

Lynnyrd Wolfe

From: Simon Davis
Sent: 11 June 2019 12:45
To: Planning.Responses; Joanne Lewis
Subject: FW: BN/35/19/DOC Lake Lane External Lighting condition.
Attachments: guidance-notes-light-pollution-2011.pdf

Planning.Responses - Please see Action Sheet

Joanne – This is in response to your comment from the 5th June. Please respond to confirm whether now ok to discharge the condition?

From: Nicholas Lack [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 June 2019 11:50
To: Simon Davis [REDACTED]
Cc: David West [REDACTED]
Subject: BN/35/19/DOC Lake Lane External Lighting condition.

Dear Simon

I approached the external bollard light manufacturer DW Windsor and below is their response together with the attached guide. On behalf of the applicant I would request that this be passed onto the Environmental Health officer for comment please.

Kind regards

Nicholas Lack

Studio Lack Ltd

Dear Sir

Thank you for your email regarding the above, the Pharola Dark Sky Bollard is a very good bollard at controlling light and one of its advantages is that there is no Upward Lighting which is ideal for rural areas.

The Guide (attached) looks at various issues and I have listed the bollards performance against the various criteria.

1. Upward Light – 0% Upward Light
2. Light Intrusion with 0 upward light and the bollard at 1m the unit should not produce light intrusion.
3. Luminaire intensity after curfew (Usually 23:00) no greater than 500 I The Pharola produces 535, would suggest the bollards are switched off after 23:00

I trust that the above assists you, if you need any further information please do not hesitate to contact me.

Simon Tibble | Area Sales Manager
DW Windsor
Pindar Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire, EN11 0DX

From: Simon Davis [REDACTED]
Sent: 10 June 2019 15:04
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: BN/35/19/DOC Lake Lane

Dear Nicholas,

Please see further advice from our Environmental Health officer on lighting.

Simon.

From: Joanne Lewis [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 June 2019 10:55
To: Simon Davis [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: BN/35/19/DOC Lake Lane

Thank you for the additional information submitted, however before I can recommend discharge of the condition I would request that the developer confirm that their proposed scheme conforms with the design guidance in the Institution of Lighting Professionals, Guidance Notes for the Reduction of Obtrusive Light for Zone E2 – Rural.

Joanne

Please note my normal working days are Wednesday, Thursday and Friday.

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Simon Davis
Sent: 31 May 2019 15:49
To: Joanne Lewis
Subject: FW: BN/35/19/DOC Lake Lane

Hi Joanne,

Please see email below and attachments in response to your comments on the lighting scheme.

Please also note that our ecologist has confirmed they are happy with the present scheme from a wildlife point of view. Their response is on our website.

Kind Regards

From: Nicholas Lack [redacted]
Sent: 31 May 2019 13:38
To: Simon Davis [redacted]
Cc: David West [redacted]; Chris Monk [redacted]
Subject: BN/35/19/DOC Lake Lane

Dear Simon

I have consulted with my client and in response to the Environmental Health officer's consultation we wish to amend the external lighting proposal by selecting a 'dark skies' compliant light fitting.

The 'Pharola' bollard selected does not emit 'upward' light but does illuminate sideways, in keeping with its purpose to illuminate specific areas of the private access road there by allowing safer passage for the residents during darkness.

We note from the Ecology consultation that the disturbance of wildlife is not an issue and we feel that the residents will find these external lighting proposals discrete and welcome.

Attached is Dwg1748-52A together with the 'lighting bollard specification' which we request be substituted under the above application please.

Kind regards
Nicholas Lack
Studio Lack Ltd

From: Simon Davis [redacted]
Sent: 31 May 2019 09:32
To: [redacted]
Subject: BN/35/19/DOC Lake Lane

Dear Nicholas,

Please see attached response from Environmental Health. Can you provide on illumination levels and light spillage from the proposed lighting and justification as to why downward facing light sources are not being used.

Please note though that I have separately had a response from ecology to say they have no objections therefore EH's points about wildlife are no longer relevant.

Kind Regards

