

Amanda Scholes

From: Peter Cleveland
Sent: 26 November 2012 10:12
To: Amanda Scholes
Subject: FW: John & Janet Walters FW: Barratts Planning Developement- Angmering

From: Save Angmering Village [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 November 2012 02:04
To: Planning
Cc: Peter Cleveland; Janet & John Walters
Subject: John & Janet Walters FW: Barratts Planning Developement- Angmering

Further to my reply of 17 November to Peter Cleveland's email of 23 October.

No. 17 of 34 letters that do not appear on the website.

Please scan it as an Objection letter to A/82/12. Thank you.

Sue Ware

Save Angmering Village (SAV)

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Fw: Barratts Planning Developement- Angmering
Date: Fri, 31 Aug 2012 11:29:07 +0100

From: John & Jan Walters
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 11:27 AM
To: planning@arun.gov.uk
Subject: Barratts Planning Developement- Angmering

Please take note that I wish to protest in the strongest possible terms to Barratts Planning Application, Reference A/82/12 and detail below some of what I consider to be relevant reasons:

1. There seems to be no consideration in respect of infrastructure in respect of increased medical services, it currently takes in excess of 1 week to obtain a doctor's appointment in the Angmering Medical Centre. Any increase in traffic on the A259, which is already a very busy road will make traffic grind to a halt at peak times which cannot be good for the environment.
2. Angmering is a small village and whilst small quantities of selective housing could be approved it is ridiculous to contemplate developments on the proposed scale.
3. The existing schools cannot cope with a major influx of additional children .
4. It has not been demonstrated that there is a need for this size of housing development in Angmering
5. We the residents of Angmering have an Englishman's right to preserve our quality of life and it is not a NIMBY attitude to expect some consideration in this respect.

6. The proposed quantity of new housing will require additional work places and in the current economic climate this just will not happen.

Surely common sense must prevail, limit the developments to small areas of new houses and do not allow the mass developemnts of large estates which are unsustainable.

PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW THIS DEVELOPMENT OR ANY OTHER SIMILAR PROPOSAL

John and Janet Walters – 38 East Drive, Angmering

Amanda Scholes

From: Peter Cleveland
Sent: 26 November 2012 10:12
To: Amanda Scholes
Subject: FW: [REDACTED] FW: Planning Application A/82/12 - Barratts - Roundstone Lane, Angmering
Attachments: Objection letter to Arun.doc

From: Save Angmering Village [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 November 2012 02:03
To: Planning
Cc: Peter Cleveland; Raymond Whitehouse
Subject: Ray Whitehouse FW: Planning Application A/82/12 - Barratts - Roundstone Lane, Angmering

Further to my reply of 17 November to Peter Cleveland's email of 23 October.

No. 18 of 34 letters that do not appear on the website.

Please scan it as an Objection letter to A/82/12. Thank you.

Sue Ware

Save Angmering Village (SAV)

From: [REDACTED]
To: planning@arun.gov.uk
CC: [REDACTED]
Subject: Planning Application A/82/12 - Barratts - Roundstone Lane, Angmering
Date: Sun, 9 Sep 2012 17:57:22 +0100

Dear Sirs...please see letter attached.

Regards

Ray Whitehouse

Mulberry House
Mulberry Hollow
Angmering
West Sussex
BN16 4JB

Planning Officer
The Planning Department
Arun Civic Centre
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton
BN17 5LF

9th September 2012

Dear Sir/Madam

Planning Application ref: A/82/12 – Barratts – Roundstone Lane, Angmering.

I am writing to register my objection to the recent application made by Barratts Ltd., to build 150 houses off Roundstone Lane Angmering under the above reference and the basis of my objections are as follows...

1. Traffic Nuisance and Pollution...by allowing this development to proceed, without direct access to the by-pass, will greatly increase the volume of traffic both within the immediate vicinity of the Roundstone Lane area; add further pressure on the access to the A259 and the already overstretched congested road system within the centre of the village.
I would like to point out that it is my understanding that the Angmering by-pass, built a few years ago, was constructed to relieve traffic pressure on the village. In this respect it has obviously failed miserably and would ask where is the logic in continuing to propose developments that completely ignore the by-pass and will obviously create addition traffic problems and pressure on the environment of Angmering. Therefore, it can be assumed that the construction of the by-pass has actually created development areas which if allowed to proceed will have the direct reciprocal effect on the village that its construction was meant to achieve!
2. My second concern is that the creation of further major housing developments within Angmering will place further pressure on the already inadequate and unsustainable infrastructure of services with the village i.e. water supplies, sewerage systems, drainage and public transportation. I also understand that the schools are already at capacity and from my own experience medical facilities are also seriously overstretched. By allowing this application to go ahead then I feel the local authority will be seriously compromising the right of the existing residents of Angmering to a reasonable quality of life, and will be directly opposed to existing Government Policy with regard to sustainability and family life.
3. That there has been no demonstrated need or requirement for additional housing development within Angmering. I understand there is virtually no waiting list of local residents for housing therefore it can be assumed that this proposed housing development is for the benefit of non local people, placing further pressure on local jobs, and the business interests of outside organisations that will "move on" once the project is complete.
4. I am extremely concerned that if this development of 150 houses is allowed to go ahead it will open the flood gates for further housing development applications within the immediate vicinity of the other green field sites between the present village housing and the by-pass. I also understand that it is the policy of the Arun District Council to avoid building outside of built up areas and wherever possible to only build on brown field sites...where is that policy with respect to this application?

Finally, I wish to make the point that over recent years Angmering has already played its part in accepting several major housing development schemes and that in doing so it has made it a rather soft target for overzealous developers who, it should always be remember are only really interested in the commercial opportunity this development offers and once it is complete will have no further interest in Angmering or its residents.

Yours faithfully,

R. Whitehouse

Barratts Planning Application A/82/12

[REDACTED]
10 September 2012 09:16:33

planning@arun.gov.uk (planning@arun.gov.uk)
[REDACTED]

To whom it may concern , I wish to object to the above planning application .

It is obvious that in making this application , no regard has been given to the impact this will have on the traffic systems around the Angmering / Rustington / East Preston area . Already , traffic queues are unacceptable given the A259 single carriageway bottleneck which is only going to worsen with the increased traffic in / out of the new ASDA store before we even consider the above application .

We already have narrow village lanes with heavy , speeding traffic passing through and any additional traffic will only make this unacceptable .

Where are the additional schools being built for new families to the area ?

Where are the additional hospital beds ?

When will the additional infrastructure for water provision / soakaways be provided ?

Why is none of the above taken into account and planned for before putting additional housing pressure on a small community .

What is being considered is not effective planning !

Planning means taking into account all of the issues and moving forwards in a considered way such that you have an effective solution .

Where will the jobs come from to provide the wages for any families wishing to buy these houses ..supply of houses is not an issue when you read the local papers and see the number of available properties for sale .

Whatever happened to the Government policy on quality of life ..this will not be the case if you are permanently stuck in traffic jams , unable to see a Doctor , unable to confirm that your child will receive an education and hope that a hospital bed will be available

Beverley Winter

36 , East Drive

Angmering .

Amanda Scholes

From: Peter Cleveland
Sent: 26 November 2012 10:12
To: Amanda Scholes
Subject: FW: John Woodward FW: Planning Application Reference Number A/82/12

From: Save Angmering Village [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 November 2012 02:03
To: Planning
Cc: Peter Cleveland; John & F Woodward
Subject: John Woodward FW: Planning Application Reference Number A/82/12

Further to my reply of 17 November to Peter Cleveland's email of 23 October.

No. 20 of 34 letters that do not appear on the website.

Please scan it as an Objection letter to A/82/12. Thank you.

Sue Ware

Save Angmering Village (SAV)

Date: Thu, 30 Aug 2012 20:45:25 +0100
From: [REDACTED]
Subject: Planning Application Reference Number A/82/12
To: planning@arun.gov.uk
CC: [REDACTED]

I strongly object to Barratts' Planning application A/82/12 on the following points:-

The development is too big as it is and will be the thin edge of the wedge, it will not be long before phase 2 is requested and perhaps more as with Bramley Green, which also had hollow promises of new schools. There is no need for such a large development, if you look in the estate agents there are about 50 houses for sale in the village, of which many have gone on since the realisation of the large scale new development plans, talk about rip the heart out of the community!!!

As you can see we live in North Drive my wife works in Goring, as it is it takes her 20 mins to do 4 miles to work in the morning how much longer will it take her to get to work, not just her, everyone! DO YOU CARE ABOUT YOUR COMMUNITY.

The residents of Angmering have a right to a reasonable quality of life and this WILL be compromised by the addition of large scale developments, the village has already grown by 27% with the addition of Bramley Green, how much more growth have we got to sustain?

I have many more objections, such as schooling issues, parking issues, and medical issues but I am trying to keep this as short as possible as I am sure you will have many more letters/emails to read.

An end note,

Have you adopted Bramley Green yet or are you still having problems with DEVELOPERS.

Regards

John Woodward

Amanda Scholes

From: Peter Cleveland
Sent: 26 November 2012 10:11
To: Amanda Scholes
Subject: FW: Mrs T Alman FW: Planning Application A/82/12 - Letter of Objection
Attachments: Objection to Barratts' Application A 82 12.doc

From: Save Angmering Village [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 November 2012 02:02
To: Planning
Cc: Peter Cleveland; Andy & T Alman
Subject: Mrs T Alman FW: Planning Application A/82/12 - Letter of Objection

Further to my reply of 17 November to Peter Cleveland's email of 23 October.

No. 21 of 34 letters that do not appear on the website.

Please scan it as an Objection letter to A/82/12. Thank you.

Sue Ware

Save Angmering Village (SAV)

Date: Tue, 25 Sep 2012 13:13:10 +0100
From: [REDACTED]
Subject: Planning Application A/82/12 - Letter of Objection
To: planning@arun.gov.uk
CC: [REDACTED]

Please find attached ...

Regards
Mrs Alman

12 Bramley Way
Angmering
West Sussex
BN16 4GA

The Planning Department
Arun District Council
Arun Civic Centre
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton
West Sussex
BN17 5LF

25 September 2012

Dear Sir

Planning Application A/82/12
Barratts' 150 houses east of Roundstone Lane

I object to planning application A/82/12 for the following reasons:-

1. It would cause more congestion to already heavy traffic through narrow village lanes, increasing delays at pinch points and an increase in traffic through the village itself. Many vehicles exceed the speed limit of the village which causes danger to pedestrians, school children, etc and an increase in traffic would make this worse.
2. It will increase the possibility of flooding (part of the village was badly flooded in June 2012) by concreting over green fields as it will prevent rain water soaking away naturally.
3. The village schools already have overcrowded classrooms and the traffic congestion around school pick up/drop off times, especially in Arundel Road, would get considerably worse.
4. The doctors surgery would become increasingly stretched and getting appointments would become impossible with an increase of extra housing to this extent.
5. Angmering village is a **village** and I feel it would lose its 'village feel' if these proposed developments were to go ahead. There appear to be many unoccupied houses in the surrounding areas so why the need for more?

Yours faithfully

Mrs T Alman

cc Angmering Parish Council
cc Nick Herbert MP
cc SAV (Save Angmering Village)

Amanda Scholes

From: Peter Cleveland
Sent: 26 November 2012 10:11
To: Amanda Scholes
Subject: FW: Mrs S Bewsey FW: Planning Application A/82/12

From: Save Angmering Village [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 November 2012 02:02
To: Planning
Cc: Peter Cleveland; Sue Bewsey
Subject: Mrs S Bewsey FW: Planning Application A/82/12

Further to my reply of 17 November to Peter Cleveland's email of 23 October.

No. 22 of 34 letters that do not appear on the website.

Please scan it as an Objection letter to A/82/12. Thank you.

Sue Ware

Save Angmering Village (SAV)

From: [REDACTED]
To: planning@arun.gov.uk
CC: [REDACTED]
Subject: Planning Application A/82/12
Date: Tue, 25 Sep 2012 17:29:34 +0100

45 Ashurst Way
East Preston
Littlehampton
West Sussex
BN16 1AQ

The Planning Department
Arun District Council
Arun Civic Centre

Maltravers Road

Littlehampton

West Sussex

BN17 5LF

25 September 2012

Dear Sirs

Planning Application A/82/12

Barratts' 150 houses east of Roundstone Lane

I object to this Planning Application for the following reasons:-

Greenfield site: This development goes against Arun's policy to build on brownfield sites before greenfield sites.

Traffic problems: There are already very real problems at the Roundstone roundabout with long queues forming all ways where the A259 single carriageway causes a major bottleneck and adds to problems on the Downsway estate which is used as a rat-run. Increased traffic from new developments in Angmering can only exacerbate the very real concern that the A259 will become a huge "car park" at peak times.

Unsustainable, inadequate infrastructure and service: We are continuously being pressured to save water and the hose pipe ban earlier this year enforced the fact that water is in short supply in this area. So additional development can only make this worse.

Yours faithfully

Mrs S Bewsey

cc Angmering Parish Council

cc Nick Herbert MP

cc SAV (Save Angmering Village)

Amanda Scholes

From: Peter Cleveland
Sent: 26 November 2012 10:11
To: Amanda Scholes
Subject: FW: Susan Biddle FW: Barratts'Planning Application A/82/12

From: Save Angmering Village [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 November 2012 02:02
To: Planning
Cc: Peter Cleveland; Jeffrey Masters
Subject: Susan Biddle FW: Barratts'Planning Application A/82/12

Further to my reply of 17 November to Peter Cleveland's email of 23 October.

No. 23 of 34 letters that do not appear on the website.

Please scan it as an Objection letter to A/82/12. Thank you.

Sue Ware

Save Angmering Village (SAV)

From: [REDACTED]
To: planning@arun.gov.uk
CC: [REDACTED]
Subject: Barratts'Planning Application A/82/12
Date: Tue, 25 Sep 2012 10:59:31 +0100

25th September 2012
Barratts'Planning Application A/82/12
Dear Sirs,

I object to planning application A/82/12 for the following reasons:

I have recently moved to Rustington carefully choosing this particular area for the smaller village life. Any large scale development would erode this away and it would become yet another faceless housing estate.

The traffic is already a problem getting into and out of Angmering as well as the A259 which is almost at a standstill at times of rush hour, how can we possibly allow more.

There is no demonstrated Need for housing in this particular area.

yours sincerely

Susan Biddle
8 Fairlawn
Woodlands Avenue
Rustington BN16 3ER

Amanda Scholes

From: Peter Cleveland
Sent: 26 November 2012 10:11
To: Amanda Scholes
Subject: FW: Barbara Broughton FW: Protest about building more housing estates at Angmering Village
Attachments: Angmering protest 1.docx; Angmering protest 2.docx

From: Save Angmering Village [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: 24 November 2012 02:01
To: Planning
Cc: Peter Cleveland; Sandra & Chris Hendy
Subject: Barbara Broughton FW: Protest about building more housing estates at Angmering Village

Further to my reply of 17 November to Peter Cleveland's email of 23 October.

The first of these two letters is No. 24 of 34 letters that do not appear on the website. (The second of these two letters does appear on the website).

Please scan the first of these two letters as an Objection letter to A/82/12. Thank you.

Sue Ware

Save Angmering Village (SAV)

Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2012 08:30:56 +0100
Subject: Protest about building more housing estates at Angmering Village
From: [REDACTED]
To: planning@arun.gov.uk
CC: [REDACTED]

Please read and present as part of the protest against the proposed housing developments in your meetings.

--

Sandy Hendy
[REDACTED]

Barratts' Planning Application A/82/12

Dear Sirs

I object to planning application A/82/12 for the following reasons:

Unsustainable, inadequate infrastructure and services: there is already a lack of an adequate bus service, as I know being a pensioner who has to use the service to get to Ferring and to the town centre, and various other services are already under considerable strain such as the doctors surgery and from what I understand speaking to young mums, the schools.

The Traffic/roads: Roundstone Lane queues can be and will be absolutely appalling, A259 single carriageway bottleneck, narrow village lanes, village through-traffic (not the by-pass), exceed the speed limit, pinch points dangerous, congestion, inadequate parking for the village shops/businesses with it already being impossible most times to try to park outside the Co-Op or hairdressers. The Lamb pub has some parking but again more and more people use the shops parking instead to visit the Lamb during the day and evening making it impossible to park to pop in and get a loaf or go to the chippie and now we don't bother or we go to Sainsburys which means the village has now lost our custom which we did not want to happen.

Schools: there will be no safe route to school for all these 'local' children, overcrowded classes – returning to the 70's, village children not of the schools' faiths have to go to school elsewhere because places are given to out-of-area children of the schools' faiths, schools are in the north of the village whereas the proposed housing development is in the south- meaning more cars taking pupils to school. Angmering grew by 27% when Bramley Green (600 houses) was built. The promised new school was never built. Have you ever tried to get to the Arundel Road through the village at school time – it is impossible already.

We already have land here on Bramley Green that has not been adopted by the council and is left rack and ruin and not maintained, the road signs are almost blank from wear as are the road markings around the estate. It is supposed to be 20mph and people whizz round putting at risk the lives of children who tend to play in the street (because it was quiet) and pets.

Barbara Broughton

Nearly 85 years old and very concerned

19 Lucksfield Way

Angmering

BN16 4GU

Dear Sirs

I object to planning application A/82/12 for the following reasons:

- **Affordability:** people buying these houses will not be Angmering people on local wages. We don't have jobs for these people and even if local jobs were created, the wages would not be high enough to pay the huge mortgages, the people would have to out-commute or they would be on social housing and there are clear records of what affect this has on a local community and the struggles they face relocating etc (I know this from personal experience). I am on basic wage and struggle to make ends meet living in my home area so goodness knows how people relocating with no fixed work having to rely on benefits and no family support would manage. This is ridiculous.
- **Travel:** commuters' increased use of roads (more emissions from cars) is not in line with government plans and train services, station car park full already and overflow parking in Downsway (reduces road to a single lane- hazardous). The traffic in this area recently has been atrocious and you may argue that some of it is due to the roadworks in place etc but we all know that with all these extra houses and large (cheap) supermarkets being targeted at a specific group of economic shoppers will attract a lot of extra traffic causing problems with local people getting to work on time, sitting in queues, the extra fumes, likelihood of more people being involved in traffic accidents, difficulty accessing village areas – the list goes on. Even Virgin Active have decided to change some class times to take into account the proposed extra volume of traffic due to Asda.
- **Quality of life:** the existing residents' right to a reasonable quality of life will be compromised, which is against the Government Policy regarding sustainability and the quality of family life. Many, many homes are already on the market and if the market were better and I weren't paid basic rate we would move tomorrow which is a shame because when we moved here we assumed we would be here for at least 10-15 years. These proposals are causing me personal stress and it has affected all areas of my life.
- **Medical facilities:** inadequate for existing residents: Doctors' surgery, hospitals, hospices. Already overused and overrun due to our type of population and just set to get worse. It took me two weeks to get an appointment at the doctors recently and a queue for 7 minutes just to get out of their car park because the traffic was so busy!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

- To get from Bramley Green to a friend's house opp Angmering School on the golf estate last week it took me 20 minutes – yes 20 minutes – instead of the normal 4 minutes. It took her husband 15 minutes to get from the doctors surgery down the road back to their house. I know this was partly due to the railway crossing drama but it is just an example of how life is likely to be for this village. They now have their house on the market!

Sandra Hendy

19 Lucksfield Way

Angmering

BN16 4GU

Amanda Scholes

From: Peter Cleveland
Sent: 26 November 2012 10:11
To: Amanda Scholes
Subject: FW: Sheila Chapman FW: Barratt's Planning Application A/82/12

From: Save Angmering Village [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: 24 November 2012 02:01
To: Planning
Cc: Peter Cleveland; P Chapman
Subject: Sheila Chapman FW: Barratt's Planning Application A/82/12

Further to my reply of 17 November to Peter Cleveland's email of 23 October.

No. 25 of 34 letters that do not appear on the website.

Please scan it as an Objection letter to A/82/12. Thank you.

Sue Ware

Save Angmering Village (SAV)

Date: Tue, 25 Sep 2012 09:42:43 +0100
From: [REDACTED]
Subject: Fw: Barratt's Planning Application A/82/12
To: planning@arun.gov.uk
CC: [REDACTED]

--- On Tue, 25/9/12, PETER CHAPMAN [REDACTED] wrote:

From: PETER CHAPMAN [REDACTED]
Subject: Barratt's Planning Application A/82/12
To: Cc: Date: Tuesday, 25 September, 2012, 9:23

I object to the above planning application for the following reasons:-

There are not enough jobs locally for the present population of the village and having a possible 1000 or more people of working age would be a disaster. Many of them would need to find employment elsewhere which would lead to more traffic on the road at peak times and a massive problem for the people living in the roads around the station because of overflow parking by drivers not able to get into the already congested car park. How would the bus service cope with the extra demand?? The schools are already full and would not be able to cope with the extra children that would be living in the new estate. The same argument can be applied to the Medical Centre.. Arundel Road and Station Road are already over congested at start and close of schooltime and any more cars on the road would bring the whole village to a standstill..

I can foresee more accidents on the road especially at the pinch points as drivers become frustrated and impatient. More traffic on the roads especially the A259 where it is single carriageway would cause major tailbacks and disruption.

Another 700 or so major lumps of concrete on land that is vital for rain to soak away would lead to more flooding of the kind we had in June. Most people already living in Angmering have chosen to do so because of the lovely, quiet and peaceful way of life and do not want to live in an urban sprawl which will only get bigger when the next wave of planning permissions come in.

Is it not Arun's policy to build on 'brownfield sites' before 'greenfield' ?? There is absolutely no need for extra housing in an area of this nature which will not be able to cope through lack of infrastructure including those detailed above and no doubt many more !

Sheila Chapman