

Mr R Miller
47 Pevensey Road
Bognor Regis
PO215NY

Your Ref: OWPC18650
Our Ref: BR/229/17/PL 11-08-2017
Please ask for:
Mr S Davis

7th June 2018

Dear Sir/Madam

Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)
Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015

Erection of 3 No. dwellings, 1 No. detached garage, parking & alterations to existing access & driveway (resubmission following BR/200/16/PL).

Land to rear of 41 - 47 Pevensey Road Bognor Regis

Thank you for your internet representation made on 6th June 2018 which has been recorded as '**an objection**' with the comments as follows:

Dear Mr Davis

I have looked at the new plans for 3 houses and the applicants may have reduced the dwellings to 3, but have totally missed the point as they have now put a attached double garage with in it's place, it's exactly the same width as the house that was previously there! This has then kept the parking spaces and separate garage in the same area Mr Harverson (Then ADC tree officer) strongly objected to them being in, as they are on top of two protected tree roots.

Mr Harverson also strongly objected to the intense shading in plot 1 and plot 4 (now plot 3) this is still going to be a issue as the protected Oak tree and Horse chestnut tree that is over 18 metres high and is growing all the time this will shade nearly all of the back garden in plot 1 the new occupants will no doubt ask for it to be removed due to no light being able to get through into their garden and we would loose the nice character of the surrounding area that the trees bring.

These trees can be seen from Pevensey road, Arun road, Frith road, Amberley drive, and Ivydale road

There are also the large protected trees that are on the border fence of plot 3 these would shade the new smaller gardens of plot 3 so would potentially be removed and could also be damaged as tree roots will have car spaces on them and potentially the foundations of the house to as it's only 5 metres away from the trees and the trees are over 16-18 metres tall so I believe the roots would be underneath the house at plot 3.

The protected trees have been here for decades over 50 years and we don't want to loose them and ruin the character.

How have the land levels been done properly when half the garden in 45 hasn't been cultivated? It's so overgrown at the back with brambles and nettles it would of been impossible to get any measurements, we believe it has been left like this on purpose to hide the extent of the differences in levels between the two gardens, as 45 has a huge dipped area and is a few feet lower in difference to number 43 as it was previously a copse. And number 43 is the opposite it is high at the end of the garden and then dips down towards the house. So how they think they can put a row of terraced houses on this land without changing all the land levels is a absolute joke. The new gardens wouldn't be level with each other at all.

Both Mr Caan ADC drainage engineer and Mr Harverson have both said in their objections not to change land levels due to damaging protected tree roots and pushing surface water flooding on to others.

Why hasn't the piped drain that runs all along the southern boundary or the land drain in 45's end of garden been mentioned on the plans?

Paul Caan has already said "No new trees to be planted on southern boundary due to the

piped drain and a 5meter easement from the pipe"

So no tree replacements for up to 20 mature healthy trees we would loose as no room elsewhere on site hence having to remove them all in the first place!

I still strongly object to these revised plans as still the points that Mr Harverson and Mr Caan have made still haven't been addressed.

Kind regards

Mr Bob Miller

Unfortunately it is not possible for the council to respond to each representation individually but your views will be considered before the application is determined and I shall write to inform you of any decision made.

If the application is to be determined at the Development Control Committee and your letter has been received after the agenda has been published, you will not receive a separate letter notifying you of the date of the meeting and the procedures for public speaking. In such circumstances, it is recommended that you track the progress of the application on the website or telephone for further information. Please be advised that any comments received after the the Development Control Agenda has been produced will be reported to the meeting. Please also note that only a small number of all planning applications are determined at the Development Control Committee, most are determined by officers under delegated power.

All representations are published on our website so please make sure your views are clear and only include information that you are happy for the public to view. Do not include personal information or information from third parties unless you have their permission to do so. Do not include information that is defamatory or breaches equality or other legislation. If you do not wish your comments to appear on the website and on the file, please confirm this in writing and I will arrange for it to be destroyed, although this does mean that its contents will be disregarded. For details of what we do with your personal information please refer to our privacy statement comments on planning applications which is available on our website www.arun.gov.uk/planning-privacy-statements.

In the event of a subsequent written representations appeal, against a refusal of planning permission on a householder application, any representations made on the application will be automatically forwarded to the Secretary of State. Unlike all other types of appeal, there will be no further opportunity to comment at the appeal stage.

Yours faithfully

Neil Crowther
Group Head of Planning