Amanda Scholes

From: Peter Cleveland
Sent: 26 November 2012 10:13
To: Amanda Scholes
Subject: FW: Rod Armstrong FW: Planning Application A/82/12 Barratt David Wilson Ltd
Attachments: Arun DC_Barratts A_82_12.docx

From: Save Angmering Village [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: 24 November 2012 02:09
To: Planning
Cc: Peter Cleveland;[redacted]
Subject: Rod Armstrong FW: Planning Application A/82/12 Barratt David Wilson Ltd

Further to my reply of 17 November to Peter Cleveland's email of 23 October.

No. 1 of 34 letters that do not appear on the website.

Please scan it as an Objection letter to A/82/12. Thank you.

Sue Ware

Save Angmering Village (SAV)

.

From:[redacted]
To: planning@arun.gov.uk
CC[redacted]
Subject: Planning Application A/82/12 Barratt David Wilson Ltd
Date: Wed, 5 Sep 2012 22:06:16 +0100

Please find attach my letter of objection to the above planning application.

R.B.Armstrong

2 Weavers Ring
Angmering
West Sussex
BN16 4AJ
[redacted]

5 September 2012

The Planning Department,
Arun District Council,
Arun Civic Centre,
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton
W.Sussex
BN17 5LF

Cc : Angmering Parish Council
Nick Herbert MP
Save Angmering Village Campaign

Dear Sirs,

Planning Application A/82/12 Barratt David Wilson Ltd
150 Houses east of Roundstone Lane, Angmering

I write to record my objection to this application. In principle, I do not wish to see further housing development in Angmering but if this is unavoidable, I consider the current application is unacceptable, mainly on the following grounds:

1. **Vehicular access**: Vehicular access to the site is proposed from one point in Roundstone Lane opposite Flint Cottage. This will increase further the volume of traffic towards the village centre, shops and local schools along High Street and up Arundel Road, both of which have insufficient capacity for existing traffic. Access to the proposed development should be mainly off the Angmering Bypass, preferably at a new roundabout situated just south of the existing footbridge near the junction for Ecclesden Manor which would also use such a roundabout. If access has to be provided from Roundstone Lane, it should only be via a new roundabout at the end of Nursery Road but the location of Pound Place would appear to prevent this unless a route along the northern border of the Rugby Club could be negotiated. However, this then would tend to become a through route from the bypass to the south end of Roundstone Lane and must have sufficient capacity but it would take some pressure off the already overloaded roundabouts and single lane carriageway of the A259 between the bypass and Roundstone Lane.

2. **Village car parking:** The facilities of Angmering Village are already difficult to use as there is not sufficient parking available around the village centre and cars are increasingly parked in the High Street further reducing its capacity. I cannot see how an increase in traffic through the village with the attendant parking problems can be prevented when no new schools or shopping facilities are provided for the Roundstone Lane developments, as had originally been promised – human nature cannot be ignored, people will still take their cars to the village centre. If the experience of the recent development west of Roundstone

Lane is an indicator, the Arun District Council's parking and visitor standards are inadequate.

3. **Water supply**: Southern Water will always respond "that potable water supplies will be made available" but that does not address the underlying problem of a lack of water resources in this area. This is the responsibility of the Environment Agency whose attitude to the provision of adequate new water resources always has been negative. Existing local resources are stretched already beyond assessed capacity and this is currently subject to review by the Environment Agency with a view in some cases to reducing existing abstraction licences. The droughts of 1991/92 and 1995/96 amply demonstrated the problems at that time and although progress with leakage control, increases in domestic metering and improvements in Southern Water's distribution systems have alleviated shortages in the meantime, a repeat of the drought conditions experienced twice in the 1990's is likely to cause major problems with saline intrusion to the chalk aquifer. Such would almost certainly have been the case this summer had not the record high rainfalls occurred since the beginning of June. This is an issue for development control policy which appears to be ignored by Arun District Council.

I do not consider that the proposed development should be approved unless the matters referred to above are adequately addressed.

Yours faithfully,

R.B.Armstrong

From R.B.Armstrong BSc C.Eng. FICE FCIWEM

Amanda Scholes

From: Peter Cleveland
Sent: 26 November 2012 10:13
To: Amanda Scholes
Subject: FW: Keith & Wendy Arscott FW: Barratts Planning Application Roundstone Lane A/82/12
Attachments: 7 Oakwood Drive.doc

From: Save Angmering Village [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: 24 November 2012 02:09
To: Planning
Cc: Peter Cleveland; Keith Arscott
Subject: Keith & Wendy Arscott FW: Barratts Planning Application Roundstone Lane A/82/12

Further to my reply of 17 November to Peter Cleveland's email of 23 October.

No. 2 of 34 letters that do not appear on the website.

Please scan it as an Objection letter to A/82/12. Thank you.

Sue Ware

Save Angmering Village (SAV)

From: [redacted]
To: [redacted]
Subject: Barratts Planning Application Roundstone Lane
Date: Thu, 6 Sep 2012 10:36:07 +0100

The attached is our objection to the Planning Application of Barratt Homes

Keith and Wendy Arscott

7 Oakwood Drive, Angmering

BN164GB

[redacted]

7 Oakwood Drive

Angmering

BN16 4GB

30th August 2012

To:

Arun District Council

Nick Herbert M.P.

Angmering Parish Council

Dear Sirs,

Planning Application: Roundstone Lane Angmering

No. A/82/12

My wife and I wish to register our objection to Barratts' Planning Application for a substantial housing development off Roundstone Lane.

We moved to Angmering last October. We were initially reluctant to move to a location east of the conurbation of Worthing because of the congestion problems we were familiar with particularly in relation to the A.27 and the A.259 roads. However, as we were both then semi-retired and to some extent able to adjust our travel times [mainly east, to Hove], we opted to move to Angmering because it offered something of a village environment.

Since moving to Angmering , we have found it impossible to avoid road congestion somewhere on our route most times of the day. The A.27 provides a 'bottleneck' from the approach to the A.24 junction, through and beyond Broadwater to Lyon's Farm (half mile east of Broadwater). This congestion affects both east and west-bound traffic within the same area. In the direction west of Angmering , we need to do more than mention the traffic problems around Arundel! Great care should be taken by Planners when contemplating the effects of a local development which may impact elsewhere, within or without, their immediate District.

Angmering is not a 'self-contained' village. Most residents appear to work and do their main shopping elsewhere than in the village. We have no idea of the facts and figures; this is only the perception. Any applicant for approval of a major development should have undertaken that research as part of the Planning process and demonstrate the facts. Equally Arun Council should have its own sources of information on these points.

Roundstone Lane is what we would call a 'feeder' road which links internal traffic in Angmering with the major roads which, in turn, enable people in the district to go about their work and leisure. With

the existing infrastructure these tasks are hampered and although it is difficult to measure must, no doubt, adversely affect the economy in general.

A development of 150 houses will lead to the addition of at least 250 cars using Roundabouts Lane with most of them accessing the main roads beyond the Lane at some time each day. This, inevitably, will add to congestion both within the village itself and, beyond. We see that the proposed development offers no direct link with the bypass on the east side and therefore all traffic will use Roundstone Lane.

Governments have looked at the A.27 with a view to improvement. We are not familiar with the details but know it was many years ago that a by-pass was considered necessary and, by way of a Compulsory Purchase Order, houses in the affected area north of Worthing were acquired and effectively became 'blighted', standing empty for years while successive governments procrastinated over the building of the bypass. After many years the scheme was abandoned. In the corresponding period and since, traffic and congestion have increased exponentially.

We note there has been mentioned somewhere about improvements to the A259 but we cannot see how in the foreseeable future such improvements will relieve the traffic congestion and reduce 'bottlenecks' at various well known points. Money is not available for any scheme that will alleviate already worsening traffic problems. Consideration must be given to the effects of all development upon existing residents who need to get to work and get on with their business. Out of town shopping facilities also encourage the use of the main roads.

The task of Planners includes consideration of the impact on traffic of any substantial development. What evidence has been provided by the Applicants? They are powerless themselves to make improvements. The Applicants are business people whose interest is in selling their houses and moving on to their next project. That is not a criticism but a statement of fact. The locality is left to pick up the impact including the change of character the area will experience.

We do not have children or grandchildren at school locally and because our time in Angmering has been short, we will not attempt to comment on other aspects of the impact of the proposed development on the infrastructure; I believe the effect on transport is enough in itself to consign this project to the long-grass!

We ask the question : "Where will the buyers come from?" What is the result of any research by Barratts? They will, we suspect, have to rely on attracting buyers from outside Angmering. Those buyers will need the roads to get them to and from their work, etc.

Yours sincerely,

Keith and Wendy Arscott

Amanda Scholes

From: Peter Cleveland
Sent: 26 November 2012 10:13
To: Amanda Scholes
Subject: FW: Edward Bridges FW: Objection to Barratts' planning application A/82/12 for 150 houses east of Roundstone Lane
Attachments: Objection to Barratts' planning application for 150 houses east of Roundstone Lane.docx

---

From: Save Angmering Village [mailto[redacted]
Sent: 24 November 2012 02:08
To: Planning
Cc: Peter Cleveland; edwardabridges@gmail.com
Subject: Edward Bridges FW: Objection to Barratts' planning application A/82/12 for 150 houses east of Roundstone Lane

Further to my reply of 17 November to Peter Cleveland's email of 23 October.

No. 3 of 34 letters that do not appear on the website.

Please scan it as an Objection letter to A/82/12. Thank you.

Sue Ware

Save Angmering Village (SAV)

.

From[redacted]
Date: Sun, 9 Sep 2012 23:14:07 +0100
Subject: Objection to Barratts' planning application A/82/12 for 150 houses east of Roundstone Lane
To: planning@arun.gov.uk
CC: [redacted]

Dear Sir,

Please find attached a letter detailing our objections to the Barratts' planning application A/82/12 for 150 houses east of Roundstone Lane.

Regards.

EA and SL Bridges

Mr EA and Mrs SL Bridges
2, Bentley Close
Angmering
West Sussex
BN16 4GF

The Planning Department
Arun District Council
Arun Civic Centre
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton
West Sussex
BN17 5LF

9 September 2012

Objection to Barratts' planning application A/82/12 for 150 houses east of Roundstone Lane

Dear Sir,

I object to Barratt's Planning application A/82/12 on the following grounds:

- This development is being built on a Greenfield site and outside of the Built-up Area Boundary. It therefore contravenes Arun District Council (ADC) Housing Policy in two areas, namely to build on brownfield sites before greenfield sites, and to avoid building outside of the Built-up Area Boundary.

- There is not an evidence based need or requirement for this type of development in Angmering.

- Road infrastructure on the approaches from the North and South to the proposed site is inadequate for the current amount of traffic they carry. However, the Developer proposes to build 395 parking spaces on the Development. This will only exacerbate the existing dangerous congestion in Roundstone Lane.

- Quality of life for existing and new residents will be blighted by the increased amount of cars on the roads, leading to an increase in local air pollution, an increase in congestion and parking spaces at local shops.

Yours sincerely,

EA and SL Bridges

Amanda Scholes

From: Peter Cleveland
Sent: 26 November 2012 10:13
To: Amanda Scholes
Subject: FW: Steve Chapman FW: Planning Application Reference Number A/82/12

From: Save Angmering Village [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: 24 November 2012 02:08
To: Planning
Cc: Peter Cleveland; S Chapman
Subject: Steve Chapman FW: Planning Application Reference Number A/82/12

Further to my reply of 17 November to Peter Cleveland's email of 23 October.

No. 4 of 34 letters that do not appear on the website.

Please scan it as an Objection letter to A/82/12. Thank you.

Sue Ware

Save Angmering Village (SAV)

.

From: [redacted]
To: planning@arun.gov.uk
CC: [redacted]
Subject: Planning Application Reference Number A/82/12
Date: Thu, 30 Aug 2012 21:55:50 +0100

Steven Chapman

11 Water Lane

Angmering

Littlehampton

West Sussex

BN16 4EJ

30/08/2012

Dear Sirs,

As one of many Angmering residents recently flooded out of our property and one who is still not even close to being able to move back in, I object to Barratts' Planning application A/82/12 with the major concern that the concreting over of the little bit of green land in the higher areas surrounding the village will only add to the flooding problem, the devaluation of our properties and the increased expense of insuring ourselves, as well as further lose of property and increased incovenience.

Another reason I object to Barratts' Planning application A/82/12 is that there are still many properties in Rustington and surrounding areas built in the last decade unrequired, unoccupied and not selling. Land potentially earmarked for further developments includes valuable recreational land as well as commercial land which currently provides employment for the people of the village and surrounding areas.

I object to Barratts' Planning application A/82/12 on the grounds that the local services cannot cope at the moment, You need to make an appointment at the Angmering surgery at least a week in advance of falling ill in order to secure an appointment and the classes in the schools are already full to bursting. The road system cannot cope at peak times, traffic calming measures fail to make any difference and public transport to the area is limited. There is already precious little parking in the village for residents,especially when BMW monopolise one side of the Water lane approach to the village centre.

I feel that allowing a development to take place would detract from the quality of life in the village as well as being the thin end of the wedge in terms of future unneeded and, in this current financial climate, unaffordable developments.

I urge you not to destroy our village by allowing the building of characterless estates of unrequired houses.

Yours sincerely

Steve Chapman

Amanda Scholes

From: Peter Cleveland
Sent: 26 November 2012 10:13
To: Amanda Scholes
Subject: FW: Paul Goddard FW: Objection to Planning Application A/82/12
Attachments: Letter to ADC re A-82-12.doc; ATT00001.txt

From: Save Angmering Village [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: 24 November 2012 02:08
To: Planning
Cc: Peter Cleveland;[redacted]
Subject: Paul Goddard FW: Objection to Planning Application A/82/12

Further to my reply of 17 November to Peter Cleveland's email of 23 October.

No. 5 of 34 letters that do not appear on the website.

Please scan it as an Objection letter to A/82/12. Thank you.

Sue Ware

Save Angmering Village (SAV)

.

From: [redacted]
Subject: Objection to Planning Application A/82/12
Date: Wed, 5 Sep 2012 21:17:08 +0100
CC: [redacted]
To: [redacted]

Dear Sir/Madam,

Please find attached my objection letter to Barratts' Planning Application Reference Number A/82/12

Regards Paul Goddard

Paul Goddard
34 Greenwood Drive
ANGMERING
West Sussex
BN16 4ND

4th September 2012

The Planning Dept
Arun District Council
Arun Civic Centre
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton
West Sussex
BN17 5LF

Dear Sir/Madam,

Objection to Barratts' Planning Application Reference Number A/82/12

As an Angmering resident I am writing to object to the planning application noted above.

My objection is based on a number of critical planning issues that I will detail below;

1. Traffic and Travel
    a. Traffic levels in Angmering, and the resulting congestion, seem unusually high for its current size. Travel into and out of the village from both the North and South ends is dogged by long queues, particularly during the traditional rush-hour periods, but also at other times of the day.
    b. Particular congestion is seen around both Primary Schools, especially given that the carriageways are quite narrow and therefore traffic around the schools is never allowed to run freely for both lanes.
    c. Parking within the central village shopping area is heavily restricted and is not able to cope with the current levels of traffic.
    d. The pinch-points that were introduced in the main village through-road were designed to cut speeding and discourage traffic from cutting through from/to the bypass. This has not worked, in fact there have been a number of accidents and near-misses where drivers have tried to squeeze through the pinch-point (due to impatience in queuing) before the oncoming traffic reaches them, and this is often misjudged.
2. Healthcare Access
    a. As an NHS employee I am fully aware of the current strains felt by the local healthcare system. There is the obvious immediate impact felt by the local General Practice who would struggle to achieve GP access times, but also the knock-on effect to the local acute hospital (Western Sussex Hospitals

NHS Trust). The Trust is currently not achieving it's 18 week waiting times and has seen demand for services rise dramatically.

b. Angmering Village sits between the Chichester and Worthing hospital sites, with a significant travel time to either (compounded by current traffic levels) and therefore has an access issue to secondary healthcare. The additional traffic caused by the application, along with the rise in patient numbers, would have a serious impact on ensuring timely treatment for urgent and emergency cases.

3. Education
    a. I have two young children, one of whom attends St Margaret's Primary School (year 3) and one who attends William Older pre-school. It has become apparent that the class sizes of St Margaret's have reached the stage where the number of children is affecting the ability of the teaching staff to provide a comprehensive, nurturing learning environment to **all** children within the class. This has the effect of the staff focusing only on those children seen as below the required standard, whilst those at average or above levels are not pushed or challenged to improve further. Currently my eldest daughter is in a class of 38 pupils.
    b. Having spoken to other parents and staff at both St Margaret's and St Wilfred's, the other primary school, it is clear that class sizes are at maximum numbers. St Margaret's recently expanded with two new classrooms to cope with **existing** demand, and would not have the funding to expand further. Any future demand arising from further housing development would not be sustainable from a capacity/infrastucture perspective.
4. Environmental impact (flooding)
    a. Angmering Village has suffered from localised flooding already over the last few years, primarily due to recent housing development removing green field sites which would have acted as a natural soakaway. The addition of the housing in this application, and the subsequent removal of yet more green field sites (even though Arun's policies state they would prioritise brownfield site redevelopment), will only serve to worsen the situation .

I trust that this highlights sufficiently the inherent problems that this application will cause and would hope that Arun District Council take these into account in any future decisions.

Yours sincerely

P Goddard

Paul Goddard

Amanda Scholes

From: Peter Cleveland
Sent: 26 November 2012 10:13
To: Amanda Scholes
Subject: FW: Jane Harris FW: Barrats planning application A82/12
Attachments: Barratts Planning Application A82_12.doc

From: Save Angmering Village [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: 24 November 2012 02:07
To: Planning
Cc: Peter Cleveland; Jane Harris
Subject: Jane Harris FW: Barrats planning application A82/12

Further to my reply of 17 November to Peter Cleveland's email of 23 October.

No. 6 of 34 letters that do not appear on the website.

Please scan it as an Objection letter to A/82/12. Thank you.

Sue Ware

Save Angmering Village (SAV)

.

From: [redacted]
To: planning@arun.gov.uk
CC: [redacted]
Subject: Barrats planning application A82/12
Date: Thu, 6 Sep 2012 08:43:23 +0100

Please see attached letter objecting to the above planning application in Angmering

Regards

Jane Harris

1 Horton Place
Angmering
BN16 4GL

Arun District Council
Planning Department

6th September 2012

Dear Sir/Madam

Re: Barratts' planning application A/82/12 for 150 houses east of Roundstone Lane

I am writing as a resident of Angmering to object to this proposal.

- I am a disabled person & have enough trouble parking outside my house now as there are so many cars on the Bramley Green estate. This is problematic when bringing in shopping although I garage my car overnight. Also difficult when we have people visiting. More houses will add to these problems.
- It is already busy on Roundstone Lane particularly early morning & late afternoon. The village has steep lanes which are quite difficult to navigate safely already.More traffic will exacerbate this along with the added noise & fumes.
- More concreting over green fields will increase the chances of flooding. We get a lot of surface water outside our house with heavy rain.
- There is inadequate infrastructure already. Drainage & sewer pipes are inadequate. We already have a water supply shortage.
- This could just be the start of new houses all along Roundstone Lane amplifying all of the above.

I hope you will take these points into consideration.

Yours faithfully

Jane Harris

Cc Nick Herbert MP, Angmering Parish Council, Save Angmering Village.