





Sign up to our newsletter [here](#)



From: Dulcie Brookfield [REDACTED]
Sent: 02 July 2020 12:40
To: James Cross <James.Cross@arun.gov.uk>; Anita Gardner <Anita.Gardner@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: Fwd: PlanningObjection: CM/64/19/PL Langford,Horsemere Green Lane BN17 5QZ

Dear Planning Team

OBJECTION CM/64/19/PL Langford Horsemere Green Lane

The developers have changed the planning application again from two bungalows to two storey dwellings.

Further to my previous objection sent to you in April I am objecting yet again the.

I AM STILL AWAITING A RESPONSE - NO ONE AT THE COUNCIL APPEARS TO BE FULLY ACKNOWLEDGING ALL OBJECTIONS

As a neighbour directly affected by the application I have received no notification from the developers whatsoever.

Plot 8 deliberate misrepresentation by applicant to ADC

-

My written objection wholly supports and incorporates the contents of all objections made by the Parish Council and all local residents who have made representations over the past few months.

1. At no time have the Applicants ever notified me, in any form, of their intention to build permanent ground floor and first floor dwellings at very close proximity (within feet) of my boundary. I have not been given the statutory/regulatory opportunity to object.
2. Clearance and groundworks the other side of my fence have lowered the ground level and weakened my fence posts due to the removal of soil. This damage was done without consulting me and needs to be rectified.
3. The value of my property has been badly affected.
4. PLOT 8 runs the length of my boundary. An application for a 4-bed house type E has been superseded by House type F described as a **3-bed BUNGALOW**. Having looked at the plans this is a **HOUSE** with 2 bedrooms and 2 bathrooms on the first floor, and one bedroom on ground floor. The whole length of the proposed house runs the whole length of my boundary, with permanent structure within feet of my fence. This is very intrusive, and will obliterate light for the majority of the day, completely unacceptable. I speak on behalf of myself and my affected neighbours.
5. As a taxpayer and resident, I request that the Planning Office carefully monitor this application as it appears there is an intention to circumvent compliance.
6. If the applicant were to reduce the roof height to single storey, ie, that of a bungalow, as proposed, and lower the ground level, this would fall in line with their proposal of '**2 x 3-bed bungalows**' made to Arun District Council.
7. I am also objecting to any trees being planted within proximity to my boundary. These will be a nuisance which will also create light issues, roots; sap nutrients from soil, and create a mess with leaf drop etc.

I look forward to a substantive response by return.

Regards

Dulcie Brookfield

44 Appletree Walk BN17 5QN

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Dulcie Brookfield** [REDACTED]

Date: Thu, Apr 16, 2020 at 12:27 PM

Subject: PlanningObjection: CM/64/19/PL Langford,Horsemere Green Lane BN17 5QZ

To: <james.cross@arun.gov.uk>, <anita.gardner@arun.gov.uk>

Ms D Brookfield

44 Appletree Walk

Clymping

Littlehampton

West Sussex

BN17 5QN

Dear Mr Cross

Planning Objection: CM/64/19/PL Langford, Horsemere Green Lane BN17 5QZ

Plot 8 deliberate misrepresentation by applicant to ADC

My written objection wholly supports and incorporates the contents of all objections made by the Parish Council and all local residents who have made representations over the past few months.

1. At no time have the Applicants ever notified me, in any form, of their intention to build permanent ground floor and first floor dwellings at very close proximity (within feet) of my boundary. I have not been given the statutory/regulatory opportunity to object.
2. Clearance and groundworks the other side of my fence have lowered the ground level and weakened my fence posts due to the removal of soil. This damage was done without consulting me and needs to be rectified.
3. The value of my property has been badly affected.
4. PLOT 8 runs the length of my boundary. An application for a 4-bed house type E has been superseded by House type F described as a **3-bed BUNGALOW**. Having looked at the plans this is a **HOUSE** with 2

bedrooms and 2 bathrooms on the first floor, and one bedroom on ground floor. The whole length of the proposed house runs the whole length of my boundary, with permanent structure within feet of my fence. This is very intrusive, and will obliterate light for the majority of the day, completely unacceptable. I speak on behalf of myself and my affected neighbours.

5. As a taxpayer and resident, I request that the Planning Office carefully monitor this application as it appears there is an intention to circumvent compliance.

6. If the applicant were to reduce the roof height to single storey, ie, that of a bungalow, as proposed, and lower the ground level, this would fall in line with their proposal of '**2 x 3-bed bungalows**' made to Arun District Council.

7. I am also objecting to any trees being planted within proximity to my boundary. These will be a nuisance which will also create light issues, roots; sap nutrients from soil, and create a mess with leaf drop etc.

I look forward to a substantive response by return.

Yours sincerely

Dulcie Brookfield