

From: Julie Grieves on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 02 April 2019 09:30
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: BE/30/19/PL

Julie Grieves - (Working days Monday, Tuesday, Thursday & Friday 9.15am-2.15pm)
| Technical Support Unit Assistant, Planning Department, Directorate of Place, Arun District Council |

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk ♻ Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Alyson Van Koolbergen
Sent: 31 March 2019 19:23
To: Planning.Responses; Simon Davis
Cc: Ann Rapnik; Rosemarie Dannatt;
Subject: BE/30/19/PL

Dear ADC

I wish to make further comments on the above planning application with regard to the proposed plans:

1. The security gate was originally set out to be 2m(h) x 2.4m(w); why was it put in place at 2.55m(h). The purpose being?
2. The existing fencing referred to at 2.1m (lower than the gate in place but higher than the gate allowed in original planning) was erected by RSL during November/December 2018.
3. The 2m high bund proposed in the planning application above was formed on top of an original bund in place? No bund was in place before RSL took occupancy.
4. The 2.45m high solid fencing erected in January 2019 was to minimise visual impact. This solid fencing is made up of container parts and is most unsightly and has therefore created more of a visual impact than what is proposed to be behind this fencing.
5. There is mention of existing concrete hardstanding in the new plans. Yet this was not part of the site before RSL took occupancy.
6. The sight line works from the rear of the property for our benefit but does not benefit those that are living on the Willows Edge estate that face onto the A259 bypass.
7. The bund created by RSL at the north east/west has not been mentioned.
8. There is a loaded skip of waste on site which is partially hidden from view, of us but in full view of those living at Willows Edge, behind the Dutch Barn.

9. The existing barn which is the Dutch Barn is now to be used as (A) temporary vehicle storage and (B) a vehicle workshop. So many amendments have been, and continue to be, carried out to this agricultural building with no planning consent granted.

10. The proposed new hours set in the planning application seem to be already in place with consent as we are experiencing vehicles entering site before 0800 on a weekday and also RSL vehicles travelling up and down the lane before 0830 on a Saturday having been on site.

11. Conditions breached reference BE/79/17/PL that are not addressed by this new planning application are:

- i) no lighting survey carried out (7)
- ii) trees and hedgerows have been removed and/or altered (8)
- iii) no white noise bleeping heard; therefore assumed not used (11)
- iv) waste stored on site as reported to ADC many times before and continues (12)

RSL also promised signage to alert drivers of the shared pedestrian/cycle route that runs along Babsham Lane and that all drivers would be made aware of a 20mph speed restriction in line with approved conditionally permission for BE/79/17/PL.

The lane is enduring vehicles being driven well over this supposed speed restriction kicking up dust and mud that is then deposited over the lane, our vehicles and our homes.

No regard is being given by RSL to anyone else and yet this proposal was suggested to "be acceptable in principle and would not detract from the quality of the area or have any harmful impact upon the amenities of neighbouring residents" (design and access statement supplied by Sloane and Brown dated 4th March 2019)

Sincerely
Alyson van Koolbergen