

-----Original Message-----

From: Simon Davis

Sent: 10 December 2018 11:42

To: 'Richard Wallace'

Cc: Darryl Hobden

Subject: RE: Planning consent BN/69/16

Dear Mr Wallace,

I will raise this with the applicant. However, I cannot guarantee that I will be able to let you know what they say. You may therefore wish to raise the matter as an enforcement complaint as this would ensure that you are communicated with as to any progress with here matter.

<http://www1.arun.gov.uk/aplanning/OcellaWeb/enforcementSubmission>

Kind Regards

Simon J Davis MRTPI | Principal Planning Officer, Planning Department, Directorate of Place, Arun District Council |
Location: Planning, First Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
Internal: 37874 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737874 | E-mail: simon.davis@arun.gov.uk Please note that I typically work from home on Thursdays when I am unreachable on my work phone Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk P
Save the environment - think before you print.

-----Original Message-----

From: Richard Wallace

Sent: 07 December 2018 17:33

To: Simon Davis <Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk>

Cc: Darryl Hobden

Subject: Planning consent BN/69/16

Dear Mr Davis

With regard to the above, and in particular the condition which relates to the PROW footpath no:324 running through the site. Comments dated 25/05/16 - section 16.19 states :

"The existing public right of way F.P.324 across the site shall remain undisturbed unless and until legally stopped up or diverted prior to the commencement of any of the development hereby permitted. The alignment of any public right of way shall be protected by being clearly demarcated, signed and fenced, as may be approved by the Local Planning Authority, throughout the course of the development"

After reference to WSCC, I know there has been no request to close the path or divert it. Yet, the path no longer exists as work on the site - albeit apparent pre construction survey work - has left a sea of mud with no indication of where the path lies and actually in a state where, given the wet weather, the path is now virtually unusable. This is not legal.

I assume that the developers will apply under section 14 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 to close the path during construction, which I will object to, as there is no reason why the path cannot be realigned around the edge of the development.

In the planning documents the statement is made that the path is "rarely used". There is no evidence to support this. Rarely is defined as not often, seldom or infrequently. This is not the case for PROW 324. It is used daily by myself and numerous other dog walkers, as well as many others coming from Barnham to Lake Lane and back. I do not understand how such a misunderstanding can occur.

Can you please ensure the planning conditions relating to this path are enforced, and the path across the proposed development reinstated. Please also note on file my objections to the potential temporary closure of this path, should an application under the 1984 Act be made by the developers. You be assured there are numerous residents who will support my objection.

You will note that I am coping this into WSCC - Darryl Hobden, Access Ranger, Area 4, who has been my point of contact with WSCC to date re this issue.

I look forward to your reply

Yours sincerely

Richard Wallace