

-----Original Message-----

From: Louise Brooks [REDACTED]

Sent: 30 August 2019 11:57

To: Maria Tomalova <Maria.Tomalova@arun.gov.uk>

Cc: Planning <Planning@arun.gov.uk>; Neil Crowther <Neil.Crowther@arun.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]

Subject: BN/66/19/PL

Dear Ms Tomalova,

I write in connection with the above planning application.

I note in your colleague Neil Crowther's letter of 19th August to Studio Lack Ltd that a statutory notice needs to be erected on or near the application site by and for not less than 21 days.

No notice has been erected on or near the site, so I presume this invalidates the planning application until such time as the appropriate notice is placed.

Please can you therefore confirm by return that the deadline of 19th September for the consultation and the 10th October deadline for the determination will be extended until the statutory requirements have been met?

As a homeowner of a property on Nightingale Lane, I have serious concerns about this proposed application, particularly as the estate is private property and the common grounds are the legal property of Arun Place Management Company Limited, a company that is owned by the residents (being Members of the Company) of the estate, and I want to ensure that I and my fellow residents have the maximum length of time possible to take advice and ensure that we prepare our objections in full. In particular, although we are currently investigating that the Company still owns the land on which the dwellings are proposed to be built, if the land has in fact been transferred to Seaward, we are particularly exploring whether or not the transfer was illegally entered into on the basis that we consider the sale may have been a substantial property transaction such that a resolution of the Members would have been required before the sale could proceed. The Memorandum and Articles of Association of the Company

confirm that first time purchasers of property on the estate, such as myself, automatically become Members of the Company and as such, I would have expected to have received papers for such a resolution to be passed. As no such papers have been received by me or any other first time purchasers on the estate, if this was a substantial property transaction, any transfer to Seaward will be null and void and the land should revert back to the Company.

I am sure that you can appreciate the residents are infuriated by the underhand and duplicitous manner in which Croudace, the original builders of the estate, have acted. Representations were made to many of us when purchasing properties that the common areas would always remain as such and indeed, we all pay a substantial service charge for the upkeep of those areas, which includes the proposed building site. At no point have Croudace or the Directors of the Company (currently all employees of Croudace) informed the residents of their plans or sought approval from them to take forward this application. This application is one of a number of complaints we have with Croudace and we will be addressing each of those with them, and HML Management, directly.

I look forward to hearing from you as a matter of urgency.

Kind regards,

Annette Louise Brooks

Sent from my iPhone