






From: Michael Eastham
Sent: 02 November 2017 10:35
To: 'Owen Allpress'; 
Cc: Zoe Knott; Chris Fry; Adam Ross; Natasha Bullen
Subject: RE: Email 3: South of Water Lane, Angmering

Hi Owen,

I agree that the following actions are required by the applicant:

- Layout amended to reduce pressure on Tree G31 by houses at the northern boundary.
- Repositioning of a 'large block' to limit impact on Tree T37 which is not evident on your current AIA. This point may require clarification by the applicant.
- Updated AIA containing several (tree retention plans only) at a scale that can assist the reader in observing impact and removal of Tree Protection Plan which should be approached as part of a detailed AMS at full application come the time.

Kind regards,

Michael

From: Owen Allpress 
Sent: 02 November 2017 10:16
To: Michael Eastham; 
Cc: Zoe Knott; Chris Fry; Adam Ross; Natasha Bullen
Subject: Re: Email 3: South of Water Lane, Angmering

Thanks for speaking on the phone this morning Micheal, I have just got off the phone with Bernie who called me moments ago.

The main objection, although not originally clear, is that the AIA provided shows the masterplan as such a scale that prevented Bernie from being able to comfortably understand the impact. It may also appear that I do not have the latest masterplan as Bernie refers to a large block at plot 175 which is not shown on my most recent AIA (see screen shot). Bernie also confirmed that comments relating to T29 are in relation to the large scale of the AIA and isn't an issue now given distance, (See screen shot).

Following my discussion with Bernie the following actions are apparent as being required:

- Layout amended to reduce pressure on G31 by houses at the northern boundary.
- Repositioning of a 'large block' to limit impact on T37 which is not evident on my current AIA. This point may require clarification by Nexus / Pro Vision.
- Updated AIA containing several (tree retention plans only) at a scale that can assist the reader in observing impact and removal of Tree protection plan which should be approached as part of a detailed AMS at full application come the time.

Bernie, appreciate that you have limited scope in your role with Arun to directly liaise however if either you or Michael can confirm these actions as appropriate I will communicate these to the client.

Kind regards

Owen Allpress *BSc (Hons), M.Arbor.A*
Arboricultural Consultant



