

**Peter Cleveland**

---

**From:** Graham Beck [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 12 December 2012 17:29  
**To:** Peter Cleveland  
**Subject:** angmering  
**Attachments:** 121210 arb comments from ACD.pdf  
**Categories:** Green Category

Peter,

In response to the council's arb comments, please find attached a note from ACD which is designed to answer the queries. Should you need to discuss further, please let me know.

In the light of the Ferring appeal decision today, can you please let me know what the officer's approach will be to the current application?

Thanks.

Graham Beck  
Luken Beck Ltd,  
30 Carlton Crescent,  
Southampton SO15 2EW  
UK



**Luken Beck**

Development ♦ Management ♦ Planning

10<sup>th</sup> December 2012

Mr. Stuart Goodwill  
Senior Planning Manager  
Barratt David Wilson Southampton  
Tollbar House  
Tollbar Way  
Hedge End  
Southampton  
Hampshire SO30 2UH

Dear Mr Goodwill,

Roundstone Lane, Angmering – Tree Officer Comments.

Following the arboricultural consultation comments from the tree officer Ian Brewster on 15<sup>th</sup> October 2012 for the planning application at Roundstone Lane, Angmering, ACD Arboriculture have made the following comments in response.

Comments one, two and three, discuss the satisfactory retention of high category trees. This has been established as viable in the submitted package of arboricultural works. Following the recommendations outlined within BS5837:2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations' all trees surveyed and recorded as categories 'A' and 'B' have been integrated within the design proposals. Taking into account current and ultimate height and crown spreads, the established individuals central to the site have been incorporated within an area of open space, ensuring their long term retention. Sufficient space is available for reinforcement planting to enhance the character of this area.

Observations are made in comments four and five for increasing space between retained trees and development proposals due to potential species attributes. A number of tree species are identified on or immediately adjacent to the site as having the future potential to reach heights of 20 metres and more. A proposed buffer of 20m around trees to be retained is based on these potential mature heights. It is reasoned that this would create an impracticable developable area. The British Standard contains no standardised dimensions for the potential heights of tree species. As outlined in section 5.3.4 of the British Standard, a realistic assessment of the probable impacts of the proposed Angmering development on the trees and vice versa has taken into account the characteristics and current condition of the trees to be retained. The proposals have been designed with structures to be sited outside the root protection areas of all trees to be retained and as such it is felt the development proposals meet with the recommendations as outlined in the British Standard.

Comments six and eight discuss potential shading. The proposed site layout has been assessed in terms of potential shading, based on guidance outlined in BRE Digest 350 Climate and site development and section 5.3 of the British Standard. In terms of the orientation of site, and the relationship between the proposed dwellings and trees identified for retention, the juxtaposition is viable for long-term tree retention and not dissimilar to identified relationships between trees and buildings in the surrounding areas of properties.



Tanners, Lower Street, Haslemere, Surrey, GU27 2PE.  
TEL: (01483) 425714 FAX: (01428) 641090 MOBILE: 07768 352000  
EMAIL: mail@acdarb.co.uk WEBSITE: www.acdarb.co.uk

Director: S.J. Dale, Dip.LA CMLI, M. Welby, Tech.Cert.(AA), Dip.Arb(RFS), M Arbor A.

ACD Arboriculture Ltd Registered Office: Construction House, Runwell Road, Wickford, Essex, SS11 7HQ Company Registration No: 0143406

**iema**  
INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL  
MANAGEMENT & ASSESSMENT

Conclusion comments discuss the lack of mature trees throughout the site. Every provision has been made to ensure the viable retention of the mature specimens on-site as recommended in section 5.2.4 of the British Standard. The development design has considered the constraints imposed by trees, both above and below ground. Trees identified as important have been shown as retained and positively incorporated within the design proposals, with removals limited to trees of limited quality/value.

The proposals and submitted arboricultural package of works demonstrate that the trees can remain viable and through additional enhancement planting, continue to make a long term contribution to the character of the area. Therefore we feel that the development plan is in line with the recommendations of BS5837:2012 and will not adversely impact upon the current and future existence of good trees within and immediate periphery of the site.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'A. Bigg' with a stylized flourish at the end.

Andrew Bigg  
Arboriculturist