



From: Simon Davis
Sent: 07 February 2020 12:15
To: 'Deputy Clerk' [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: BE/137/19/RES The Cottage, Shripney Road

Hi Sue,

Thanks, The plan I attached was only received yesterday hence you would not have yet seen it. There are also some purple dots within the car barns on the plan – nos. 7, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 18, 20.

Simon

From: Deputy Clerk [REDACTED]
Sent: 07 February 2020 12:10
To: Simon Davis <Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: BE/137/19/RES The Cottage, Shripney Road

Hi Simon,

Thanks for your email, yes I did mean to say 2.5 storey (my typo error). I had not seen the revised plan with charging points (though I can only see 1, by the parking for the flats), we were sent a box of plans but that was not in it and looking on the portal I can't see reference to this plan you've attached.

I will ask members at next weeks council meeting and get back to you on Wednesday 12 Feb.

Kind regards

Sue O'Connell
Deputy Clerk
Bersted Parish Council
[REDACTED]

From: Simon Davis <Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk>
Sent: 07 February 2020 11:54
To: Deputy Clerk [REDACTED]
Subject: BE/137/19/RES The Cottage, Shripney Road

Dear Sue,

I have seen the objection of Bersted Parish Council to this application:

"Access & highway safety following WSCC Highways concerns that the width of the estate road is not considered wide enough making it difficult for refuse or emergency vehicles to turn safely in the development, without backing onto the A29. Arun Local Plan Policy T SP1 Transport and development. The design does not incorporate any facilities for charging electrical and plug in hybrid vehicles. Also it does not give priority to pedestrian and cycle movements and have access to high quality public transport facilities. The bus route through Shripney is every 3 to 4 hours and none on a Sunday. No consideration has been given for creating a safe cycle route along the A29 to service the development. Bersted Neighbourhood Plan Policy HDQ2 Integration of new housing. The development incorporates 2 storey dwellings these do not connect visually or integrate with the surrounding dwellings."


Firstly, can I confirm that the last sentence is supposed to refer 2 storey dwellings?

Secondly, could I ask the Parish to reconsider their objection based on the following:

- The applicant has provided a revised plan to widen the block paving turning head to 4.8m to enable a refuse vehicle to turn more comfortably;
- On the same plan, they now show EV charge points according to our standards;
- Matters relating to the location and sustainability of the site and public transport improvements were considered at the outline stage. We cannot on this Reserved Matters application, request public transport/pedestrian cycle improvements as we are only able to consider design, scale, layout of the site and landscaping.
- There are 2 storey buildings on the opposite side of the road and also on the Robin Hood site. If you meant to say 2.5 storeys then I will understand this point better.

Kind Regards

Simon J Davis MRTPI | Principal Planning Officer, Planning Department, Directorate of Place, Arun District Council |
Location: Planning, First Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
Internal: 37874 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737874 | E-mail: simon.davis@arun.gov.uk
Please note that I typically work from home on Thursdays when I am unreachable on my work phone



Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.