

6th September 2018

Dear Sir/Madam

Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)
Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015

Change of use of the property from dwellinghouse to mixed use dwellinghouse & attached building for the operation of a hairdressing business (A1) & retention of attached building.
324 Chichester Road Bersted

Thank you for your internet representation made on 5th September 2018 which has been recorded as '**an objection**' with the comments as follows:

Objections as follows:

- 1. This is a purely residential setting and is not an appropriate siting for a commercial business premises as it changes the nature of this stretch of the Chichester Road.*
- 2. A severe concern is future use of the commercial premises, not only through a separate sub-letting or sale, but also by the current owners or any future owner or tenant of the whole site. A1 use includes shops, retail warehouses, travel agencies, pet shops, sandwich bars and dry cleaners. Granting this application means that any such business could be opened in future on this site without further planning permission being required. I implore the planning authority to make it a condition of the planning permission (if granted) that the use shall only be for hairdressing and only by the registered legal owner of the whole site at the date of the application being made.*
- 3. Further, if permission were granted for the salon, it requires only an amendment to the permission to increase the hours of operation, number of employees, or number of customers being seen at any one time to have a significant impact upon the surrounding residents. I ask the Planning Authority to make the applicant's proposed hours of operation, number of employees, and number of customers at any one time, all binding planning conditions.*
- 4. Planning Statement para 2.5 and Application Form section 8 are incorrect: there has been a change to the vehicular access in the front garden of the property after 01/02/2018. As can be seen in the photos, the front of the property was previously laid to garden with one car width of hardstanding for access/driveway, however the garden has been removed to facilitate parking of three vehicles but has not been replaced with hardstanding as stated.*
- 5. The Application states only one customer at a time and one parking space for that customer. This does not provide a parking space for, or take any account of, the next customer. The business is in operation already and the next customer is usually parked on the road itself, often encroaching over neighbouring properties' driveway access. Cars parked on the road make it difficult to safely exit driveways for a number of properties (not just the adjoining properties) due to reduced lines of sight for oncoming vehicles, which is particularly pertinent when there is a bus at the bus stop outside the Church. Unless the applicant could guarantee as a binding planning condition that a minimum of 15 minutes is allocated between the end of one appointment and the start of the next appointment, and*

that customers are directed to park on the driveway, the issue of where the next customer waits will always be a problem. Repeated parking on the road outside the property obstructs the free flow of traffic along this busy route into Bognor, leading to driver frustration, and may lead the Highway Authority to put yellow lines down which would be detrimental to all residents and affect property values.

Unfortunately it is not possible for the council to respond to each representation individually but your views will be considered before the application is determined and I shall write to inform you of any decision made.

If the application is to be determined at the Development Control Committee and your letter has been received after the agenda has been published, you will not receive a separate letter notifying you of the date of the meeting and the procedures for public speaking. In such circumstances, it is recommended that you track the progress of the application on the website or telephone for further information. Please be advised that any comments received after the Development Control Agenda has been produced will be reported to the meeting. Please also note that only a small number of all planning applications are determined at the Development Control Committee, most are determined by officers under delegated power.

All representations are published on our website so please make sure your views are clear and only include information that you are happy for the public to view. Do not include personal information or information from third parties unless you have their permission to do so. If a complaint is received that permission has not been given for comments included about a third party, the Council reserves the right to redact/remove all relevant information. Do not include information that is defamatory or breaches equality or other legislation. If you do not wish your comments to appear on the website and on the file, please confirm this in writing and I will arrange for it to be destroyed, although this does mean that its contents will be disregarded. For details of what we do with your personal information please refer to our privacy statement comments on planning applications which is available on our website www.arun.gov.uk/planning-privacy-statements.

In the event of a subsequent written representations appeal, against a refusal of planning permission on a householder application, any representations made on the application will be automatically forwarded to the Secretary of State. Unlike all other types of appeal, there will be no further opportunity to comment at the appeal stage.

Yours faithfully

Neil Crowther
Group Head of Planning