

**From:** Simon Davis  
**Sent:** 21 October 2020 11:10  
**To:** Planning Scanning  
**Cc:** Daniel Vick  
**Subject:** FW: BR/122/20/OUT Garth House, High Street, Bognor Regis

Application Correspondence "Notification of Withdrawal"

Dan – on the sign off list.

---

**From:** Jack Dowling [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 19 October 2020 13:24  
**To:** Simon Davis <Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** RE: BR/122/20/OUT Garth House, High Street, Bognor Regis

Thanks Simon,

Please withdraw the application and we will resubmit as below.. thanks

Many Thanks

Jack Dowling



**MULBERRY**  
ARCHITECTURE

This email and any attachments to it may be confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed.

Any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Mulberry Architecture Ltd.

If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you must neither take any action based upon its contents, nor copy or show it to anyone.

Please contact the sender if you believe you have received this email in error

---

**From:** Simon Davis <Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** 15 October 2020 11:23  
**To:** Jack Dowling [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: BR/122/20/OUT Garth House, High Street, Bognor Regis

Hi Jack,

I am not able to confirm re the free go but I think you would be entitled to one as the development would likely have the same character. Our website states that a free go is applicable for *“one revised application for planning permission or one revised application for permission in principle for development of the same character or description relating to the same site or part thereof, by the same applicant, within 12 months”*

If you wish to withdraw, please confirm and I will action this.

Please note regarding S.106 contributions, the applicable thresholds are:

|                 |                                                                              |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 11+ (dwellings) | Affordable Housing;                                                          |
| 10+             | NHS & WSCC Infrastructure contributions (schools, libraries, fire & rescue); |
| 9+              | Sports, play & open space contributions; and                                 |
| 1+              | Pagham Harbour contribution.                                                 |

Simon.

**Simon J Davis MRTPI**  
Principal Planning Officer  
Directorate of Place

**T:** 01903 737874  
**E:** [simon.davis@arun.gov.uk](mailto:simon.davis@arun.gov.uk)



Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF  
[www.arun.gov.uk](http://www.arun.gov.uk)

Arun is a CIL Charging Authority, for more information go to [www.arun.gov.uk/cil](http://www.arun.gov.uk/cil)

Sign up to our newsletter [here](#)



---


**From:** Jack Dowling [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 15 October 2020 10:45  
**To:** Simon Davis <[Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk](mailto:Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk)>  
**Subject:** RE: BR/122/20/OUT Garth House, High Street, Bognor Regis

Thanks Simon,

I did think this would be the case but was asked to maximise the site, if I withdraw and resubmit with a smaller proposal am I right in thinking there will be no fee? Thanks

Many Thanks

Jack Dowling



**MULBERRY**  
ARCHITECTURE

This email and any attachments to it may be confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed.

Any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Mulberry Architecture Ltd.

If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you must neither take any action based upon its contents, nor copy or show it to anyone.

Please contact the sender if you believe you have received this email in error

---

**From:** Simon Davis <[Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk](mailto:Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk)>

**Sent:** 14 October 2020 16:27

**To:** Jack Dowling [REDACTED]

**Subject:** BR/122/20/OUT Garth House, High Street, Bognor Regis

Dear Jack,

I write to update you with our thoughts on the application. We consider this to be an overdevelopment of the site and we would likely refuse the application on grounds of overdevelopment and harm to residential amenity. Our particular concerns are as follows:

- (1) The proposal develops the whole plot with no space left for private amenity (note that the emerging Design Guide requires private amenity space for flats in the form of 3m<sup>2</sup> balconies/patios or a communal space of 40m<sup>2</sup> + 10m<sup>2</sup> per flat not having a balcony/patio).
- (2) The lack of space within the site means that the cycle and bin stores are on the front and this may be harmful to visual amenity/the streetscene.
- (3) I note that the scheme suggests a partial betterment to existing residents in that the building will be only 1 storey on the western side of the site (currently it is 2). However, the new building will extend further back into the site and the eastern half will have an additional storey. Although no west facing windows are proposed, I note that doors to the flats open onto an external space which may have windows in it and offer views of neighbours. I apologise if this is not correct but without external elevations it is difficult to work out this arrangement. Also the new building is to the east and may affect light reaching the windows and gardens of the existing dwellings. It may also be considered to be overbearing.

Note that it has been somewhat difficult to precisely assess the harm to existing dwellings as to work out the distance between say the doors to the first floor flats and the rear first floor windows of 3 Campbell Road means measuring part of the distance on your floorplan and part on the block plan. I got around 22.8m but according to our GIS, the distance from the back wall of 1 Campbell Road to the eastern edge of your site is 16.31m so the measurement from the plans can't be right. It may be that the scale is wrong or the plans have not been uploaded at the right size. Could you look into this please.

- (4) The nil parking proposal requires submission of a Parking Capacity Survey as per the requirements of our Parking SPD – not yet provided so would form an additional refusal reason.
- (5) We need a biodiversity enhancement plan to demonstrate biodiversity net gain – not yet provided so likely to form an additional refusal reason.
- (6) Flats within the town centre area are exempt from CIL therefore, we can still seek S.106 contributions. A S.106 would be required for the Pagham Harbour contribution, affordable housing, education/libraries/fire infrastructure and off-site open space/play/sports pitches/built leisure facilities. As we are not able to approve the application, this would form an additional refusal reason.

It is my aim to determine the application for refusal before the expiry in November but if you wish, you may withdraw the application in the meantime.

Kind Regards

Simon

**Simon J Davis MRTPI**  
Principal Planning Officer  
Directorate of Place

**T:** 01903 737874

**E:** [simon.davis@arun.gov.uk](mailto:simon.davis@arun.gov.uk)



Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF  
[www.arun.gov.uk](http://www.arun.gov.uk)

Arun is a CIL Charging Authority, for more information go to [www.arun.gov.uk/cil](http://www.arun.gov.uk/cil)

Sign up to our newsletter [here](#)

