

From: Amanda Scholes on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 15 July 2020 11:54
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: Planning Application BN/51/20/PL

Amanda Scholes | Receptionist, Department, [Arun District Council](#) | Location: Ground Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF

Internal: 37736 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737500 ext 37736 | E-mail: amanda.scholes@arun.gov.uk



Visit Arun's web site at [Error! Hyperlink reference not valid.](#)



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Amy Myer <Amy.Myer@arun.gov.uk>
Sent: 15 July 2020 11:41
To: Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: Planning Application BN/51/20/PL

Hi – please add below email to file as an Objection
Thanks
Amy

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 July 2020 11:37
To: Amy Myer <Amy.Myer@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: Planning Application BN/51/20/PL

Dear Ms Myer

Please see below my comments on the following Planning Application:

Planning Application BN/51/20/PL

Land at Chantry Mead and rear of 14 – 18 Downview Road, Barnham, PO22 0EG

Surface Water Management

BN/51/20/PL proposes surface water infiltration but this was rejected by the Environment Agency when Chantry Mead was built, due to the high surface water level in the winter months and the existing high risk of flooding in the locality. Chantry Mead therefore currently relies on a SUDS system/attenuation tank, linked to conditions precedent under EG/63/10, which required provisions for the lifetime management of the system, before construction commenced. EA flood maps show further evidence of local flooding and local residents record additional flooding in neighbouring gardens.

Given that the proposed A29 Realignment backs on to the other side of Chantry Mead, with the inevitable run-off from there, this must confirm the even greater risk of further flooding. As yet, no surface water drainage plans appear to be available in that regard.

Effect on Character and Appearance of Local Area

The proposed development at the rear of 10 Downview Road (EG/108/17/OUT) has already been rejected on the basis of the following, all of which appear to apply to BN/51/20/PL:

- The effect on the character and appearance of the area with a variety of dwellings, all of which are set back from the road with significant front gardens and private parking.
- There are no examples of houses constructed in back gardens and any change in that regard would set a precedent with likely small, piecemeal developments creating further harm/detriment to the character of the area, as well as contravening policies and local plans.

Open Amenity Space

When Chantry Mead was built, the requirement to provide sufficient open amenity space created significant difficulties. The current proposal fails to provide additional space and actually appears to reduce it even further through the use of hedges around the frontages of the new properties. Not only does this reduce the open space to below acceptable levels but it also reduces any form of protection to pedestrians, children or those with disabilities in an area with no pavements, or even street lighting.

Mrs Kate Keays

26 Longley Road, Chichester, PO19 6DD