

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 August 2014 20:30
To: Simon Davis
[REDACTED]

Subject: Sussex Reclaim BE/89/14PL

We believe this application by Sussex Reclaim is under consideration but we have not yet seen a notice posted at the site which we believe should be placed within a stipulated time zone. The following is a copy of our objection letter.

We trust that, bearing in mind the history of planning matters concerning this site, it will not be determined under delegated powers but put to the Development Control Committee. We are totally opposed to the proposed use of land at Chalcroft Nursery and trust that the Authority will turn it down.

We believe the site is totally inappropriate for the heavy industrial use for which Sussex Reclaim are using it. Heavy duty lorries many with cranes together with numerous trucks and vans frequently visit the site with resultant noise, dust clouds and danger which they create on a regular basis. This is on the edge of a quiet residential area including an old people's home adjacent to the site. Many families visit the nursery with children crossing the site and the lorries and trucks manoeuvring in the same area create a very dangerous situation.

We trust that this matter will be referred to County Highways for their comments which are particularly relevant in this case. Access to the site is not suitable for numerous large vehicles. It is very narrow and shared between vehicles and pedestrians. Site lines are zero to the left as you leave the site and also insufficient to the right, further restricted by the advertising hoardings erected. Chalcroft Lane is a main road with two very busy junctions within a few hundred yards of the site. It is also a prime route for all three emergency services: ambulances, fire tenders and police all of whom use this road on a very regular basis. Lorries using the full width of the road when entering or leaving the site create a very dangerous hazard.

The applicants are clearly ignoring regulations by setting up their business and operating fully without obtaining the permissions necessary. Their business is very similar in nature to the application made by G R Ayling which was turned down. Sussex Reclaim already involve far more lorry and truck movements creating noise, dust clouds and danger on numerous and regular occasions. Large heavy duty cranes have already been employed on site to move large, heavy goods and equipment. To say, as they do in an article in the local paper, that they have only one delivery plus 3/4 collections per week is clearly

misleading. They already have far more than this and, of course, the success of their business clearly depends on an increase in lorries delivering and collecting goods from the site.