignored.
2. PLOT 8 runs almost the length of my boundary. An application for a 4-bed house type E was submitted and declined. House type F described as a 3-bed BUNGALOW but which in fact was a HOUSE with 2 bedrooms and 2 bathrooms on the first floor, and one bedroom on ground floor was submitted.
3. A proposal now for an even larger house, in every sense, F1. Various applications and changes submitted by MJH homes have been an attempt to muddy the waters and create confusion.

4. Whereas before my outlook was that of natural woodland, the applicant proposes impose that I should have a view of this high expanse of brick wall and roof tiles.
5. The whole length of the proposed house runs almost the whole length of my boundary, with permanent structure within feet of my fence/ property. This is very intrusive, and the high roof line will obliterate light for the majority of the day.
6. Plot 8, side access runs the length of my back fence so people will be the other side of my fence at any time, completely taking away my privacy. I speak on behalf of myself and my affected neighbours.
7. Windows on the first floor which open out directly onto by back garden and can also see directly in my bedroom windows, back windows generally and the whole of my back garden is completely unacceptable and a total invasion of privacy
8. Having people living in, what is designed to be a family home, with in feet of my boundary will be very noisy and upsetting. Not in keeping with existing local designs.
9. As pointed out by my neighbours on numerous occasions to the Council the close proximity of the windows to existing houses breaches regulations and laws.
10. As a taxpayer and resident, I request that the Planning Office carefully monitor this application as it appears there is an intention to deceive and circumvent compliance.
11. If the applicant was to reduce the roof height to single storey, ie, that of a bungalow, as proposed, and lower the ground level, this would fall in line with their proposal of '2 x 3-bed bungalows' made to Arun District Council.
12. Again, I'm also objecting to any trees being planted within proximity to my boundary. These will be a nuisance which will also create light issues, roots; sap nutrients from soil, and create a mess with leaf drop etc.