

Neil Crowther

From: Harvey Rogers [REDACTED]
Sent: 03 June 2014 14:23
To: Neil Crowther
Subject: RE: Noise Bund - Phase 5

Hi Neil,

Thank you for the below message.

Whilst the material that is going to be used to construct the bund is not shown on the drawing accompanying the NMA, from a structural integrity point of view the proposal to increase the height of the bund is acceptable on the proviso that suitable material is used to build the bund and it is placed and compacted in a satisfactory manor.

What you need to be aware (if not already) is that this proposal is going to cause the slope of the bund on the development side of the bund to increase and in places it will have as much as a 1 in 3 gradient.

I think the original concept behind the design of the slope on the development side was to be flat enough to allow residents etc to use the whole of the side slope to walk and not restrict them to the designated footpath. As the current proposal is going to steepen up the slope on the development side this may no longer be possible and therefore you need to decide whether this change in concept is acceptable.

In all honesty the visual and noise benefits afforded by the increase in height of the bund may take precedent over any loss in amenity use, but in the normal way this decision rests with you.

I hope these comments provide useful.

Kind regards Harvey.

Harvey Rogers | Project Manager of Site 6, Principal Engineer , Implementation Team,
Strategic Planning, Communities and Infrastructure, West Sussex County Council
Location: Second Floor Northleigh, County Hall, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 1RG

From: Neil Crowther [REDACTED]
Sent: 23 May 2014 11:29
To: Harvey Rogers
Subject: RE: Noise Bund - Phase 5

Harvey,

Berkeley's have now submitted BE/55/14/NMA. All the info is on our website below. Your comments would be appreciated.

[REDACTED]

Regards, Neil

Neil Crowther | Strategic Development Manager, Arun District Council | Location: First Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Harvey Rogers [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 April 2014 10:14
To: Joe Russell-Wells; Neil Crowther; Nat Slade
Subject: RE: Noise Bund - Phase 5

Hi Neil,

Thanks for this info.

If it is decided this proposal is acceptable could I suggest a stipulation is made that the gradient of the slope on the development side of the bund must not exceed 1 in 3, unless it is proven the material used to construct the bund is self-supporting without being reinforced in some way. Otherwise over time the bund could fail.

I think the original theory behind the slope of the development side of the bund being flatter than the relief road side was to allow this area to be used as an amenity area i.e. walking etc. If the slope is increased as Berkeley's propose this may no longer be possible because the slope could be too steep. It might therefore be worth asking Berkeley's to prove this concept will not be undermined by this change if it is still considered appropriate.

My relief road drawings show the development side of the slope through the adjacent Persimmons development being 1 in 6, I don't have this information for the design of the original Berkeley's bund.

Kind regards Harvey.

Harvey Rogers | Project Manager of Site 6, Principal Engineer , Implementation Team, Strategic Planning, Communities and Infrastructure, West Sussex County Council
Location: Second Floor Northleigh, County Hall, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 1RG

From: Joe Russell-Wells [REDACTED]
Sent: 10 April 2014 16:30
To: Neil Crowther; Nat Slade
Cc: Harvey Rogers
Subject: RE: Noise Bund - Phase 5

Neil – can they confirm this doesn't affect the boundary fence location – it would be useful this to be identified on the plans – I am keen to see this from the point of view of ADC's responsibility. Otherwise can't see it a problem.

Regards, Joe