

-----Original Message-----

From: Simon Davis
Sent: 17 November 2017 15:42
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: BR/200/PL/17

Objection.

Kind Regards

Simon J Davis MRTPI | Principal Planning Officer, Planning Department, Directorate of Place, Arun District Council |
Location: 1st Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
Internal: 37874 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737874 | E-mail: simon.davis@arun.gov.uk Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk P Save the environment - think before you print.

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 November 2017 15:36
To: Simon Davis
Cc: Daniel Vick
Subject: BR/200/PL/17

Dear Mr Davis

Can you please put online the latest drawings that you have received from the applicant as we can't see them online.

Also the ADC tree officer has objected to this planning application again and again not just due to overshadowing but much more importantly the high risk of protected trees having to be removed due to new houses being so close to them and new residents wanting them felled. This would then result in changing the character of the area and then result in having a detrimental effect on the surrounding area as these trees can be seen from all surrounding roads, inc Pevensey Road, Arun Road Frith Road and Amberley Drive.

How many times does the ADC tree officer have to object to the applicants before they listen to him! He's the tree expert.

I live right behind these two gardens and can clearly see that the trees are going to be far too close to new houses, there will be overshadowing and know they will be subsequently lost.

ADC have previously said to me Mr Harverson is highly qualified and a very experienced tree officer, so for the applicants tree officer to doubt and disagree with his comments should be neither here nor there! Also ADC tree officer is unbiased and is acting neutral to the situation.

The ADC drainage engineer Paul Cann had objected on the grounds of flooding to existing properties if any structures are put in the flood zone (which is the whole of the 2 gardens) so reading the comment about a single pond being put in to compensate for 4 houses and a garage and a large amount of tarmac being laid is that for real! The amount of flood storage these two gardens have at the moment is huge and losing all this and putting a pond into one of the small gardens isn't going to compensate for this lost flood storage space.

ADC planning department should have a care of duty to the residents that already live here when it comes to flooding issues and how this is going to effect them, as you know already the two gardens (43 & 45) ground levels are not level and one garden is much higher than the other, and changing these and putting anything on the garden land i.e houses and garages would increase flooding to us and other neighbours Mr Cann has already said this and objected to the plans.

Please can you add this email online to my previous objection.

Kind regards

Becky Lovett & Nick Woodruff

Sent from my iPhone