



From: pamcolbourne [REDACTED]
Sent: 03 December 2017 17:24
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: BR/208/17/PL

FAO Richard Temple

We are owner residents of 5, Mead Court. These are our comments on the proposed development.
(BR/208/17/PL)

Conservation

We have, previously, successfully challenged the establishment of a builder's yard on this site as being in contravention of the original planning restrictions on the area.

Shared Entrance

When these houses were built a shared entrance was acceptable but Just Wood has expanded and vehicles have got bigger so that their parking is now encroaching on the entrance. Added to this when delivery vehicles are there the entrance is blocked. This causes problems but it is also a personal worry as emergency vehicles, particularly ambulances, would not be able to reach us. An increase in traffic would exasperate this problem.

No. 8

We do not know what is to happen to No.8 but we have strong evidence that it will become a student HMO. This would result in increased population and possibly more cars. How would this sit with the original planning specifications that these properties should not be divided into separate dwellings? No.7 has recently become an HMO. We suggest this new development should be taken in context with No 8. as well as the area of as a whole.

Parking

We have had problems with parking in the past and ask, that if development went ahead, provision would be made to keep vehicles inside the gate. The applicant states that the new house will have four spaces but given the lack of space, he must mean there will be two for the new house and two for No.8. A student HMO, potentially, would create more cars and the need for more parking - as we know from the roads around here.

Disposing of property

I would like to draw your attention to Condition 13.5.1.14 on the original consent for No8.
"Not to dispose of any part of the Property as distinct from the whole". As the land belongs to No8 we assume that the new build and No8 can only sold together.

Positioning

The building would come very close to Melanie Gardens and have very little outside space.

Materials

The proposed materials would not be in keeping with existing properties which are restricted by the Planning Department to timber doors and window frames. Having no garage, an odd shaped roof and two storeys wouldn't match either.

Regards

Mr P & Mrs P Colbourne

Sent from Samsung tablet