

-----Original Message-----

From: STEPHEN WHITEHEAD <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 09 September 2019 18:26
To: Maria Tomalova <Maria.Tomalova@arun.gov.uk>
Cc: Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: Objection to BN/66/19/PL

Good Afternoon Maria

Objections to planning application BN/66/19/PL

Please find below my objections to this planning application.

* If this proposal were to go ahead it would take away part of the green space that all our residents use. In the plans/proposals Seaward say that it is an unused space which is not correct. Myself any my family use this green space daily to exercise our dog and my daughter uses the green daily also. I know that many other residents use this space daily also, and children use this space to play games etc. When we purchased this property this was one of the main reasons that we chose this development because of the attractive open spaces. I feel very disheartened that we were all deceived and never informed that there was a possibility in the future that this space could be taken away from us.

* Why were residents not informed of proposals when purchasing our homes, and why were they not built at the same time to save all the upheaval and disruption of having them built now? We feel this is extremely underhand and deceitful of Seaward /Croudace and residents were led to believe that the land was part of our communal green areas by Croudace.

* If the plan goes ahead this could cause flooding to surrounding areas. The planning application refers to the surface water run off going into the existing Culvert (ditch) that runs along the back of the gardens of Nightingale Lane. This ditch in question fills up in heavy rain and doesn't run away for days. The ditch is even blocked at one end with a plastic cover so the water has nowhere to go. The ditch is never maintained, it is only half the depth that it was when we moved here three years ago and has silted up. Also HML cut the grass which form the sides but don't take the cuttings away.

Adding another three bungalows to this culvert will only exacerbate the problem.

*The proposals also states that the sewers would have to be moved to allow proposal to go ahead. This could cause major problems, residents have experienced blockages already in Nightingale Lane in the past and this needs further investigation. It is unclear if it is a pumped system or gravity fed as if it was gravity fed and the falls were not correct all our properties in Nightingale Lane would block up continually. I also feel that the plans submitted regarding

drainage and rainwater run off are very old and out of date, and that up to date plans should have been submitted to reflect the true nature of the water/drainage system now since the building of our development at Arun Place.

*How can this proposal go ahead if residents own this road which is a private road. Surely Seaward would need our permission as shareholders for access of site, which we do not wish to do. Also where would materials be stored, and where would lorries go as the road is not wide enough for two cars to pass at the same time. Residents in Nightingale Lane would have no access at certain times when trucks are in the way. Also this could cause damage to the road.

*On the Planning Design and Access Statement it refers to there being direct access from two roads, please note that both these roads are private roads, Canal Mews has a locked gate across it, which we were informed again by Croudace that this was for access for the emergency services to our development only.

* There is also inadequate parking facilities for the three proposed bungalows and no additional visitor parking provided on the plan. Therefore visitors to the proposed bungalows would possibly double park along the road leading to the access road (Barn Rise - then through locked gate into Canal Mews) therefore emergency services would not be able to get through to residents of Arun Place should the Emergency Services be called upon.

Kind regards
S Whitehead

Sent from my iPad