

From: Amanda Scholes on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 21 December 2020 12:25
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: Planning Application BE/115/20/HH 32 Norbren Avenue Bersted

Amanda Scholes | Receptionist, Department, [Arun District Council](#) | Location: Ground Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF

Internal: 37736 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737500 ext 37736 | E-mail: amanda.scholes@arun.gov.uk



Visit Arun's web site at [Error! Hyperlink reference not valid.](#)



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Daun Johnson <Daun.Johnson@arun.gov.uk>
Sent: 21 December 2020 09:04
To: Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>
Cc: Amber Willard <Amber.Willard@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: Planning Application BE/115/20/HH 32 Norbren Avenue Bersted

Mandy, rep

Daun Johnson
Technical Support Unit Assistant, Planning

T: 01903 737762
E: daun.johnson@arun.gov.uk



Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF
www.arun.gov.uk

Sign up to our newsletter [here](#)



From: coles [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 December 2020 10:37
To: Planning <Planning@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: Planning Application BE/115/20/HH 32 Norbren Avenue Bersted

Dear Ms Willard

Re: BE/115/20/HH

32 Norbren Avenue, North Bersted, Bognor Regis.

It has come to our notice that our next door neighbour (We are 30 Norbren Avenue) has applied for planning permission to extend his house on its south side. We had noticed a planning application notice on a lamp post on the opposite side of the road and not even directly opposite the property under consideration. We are not the sort of people who necessarily want to know what is going on away from our property and naturally we assumed it was to do with the one of the properties on the opposite side of the road adjacent to the displayed notice.

On the 15th December my wife was feeling a bit nosey and thought she would see what our neighbours across the road from us were applying for. To our utter surprise she found that it was not only our next door neighbours planning application (we are semi detached to 32 Norbren Ave) but that the closing date for any comments or indeed objections had passed.

I feel very let down by yourselves as I understood that the notice for planning approval should be on or immediately adjacent to the property concerned. A note through the door would have been very useful as the notice was displayed a considerable distance from the property concerned and us as the next door neighbours.

Whilst in principle I would have no fundamental objection there would be some conditions I would appreciate being imposed. As I would like to have more clarification and reassurance that physically my property, that is my conservatory, will not be damaged in any way.

1. I would not want any part of my property to be damaged, I am thinking specifically of the gutter on the side of the conservatory, which when it was constructed, does not overhang the boundary between the two properties. I would expect the extension to be constructed within the properties boundaries.

2. We have noticed on the plans reference to the party wall act. It states that the new cavity party wall (the extension building wall) extending the existing wall straddling property boundary by agreement with both parties under the party wall act. I am concerned that there could be damage to our conservatory. Also will it affect any future development from this side of the boundary in the future?

3. The wall which would overlook our conservatory I feel should be rendered and painted white as this will alleviate the problem of loss of light to our bedroom above the conservatory at the back of the house.

4. Finally and this may be something I would have to come to an arrangement with my next door neighbour the construction of a snow guard along the gutter which overhangs the conservatory. This is to prevent tiles from the sloping roof slipping down and crashing into the roof of the conservatory.

I realise it is too late but I am of the opinion that the planning application was not advertised properly and the people most affected by the planning application were furthest away from where it was displayed.

I would be grateful if you will acknowledge receipt of this e-mail and clarify the situation regarding my worry about the party wall act and how it will affect my property.

I would very much appreciate a reply today 17th December. Thank you.

PS We will add a comment to the application under objection with the same concerns as those in this e-mail.

Mr D J Coles

30 Norbren Avenue

H