

Amanda Scholes

From: Peter Cleveland
Sent: 26 November 2012 10:10
To: Amanda Scholes
Subject: FW: Lindsey Simmonds FW: Objection to planning application ref: A/82/12

From: Save Angmering Village [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 November 2012 01:55
To: Planning [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Lindsey Simmonds FW: Objection to planning application ref: A/82/12

Further to my reply of 17 November to Peter Cleveland's email of 23 October.

This is No. 4 of 7 letters that have only been partially scanned (on 19 September) on the website.

Please fully scan it as an Objection letter to A/82/12. Thank you.

Sue Ware

Save Angmering Village (SAV)

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Objection to planning application ref: A/82/12
Date: Mon, 17 Sep 2012 21:00:58 +0100

42 Lloyd Goring Close,
Angmering,
West Sussex,
BN16 4LQ
[REDACTED]

17th September 2012

Dear Mr Meecham,

I object to planning application A/82/12 on the following grounds:

-firstly and, to a mother of two young children perhaps most importantly, I am extremely concerned about school spaces. There are currently only a few spaces at each of the two primary schools in the village; enough to accommodate the odd family moving to an existing property but nowhere near enough to cope with the volume of children a new development would bring. It should be added that some of the classes at St. Margaret's school have 37 or more children in them - already an unacceptable number to many people,

this would only get worse with a new development. As an aside to this the Arundel Road will simply not be able to cope with any more traffic at school time. It is a nightmare to get anywhere in the village during the school run hours, especially first thing on a Monday when the bin lorries are doing their rounds. I am lucky in that I am near enough to walk my daughter to school however it can be extremely difficult to cross the road safely and I am certainly not going to let my daughter do so by herself for many years to come.

-secondly there are plenty of unsold houses on the new development at Littlehampton (Elysian Fields), so how on earth can anyone justify the suggestion that the area needs more housing? again, related to this, why have Arun changed their minds after previously stating that Angmering has already accommodated its share of new developments?

-thirdly there is simply not the infrastructure to support any new large scale developments; there are insufficient water resources, inadequate sewerage capacity and the road network, particularly the A259, is already overwhelmed and is currently jammed for much of the day already.

I also have grave concerns about the fact that the site is greenfield, currently in use as farming land, and the draft Arun plan states that building should not be permitted on such sites. Allied to this is worry about our bat colonies; the Sussex Bat Group has reported that we have bats in the area and that, as they are known to return to their roost each year, their roost is legally protected year round. How can it be possible to consider an application at a site that is legally protected?

My final concern regards the possible rise in crime in the area; if there are many more homes built, without the necessary local jobs etc to accommodate the new residents there will be a large number of people with nothing to occupy their time. It is quite possible that some of these people will take to crime and, given that the response policing hub for Angmering is Bognor Regis, I am concerned that police would not be able to respond to any incidents sufficiently rapidly; geographically we are the furthest removed community from any policing hub in Arun and as such are the worst placed to deal with any rising crime in Arun.

On the basis of the above I am opposed to this application,

Yours sincerely

Mrs Lindsey Simmonds BA (Hons) MA