

Bronte Welham

From: Amanda Scholes on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 12 July 2017 09:12
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: Planning Application BE/63/17/OUT - The Cottage, Shripney Road, Bognor Regis

From: Simon Davis
Sent: 11 July 2017 16:24
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Planning Application BE/63/17/OUT - The Cottage, Shripney Road, Bognor Regis

2nd Highway Comments. Copy please.

Kind Regards

Simon J Davis MRTPI | Principal Planning Officer, Planning Department, Arun District Council |
Location: 1st Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maitravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

Sent: 11 July 2017 16:10

Cc: Chris Saunders; Simon Davis; Dominic Smith
Subject: RE: Planning Application BE/63/17/OUT - The Cottage, Shripney Road, Bognor Regis

Afternoon James,

We have taken a look over the Technical Note produced by Motion dated 27th June 2017.

The LHA are not satisfied with the ATC data or the use of MfS, as previously stated. The ATC was not undertaken in a traffic neutral time in accordance with TA 22/81. The data taken from the fixed ATC point (as noted on a stretch of dual carriageway) was primarily to compare traffic volumes in comparison with your survey. Based on this, the LHA are not satisfied that your survey offers a true reflection of volumes or speed data as it was undertaken in the holiday period.

The average 85th percentile speeds recorded at the ad-hoc Robin Hood point show a southbound speed of 37.1mph and a northbound speed of 39.8 mph. The LHA acknowledge the location of this loop was outside of the pub on the approach to the bend; however it gives a more robust reflection of vehicle speeds approaching the bend on the approach to the proposed site access. With vehicles slowing to enter the site it is a concern that the speeds recorded are on/over 37mph and if inadequate visibility and forward visibility is secured this may cause rear end collisions.

The LHA are not stating that strict DMRB standards must be applied to all 40 mph roads, just that in this instance the context of the A29, the posted speed limit and the ATC data from the ad hoc Robin Hood Pub count show that speeds are on or over 37mph so MfS is not an

applicable standard to apply. Table 1.1 in MfS2 clearly states that for a 40mph road the use of MfS parameters is 'subject to local context'. I will also once again highlight the comment made by the Road Safety Audit Team in your Stage 1 RSA 'Ensure visibility to and from the crossing point and site access is suitable for the speeds and nature of the road'.

The LHA are not implying that the A29 is a Trunk Road, but it is also not a residential street. The nature of the traffic that the A29 carries and the posted speed limit lends itself more so to DMRB standards. The wider context of the A29 needs to be considered and not just in the immediate vicinity of the proposed access. The LHA are not in support of MfS parameters being used in this location and our objection to the application still stands.

The visibility splays need to comply with DMRB standards for a 40 mph road. If a Departure from Standard was to be applied for this would have to be supported by a new ATC survey, not carried out in the holiday period. Two loops would be required, one to record speeds of traffic traveling from Bognor northbound. This needs to be placed as close to the 120m forward visibility line as possible and will highlight vehicle speeds of a vehicle traveling along at the point of where they would spot a vehicle waiting to turn right into the development or a vehicle exiting to the right from the development. The second loop needs to be placed at the point where a vehicle traveling southbound would be able to see the access/vehicle slowing to enter the access (so at the end of the shown achievable visibility splay of 71m on drawing 160818-07).

Please re-submit any new information via the LPA who will then re-consult us.

Kind Regards,
Emma

Emma Avis | Planner, County Highways (Development Management), Planning Services
Ground Floor Northleigh, County Hall, Chichester PO19 1RH

Sent: 28 June 2017 16:34

Subject: Planning Application BE/63/17/OUT - The Cottage, Shripney Road, Bognor Regis

Dear Simon

I refer to the highway objection received from WSCC regarding these proposals and have pleasure in attaching our comprehensive response to the issues raised.

I have also copied in Emma Avis at WSCC for reference.

We believe this response resolves the issues raised by WSCC and if there are any queries I would be grateful if you could contact us.

I am out of the office between 30th June and 12th July and during this period I would be grateful if you could contact my colleague James Bancroft in my absence.

Kind Regards

Chris Saunders | Associate

The information in this email is confidential and is intended solely for the addressee(s). Access to this email by anyone else is unauthorised. If you are not an intended recipient, you must not read, use or disseminate the information contained in this email. Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, except where the sender specifically states them to be the views of Motion.

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended solely for the persons addressed. If it has come to you in error please reply to advise us but you should not read it, copy it, show it to anyone else nor make any other use of its content. West Sussex County Council takes steps to ensure emails and attachments are virus-free but you should carry out your own checks before opening any attachment.