

From: Amanda Scholes on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 30 June 2020 09:55
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: BN/51/20/PL - Land Chantry Mead - OBJECTION

Amanda Scholes | Receptionist, Department, [Arun District Council](#) | Location: Ground Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF

Internal: 37736 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737500 ext 37736 | E-mail: amanda.scholes@arun.gov.uk



Visit Arun's web site at [Error! Hyperlink reference not valid.](#)



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Amy Myer <Amy.Myer@arun.gov.uk>
Sent: 29 June 2020 17:16
To: Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: BN/51/20/PL - Land Chantry Mead - OBJECTION

Hi – pls add to file as an objection
Thanks
Amy

From: Linda Wale [REDACTED]
Sent: 27 June 2020 12:45
To: Amy Myer <Amy.Myer@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: BN/51/20/PL

7 Chantry Mead

Downview Road,

Barnham.

West Sussex.

PO22 0DE.

I

27th. June 2020.

**Arun District Council,
Planning Department.
Maltravers Road,
Littlehampton.
BN17 5LF.**

FAO Ms Amy Myer.

Re.BN/51/20/PL

Here are my personal objections to the proposed planning application above.

Traffic flow and safety.

The increased traffic flow into Chantry Mead (CM) causing added wear and tear to what is a private access road, the upkeep of which is paid for by primarily the residents of Chantry Mead with contributions from the residents of Murrell Gardens. Have the developers taken this into consideration?

There are no pavements into Chantry Mead and the road is narrow, thus pedestrians do have to be vigilant, there is an area of green space opposite No's 5&6 CM which according to the proposed plans would seem to disappear thus making it more dangerous for pedestrians, plus the plans depict hedging on the boundary of the new houses thus increasing the difficulty and danger for pedestrians.

Privacy.

There will be a total loss of privacy to the residents of No 4,5&6 Chantry Mead with vehicles entering and leaving the proposed access to the new development, not just from the headlights being directly opposite the living room window of one of the above but also increased engine noise and fumes.

Two of the houses in the proposed development would be facing No's 4,5&6 CM and would be able to look from their upstairs rooms directly into the houses in Chantry Mead, thus losing their coveted privacy and this is unacceptable.

Access.

The access to the proposed site is in totally the wrong place (see above) it could be sited along the 'run in road' to Chantry Mead and not be close to dwellings in CM and potentially run alongside the back gardens of the houses in Downview Road, the ones that are selling off their land to accommodate the new development.

It would however mean losing some green space which is just one of the many attractive features of our road.

Flood Risk.

Flood risk will be increased by a) new dwellings, b) removal of the natural woodland. Groundwater levels fluctuate with the changing seasons and can be high in the winter. More surface water will add to the flood risk which is a problem in this area. Groundwater monitoring should be undertaken prior to any new building for a period of 12 months as per ADC Drainage Standards to ensure that proposed soakaways will be able to operate effectively all year round and not just carried out from March 17 2020 as was the case here. If soakaways are not going to work will the developers want to utilise Chantry Meads SUDs in which case the new owners of the houses will have to also pay maintenance as we do.

Not in my back garden.

Construction of additional dwellings in what currently constitutes back gardens namely Nos 14&15 Downview Road would appear incongruous in the context of the locality.

Looking at the decision to refuse No 10 Downview Road to build in the garden to the rear of the property: APP/3810/W/18/3200389, where it was stated that " Chantry Mead and Murrell Gardens have been planned and built as a Comprehensive Development. Therefore allowing the development of four more houses would set a precedent which others in Downview Road may seek to follow and the cumulative effect of piecemeal developments would lead to further harming the character of the area.

Covenanted Land.

The proposed development would take place in an area behind No's 17&18 Downview Road, this parcel of land owned by Bargate and then presumably Stonehouse; is covenanted land according to evidence from solicitors Lewis and Dick 23/11/2009.

“Clear covenant that the land immediately behind 18 Downview Road is not to be used for any purpose other than garden ground “.

This presumably is going to be totally ignored!

Woodland.

The proposed development would take place in an area of Special Character with the loss of a small wooded space thus losing all of the flora and fauna, thereby altering the material contribution to the environment. The proposal of 4 extra dwellings is failing to have any regard for the desirability of the areas prevailing character.

Added to this the new houses would render the entire CM development approximately 100 sq. m short of Open Amenity Space as per ADC requirements (2000)

Maintenance.

It is imperative that the residents of Chantry Mead have legal evidence and assurance that if this proposal does succeed the residents of the 4 dwellings all will legally have to contribute to the maintenance of the road, green spaces, and SUDs(if involved).

I moved into Chantry Mead last December attracted by its delightful situation, and knew nothing of this proposal. I was aware of the relief road and was prepared to accept it, but having further disruption of more construction work is going to be a hard ‘pill to swallow’.

Yours sincerely

Linda Wale.

Sent from my iPad