

Hamish Neathercoat
12 Apple Tree Walk
Climping
West Sussex
BN17 5QN

Your Ref: OWPC18311
Our Ref: CM/13/18/OUT 27-04-2018
Please ask for:
Michael Eastham

21st May 2018

Dear Sir/Madam

Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)

Town & country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015 - Article 5

Outline application with some matters reserved for the erection of up to 300 dwellings and ancillary development comprising open space, a building within use class D1 of up to 875 square metres net, a building for A1 use having a floor area of up to 530 sq. metres net, together with open space and ancillary works, including access points, car parking and drainage arrangements, with appearance, landscaping, layout; and scale wholly reserved for subsequent approval. The access detail, showing the points of access to the development, and indicated on Bellamy Roberts drawings numbered 4724/013 and 4724/005 Rev A are access proposals to be determined at this stage of the application. For the avoidance of doubt all other access detail within the site is to be determined as a reserved matter at a later stage. Resubmission following CM/1/17/OUT. Departure from the Development Plan.

Land to West of Church Lane South of Horsemere Green Lane Clymping

Thank you for your internet representation made on 19th May 2018 which has been recorded as '**an objection**' with the comments as follows:

It is incomprehensible to think that any organisation that wants to be taken seriously would once again propose putting any more traffic onto the A259 at this point, considering the very clear evidence that this stretch of road is already gridlocked much of the time and highly dangerous to both pedestrians and vehicles. Since the end of last year when the Mulgrave Estate's previous planning application (CM/1/17/OUT) was rejected by Arun District Council largely on road safety grounds, there have already been a further catalogue of accidents and injuries here. Mulgrave Estate's renewed application to put another 600-900 vehicles on this stretch of road (2-3 per household) is ridiculous, and they are putting profit before safety. In 2016 a young mother died on the junction of the A259 and Yapton road opposite the Oyster Catcher pub, and since late 2017 further accidents and injuries here have included:

- * December 2017 - pedestrian and his dog hit by a car south of Horsemere Green Lane near the Oyster Catcher pub, resulting in life changing injuries.*
- * January 2018 - two accidents at the exit from Horsemere Green Lane onto Yapton Road, resulting in two written off cars, a bus stop, garden fence and road sign.*
- * February 2018 - car demolished wall at the Glebe at the junction of Horsemere Green Lane and Yapton Road.*
- * February 2018 - major accident at the junction of Yapton Road and the A259 at the Oyster Catcher pub involving a motorcyclist.*

Check out these YouTube video links to see the traffic problems we are facing here already:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jLEFJMbUzn0>
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gB64C8o7U4w>
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MVCP0_fUbW4

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vkwENkbyvFY>
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pl0Zrce_yQ

The schools here are full, the GP surgeries here are full, and the roads here are full and gridlocked much of the time. Climping is FULL, and we who live here now have a right to choose how we want to live here in the future, and it does not include a doubling of the size of our village overnight by building another 300 homes.

Constantine Phipps, 5th Marquess of Normanby, head of the family behind Mulgrave Estates, is from Whitby up north, so doesn't even live here. This profiteering family are failing to comprehend that this local area cannot cope with any more traffic. Arun District Council have already rejected their previous plans to build up to 300 new homes largely on road safety grounds, but this profiteering family are refusing to listen to those of us who are better informed of the local situation than they are.

This selfish family can bolster their pension pots by building in their own back yard and without seriously compromising the safety of local people here. Their greed in pursuing this ridiculous latest planning application is costing Arun District Council tens of thousands of Pounds of tax payers' money; money that could be better spent on providing much needed local services to local people. I sincerely hope that Arun District Council once again reject this ridiculous planning application, at which time we can then demand Mulgrave Estates reimburse local tax payers for wasting our money.

Unfortunately it is not possible for the council to respond to each representation individually but your views will be considered before the application is determined and I shall write to inform you of any decision made.

If the application is to be determined at the Development Control Committee and your letter has been received after the agenda has been published, you will not receive a separate letter notifying you of the date of the meeting and the procedures for public speaking. In such circumstances, it is recommended that you track the progress of the application on the website or telephone for further information. Please be advised that any comments received after the the Development Control Agenda has been produced will be reported to the meeting. Please also note that only a small number of all planning applications are determined at the Development Control Committee, most are determined by officers under delegated power.

Planning operates an 'open file' policy and will publish details of your comments on the website. Please ensure that you only provide information that you are happy will be made available in this way. If you supply information belonging to a third party, please ensure you have their permission to do so. If you do not wish your comments to appear on the 'open file' please confirm this in writing and I will arrange for it to be destroyed although this does mean that its contents will be disregarded. For details of what we do with your personal information please refer to our privacy statement comments on planning applications which is available on our website <https://www.arun.gov.uk/planning-privacy-statements>.

In the event of a subsequent written representations appeal, against a refusal of planning permission on a householder application, any representations made on the application will be automatically forwarded to the Secretary of State. Unlike all other types of appeal, there will be no further opportunity to comment at the appeal stage.

Yours faithfully

Neil Crowther
Group Head of Planning