

From: Finlay Gardner <Finlay.Gardner@arun.gov.uk>
Sent: 11 May 2021 11:59
To: Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: Planning Application BN/43/21/HH

Please attach as rep

Finlay Gardner
Planning Officer,
Planning Department

T: 01903 737915
E: finlay.gardner@arun.gov.uk

Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF
www.arun.gov.uk



Sign up to our newsletter [here](#)



To register to receive notification of planning applications in your area please go to
www.arun.gov.uk/planning

From: Kerrie Simpkins [REDACTED]
Sent: 10 May 2021 21:37
To: Finlay Gardner <Finlay.Gardner@arun.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]
Cc: Lee Simpkins [REDACTED]
Subject: Planning Application BN/43/21/HH

Dear Sirs,

We formally object to planning application BN/43/21/HH that seeks permission for an extension to the rear and elevation of No. 25 Skylark Way's existing garage.

We live at No. 23 and are next door neighbours to the applicants. Our houses are situated on a corner of Skylark Way and, as can be seen on the location plan, our house and the applicant's house are at right angles from each other with the rear of their property backing on to the side of ours.

The reasons for our objection are as follows:

1. We strongly feel that the photograph shown in the 'Planning Statement' dated 16/04/21 has been taken at such an angle that it is extremely misleading to the viewer and is not a true representation of our house. Behind the current garage structure are two side windows on the Western Elevation of our house. Because of this, I believe the image shown does not show the impact the extension will have on the those windows.
2. In the Planning Statement the designer states that the garage wall will now extend a further 1.2 metres towards the side of our house leaving a 2.4 metre gap between the proposed extension and our boundary fence. The designer further comments that this would have very little effect on our property. We cannot disagree more with this statement. With the garage being extended

back towards our house it will create loss of daylight and will also feel imposing and intrusive on our enjoyment of our detached property. We had purchased this particular plot due to the positioning of the house and it's distance to other properties.

3. We have discussed this proposal with No. 25 and suggested that an extension into their driveway rather than extend backwards towards our property would have much smaller implications. No. 25 has the largest driveway on the development and currently have parking for a minimum 6 cars (this is also including their current garage footprint), so space would not be of an issue. The designer says that they are not able to do this due to restrictions on the planning conditions. However, as I understand it, planning conditions of this nature actually only require space for a minimum of 2 cars per dwelling.
4. We further object against the installation of dormer windows on the North Elevation due to invasion of privacy. On the West Elevation of our property our windows are for the use of two of our bathrooms. This would mean the adjacent property will be able to look down into our toilet or across to our shower. This potential invasion of privacy cannot be underestimated. We enjoy where we live and the position of our house and where it sits, as it is, in relation to our neighbours. We would feel extremely uncomfortable using these rooms for their intended purpose. The dormer windows are also not in keeping with the character of our street. For example the size, appearance of other garages within the development. We also believe this will then create a 'free for all' for other dwellings to propose further extensions to their properties within the development. We would like to suggest the use of Velux windows instead for both North and South Elevations.

Yours Sincerely,

Lee & Kerrie Simpkins