Pa/d6

Julie Grieves

From: Carolyn Nysingh on behalf of Planning
Sent: 10 September 2012 12:13
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: BARRATT,S PLANNING APPLICATION - REFERENCE NUMBER A/82/12

Rep.

From: Martin Turner [redacted]
Sent: 10 September 2012 11:57
To: Planning
Cc: [redacted]uk
Subject: BARRATT,S PLANNING APPLICATION - REFERENCE NUMBER A/82/12

I object to Barratt's Planning Application A/82/12 on the grounds that our village is already very busy with through traffic especially in the rush hour and school run periods and this building would considerable add to the chaos. The heavy traffic would also cause some danmage to roads etc. in our conservation area. Also the parking is very limited and it very difficult at present. It also goes against Arun's policies not to build on greenfield sites and note should be taken of Angmering's draft Neighbourhood Plan which is in the process of being drawn up. Drainage and sewer pipes seem to be unsustainable for this area and this should be taken into account. We already have a large scale development very close to the village and there are many houses here still unsold. Medical facilities will be overwhelmed and the local hospitals are already struggling to cope. Our schools are full and unless a new one is built there will be a problem with all the children needing to have a place. Angmering is a village and should be allowed to stay that way to maintain a good quality family way of life as per Government Policy.

Martin Turner
Church View
Rectory Lane
Angmering
BN16 4JU

Post 01/06

Julie Grieves

From: Carolyn Nysingh on behalf of Planning
Sent: 10 September 2012 11:41
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: BARRATT'S PLANNING APPLICATION REFERENCE NUMBER A/82/12

Rep.

From: Marj Turner [redacted]
Sent: 10 September 2012 11:22
To: Planning
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: BARRATT'S PLANNING APPLICATION REFERENCE NUMBER A/82/12

I object to Barratt's Planning Application A/82/12 on the grounds that there is not adequate infrastructure or services in the village to support such a large development. The village is already deluged with increasing amounts of traffic at peak times and this would significantly increase. The parking is already difficult in the village and large amounts of further traffic would detract from the conservation of the "village" area. There does not appear to be enough school places for the children already living here and no new school is promised. There are already many houses for sale in and around the local area which have yet to be sold. The Bramley Green development was more than enough for Angmering village when 600 new houses were built.

Mrs M Turner
Church View
Rectory Lane
Angmering
BN16 4JU

post June

Julie Grieves

From: Carolyn Nysingh on behalf of Planning
Sent: 10 September 2012 09:46
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Objection to A/82/12
Attachments: Planning Department ADC.doc

Rep.

From: Clare Russell [redacted]
Sent: 07 September 2012 16:55
To: Planning
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: Objection to A/82/12

Dear Sirs

Please find attached my letter of objection to the application to ADC A/82/12.

Thankyou

Clare Russell

Clare Russell
18 Nursery Road
Angmering
West Sussex
BN16 4 FH

The Planning Department
Arun District Council
Arun Civic Centre
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton
West Sussex
BN17 5LF

7.9.2012

Ref: A/82/12

Dear Sir

With regard to the above Planning Application A/82/12 I would like your Planning Department to note that I object to this planning application by Barratts'.

There are many reasons why this application should not get approval but the following points prove to me undoubtedly why this application should not be allowed:

I moved to Angmering ten years ago and have seen a lot of changes in that time, some for the better like the new GP Surgery on Station Road, the extension to St Margarets' Church and the improvements at Angmering School which were all necessary improvements as there had been the building of a lot of new houses on 'Bramley Green' at that time. But there are a lot of changes that are not so good like the extra traffic and congestion that this new housing brought with it.

I appreciate that there are targets set by the government to build new homes in 'the South' but there should be a demonstrated need for where in 'the South' that needs to be and a quick walk around the village shows that we already have had more than our quota. If this application were to get approval it would turn our pretty village with its lovely atmosphere into more like a town and it would have lost those elements that made me want to live here in the first place. On driving around the area you can see that there is already an excess of new housing that has been built and remains unsold proving that as well as no demonstrated need there is no demand for all the houses in this application.

I know that I am lucky to live in this village near the Beautiful South Downs and I am lucky enough to be able to walk and cycle in the South Downs National Park form my door step but one of the reasons it became a National Park was the proximity to small villages and not large scale modern housing developments.

The proposed area is a greenfield site and not brownfield which is what I believe Arun District Council had agreed to allow building on before they would consider any greenfield sites. It also means that there will be an even more increased risk of flooding as concreting over these greenfield sites will leave less natural drainage and along with the extra drainage that would be required if the application got consent will increase the risk of flooding and after the problems experience in the village already this year it is a very important consideration.

I hope that the Planning Department considers all these points carefully before making their decision.

Yours faithfully

Clare Russell

CC: Angmering Parish Council, Nick Herbert MP & Save Angmering Village

Post June

Julie Grieves

From: Carolyn Nysingh on behalf of Planning
Sent: 10 September 2012 09:54
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Barratts' Planning Application reference No. A/82/12
Attachments: ADC Objection Letter (1).doc

Rep.

From: Lesley Dainton [redacted]
Sent: 08 September 2012 15:48
To: Planning
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: Barratts' Planning Application reference No. A/82/12

I attach my letter of objection to this development

Lesley Dainton

20 The Cottrells
Angmering
West Sussex
BN16 4AF

The Planning Department
Arun District Council
Arun Civic Centre
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton
West Sussex
BN17 5LF

8 September 2012

Dear Sirs

Reference: A/82/12

Objection to Large-Scale Development in Angmering

I must object to the recent planning application to build 150 houses to the east of Roundstone Lane. The village of Angmering has already had to absorb the very large housing development of Bramley Green and further large-scale housing will destroy our village status.

This proposed development will put enormous pressure on local schools (already at capacity), medical surgeries, traffic flow, village and railway station parking, sewerage and drainage, and will necessitate the concreting over of a large area of greenbelt land, thus increasing potential for flooding.

The Arun Draft Local Plan is still in consultation phase and no application for housing should be contemplated until this, and the Angmering Draft Neighbourhood Plan, is agreed.

Yours faithfully

Lesley Dainton (Mrs)

c.c. Nick Herbert, MP
Angmering Parish Council
Save Angmering Village

Post June

Julie Grieves

From: Carolyn Nysingh on behalf of Planning
Sent: 10 September 2012 09:52
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Reference: A/82/12
Attachments: ADC Objection Letter.doc

Rep.

From: Derek Dainton [redacted]
Sent: 08 September 2012 08:51
To: Planning
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: Reference: A/82/12

Sirs

Please find attached, Letter of Objection to Large-Scale Development in Angmering, as proposed by the above Planning Application.

Derek Dainton
20 The Cottrells
Angmering
West Sussex
BN16 4AF

[redacted]

20 The Cottrells
Angmering
West Sussex
BN16 4AF

The Planning Department
Arun District Council
Arun Civic Centre
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton
West Sussex
BN17 5LF

8 September 2012

Dear Sirs

Reference: A/82/12

Objection to Large-Scale Development in Angmering

I object to Barratt's Planning Application A/82/12 on the basis of the unproven need for housing in Angmering. A study of local Estate Agents shows that there are already significant numbers of properties for sale in the village, many in the adjacent Bramley Green development on which the new development east of Roundstone Lane appears modelled.

Even if a need was to be proven, without a major easing of the eligibility requirements, there remains the inability of potential buyers to finance the purchase. Certainly, these requirements would discriminate against local purchasers, whose incomes are potentially insufficient. Thus, the majority would not only be from outside the area, but would need to commute, thus imposing greater burdens on roads and traffic density.

On the basis of the above comments, I object to this planning application.

Yours faithfully

Derek Dainton

c.c. Nick Herbert, MP
Angmering Parish Council
Save Angmering Village

post June

Julie Grieves

From: Carolyn Nysingh on behalf of Planning
Sent: 10 September 2012 09:50
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Barratts' Planning Application Reference Number A/82/12

Rep.

From: Pete Godwin [redacted]
Sent: 07 September 2012 18:54
To: Planning
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: Barratts' Planning Application Reference Number A/82/12

Peter R Godwin
2 Nursery Road
Angmering
West Sussex
BN16 4FH

7th Sept 2012

The Planning Department
Arun District Council
Arun Civic Centre
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton
West Sussex
BN17 5LF

Barratts' Planning Application Reference Number A/82/12

"**I object** to Barratts' Planning application A/82/12"

I would like to voice my concerns regarding the above Planning application.

Firstly in the manner the overall draft local plan was presented/explained on the 4thAugust at the Angmering Village Hall. As part of a group I asked the Arun Planning representative "How this plan fitting in with the upcoming Parish Housing Plan? And, if it did not agree, what steps needed to be taken? " . His rather curt reply was to say the Parish Plan would have no effect on the Arun Plan, as they were the planning authority, and made the decisions. His whole manner was very unhelpful, along with his ability to simply walk away, if he didn't want to answer questions which where and still are important to the Angmering residents.

Secondly, we now find the Barratts Application has now been submitted. As a stated previously, I have a number of concerns.

- Why Arun appear to be running riding shod over the uncompleted Parish Housing Plan (which I understand has been funded by a government grant).

- No attention seems to have been made regarding the effect on the Village infrastructure. With the addition of 150 house (Possibly 300 to 400 more people)
    - Probably around 200 more cars to add to the current congestion on main village roads
    - The Schools are not able to cope with the current numbers of children

- Just the one Bus. Which for instance, even now has an unsuitable timetable for an early journey to work in Worthing

- I understood Arun were keen to create more local jobs for local people. How is this accomplished simply by increasing the population by another 400. It will plainly mean more commuters and cars

I realise it is rather cynical on my part. But, it does seem a rather curious coincidence that major supermarkets are building/re-building in the area at the same time as major Housing Developments are being proposed.

I feel Village life will be eroded away with the building of any large development of this scale. Any future Housing projects should be in smaller areas of a longer period of time. There by becoming part of the natural growth of the village.

Your Sincerely

Peter Godwin

Cc:
Nick Herbert, MP
House of Commons
London
SW1A 0AA

Angmering Parish Council
The Corner House
The Square
Angmering
West Sussex
BN16 4EA

Save Angmering Village
3 Cumberland Road
Angmering
West Sussex
BN16 4BG

Post June

Julie Grieves

From: Carolyn Nysingh on behalf of Planning
Sent: 10 September 2012 09:48
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Barratts' Planning Application Reference Number A/82/12

Rep.

From: Penn[redacted]
Sent: 07 September 2012 19:13
To: Planning
Cc[redacted]
Subject: Barratts' Planning Application Reference Number A/82/12

Penelope A Godwin

2 Nursery Road

Angmering

West Sussex

BN16 4FH

7th Sept 2012

The Planning Department
Arun District Council
Arun Civic Centre
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton
West Sussex
BN17 5LF

"**I object** to Barratts' Planning application A/82/12"

I would like to voice my concerns regarding the above Planning application.

- I original moved to Angmering because of the Village structure. It now seems, no attention has been made regarding the effect on the Village. With the addition of the 150 or more houses.

- Even now the Schools in the area not able to cope with the current numbers of children

- More Cars will lead to more congestion (We already have major issues attempting to access the main road)

- The current medical centre is already overstretched . Having to make appointment a week in advance (Before you are ill !)

- Why are the development being proposed to be built on "Green Land " . rather than re-using "Brown Land" or smaller plots.

Having been to the Arun presentations/meeting, I feel we are being told what we will have built in the village rather than being consulted.

Your Sincerely

Penelope Godwin

Cc:
Nick Herbert, MP
House of Commons
London
SW1A 0AA

Angmering Parish Council
The Corner House
The Square
Angmering
West Sussex
BN16 4EA

Save Angmering Village
3 Cumberland Road
Angmering
West Sussex
BN16 4BG

Post June

Julie Grieves

From: Carolyn Nysingh on behalf of Planning
Sent: 10 September 2012 09:47
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: AngmeringPlanningObjection
Attachments: AngmeringPlanningObjection2.docx

Rep.

From: Ray Skingley [redacted]
Sent: 07 September 2012 18:10
To: Planning
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: AngmeringPlanningObjection

Barratts' Planning Application A/82/12 for 150 Houses East of Roundstone Lane, Angmering

Please find attached my objections to the above planning application.

R Skingley

RAY AND JOAN SKINGLEY

7 Ashmore Avenue, Angmering, West Sussex, BN16 4GG

[redacted]

9th September 2012

Dear Sirs

Barratts' Planning Application A/82/12 for 150 Houses East of Roundstone Lane, Angmering

I would like to register my objections to the above planning application on the following grounds:-

- The development is on a greenfield site outside the built-up area, contrary to Arun's policies.
- Any further development should be small-scale and scattered around the village.
- No application for large-scale development should be considered until Angmering's draft Neighbourhood Plan has been completed and approved. To approve such an application would fly in the face of the so-called "localism" policy pronounced by the Government. However, the Government seems to be back-tracking on this aspect of their manifesto by their recent proposals to relax planning rules and fast-track housing development.
- The need for large-scale housing development in the area is not proven. New developments around Arun have many houses that have remained unsold for months. The demand for affordable housing could be met by small-scale housing schemes around Arun near areas of employment.
- The pressure on infrastructure, which is already creaking would effect:-
    - Traffic along the A27 and A259 and within the village
    - Water supplies. It was fortuitous that we had such a wet summer as supplies were dangerously low. We understand that the water company has said they can cope with the increased demand – How?
    - Drainage and Flooding, which are already a problem.
    - What happened to the new school.
    - Medical services: to get an appointment with the GP, usually means a wait of 2 weeks. With cut-backs in the NHS, more patients would mean even longer waits, both with GP and hospital appointments
- Angmering is not alone, in being the target of further large-scale housing development. Several other parishes in Arun are under similar threat, not to mention adjacent authorities. The cumulative effect, of the realisation of these proposals would be multiply the problems referred to above.

My wife and I move into the area and this house in 2007. Before moving we made enquiries of your Council about future development and were given to understand that no such further development was planned on the North, East and West of the village. This was one of the main reasons for moving to the area. We recognise that this could never be in tablets of stone. However, this current application together with other possible applications in the future indicates that Angmering would just become part of the South Coast urban sprawl, adding to infrastructure environmental and amenity problems that already exist. I therefore strongly

oppose the application for all the reasons stated above and urge the Council to reject this application.

Yours faithfully

R S Skingley

Post June

Julie Grieves

From: Carolyn Nysingh on behalf of Planning
Sent: 10 September 2012 10:08
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Planning Application Reference Number: A/82/12

Rep.

From: Chris Watt and Sue Crawford [redacted]
Sent: 09 September 2012 15:13
To: Planning
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: Planning Application Reference Number: A/82/12

Dear Sir/Madam

Objection to Barrett's Planning Application Ref Nos; A/82/12

We are writing to object to the above planning application. Our address is

The Retreat
Roundstone Lane
Angmering
BN16 4AP

Our objection is based,in the main, on the following points:

- **Quality of life:** our current quality of life will be majorly impacted. There will be an increase in traffic,(see later point) noise and light pollution.

- **Impact on the traffic level:** the proposed entry road to the development will involve the removal of the current pinch point and road humps. There have been no road safety measures included/mentioned in any of Barrett's consultation process. There will be an inevitable increase in traffic, resulting in an increase in speeding; traffic congestion and pollution (both air and noise) all of which will have a detrimental impact on the health and safety of current residents. There is also the potential of heavier traffic causing damage to buildings within a conservation area.

- **Lack of infrastructure;**this road already has a known drainage problem, building on a green field site will reduce the ability for rain to soak away increasing this problem. The current Schools and Doctors Surgeries in the Parish are both at capacity and will be unable to provide services to an increased number of residents.

- **Current planning policies;** both Arun District Council and the National Planning Policy Framework set out an intention to build on brownfield sites before greenfield sites. This planning application is for a greenfield site when other brownfield sites are available both in the parish and in Arun District.

There are further reasons to object around there being no demonstrated need for this number of houses in Angmering and lack of suitable employment in the area.

We are happy to expand further on all these points if required. We await with interest the outcome of this planning application and trust our objections will be given the serious consideration they deserve.

Yours

Chris Watt and Sue Crawford.

Post June

Julie Grieves

From: Carolyn Nysingh on behalf of Planning
Sent: 10 September 2012 10:07
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Barratts' Planning Application Ref. no. A/82/12

Rep.

From: Rodney Burrows [redacted]
Sent: 09 September 2012 12:37
To: Planning
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: Barratts' Planning Application Ref. no. A/82/12

24 Dell Drive
Angmering
BN16 4HE
9/9/12

Dear Sir/Madam
I object to Barratts' Planning Application Ref. no. A/82/12 on the following grounds
You can build more roads
You can build Schools
You can build Hospitals
YOU CAN NOT MAKE THE RAIN FALL!
The South of England is already under pressure from lack of water. (Water companies will not readily admit this)
All new housing development should be strongly resisted, not just in this area but in the whole of the south east.
Future generations may look back and wonder at the short sightedness of planners.

Your faithfully

R J Burrows

Post June

Julie Grieves

From: Carolyn Nysingh on behalf of Planning
Sent: 10 September 2012 10:07
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Objection - Planning application A/82/12 Land to east of Roundstone Lane Angmering Rep.

From: [redacted]
Sent: 09 September 2012 13:02
To: Planning
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: Objection - Planning application A/82/12 Land to east of Roundstone Lane Angmering

Dear Sirs
I wish to make a strong objection to the above application.
This would be a large development on a greenfield site and appears to be against the district council policy which I understood to be to favour brownfield sites and not to develope outside current built up areas. I have not found anything within the council's policies to indicate that there is a proven local need for a development of this size in Angmering and there are clearly very few employment opportunities in the immediate area
Since moving to Angmering nearly 3 years ago I have noted that the north-south routes through the village , including Roundstone Lane are very busy, particularly in rush hour when there is extensive use as a rat run to avoid the congestion at the bottom of the by-pass. A housing deveopment of this size would in my view cause a serious increase in traffic problems, both congestion at the southern end of Roundstone Lane and an increased risk of accidents at the already dangerous spots at the junction of High Street and Weavers Hill, Weavers Hill itself, and the western end of High Street .
While I appreciate that there is a need for new housing in the county a large development such as this is not appropriate for Angmering, and I fear it could be the thin end of the wedge with other developers looking at sites nearby. My view is that for Angmering smaller in-fill developments within the existing built up area would be more appropriate.
Angmering is a village and there is a real danger that it will become surrounded by modern development which completely ruins its character and overloads both services and facilities
I should be grateful if you would bring these views to the attention of the planning committee when this application is considered.
Yours faithfully
David Bowen
Mill Cottage
Old Mill Lane
Angmering
BN16 4AQ

Post June

Julie Grieves

From: Carolyn Nysingh on behalf of Planning
Sent: 10 September 2012 10:06
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Barratt's Planning Application Reference Number A/82/12

Rep.

From: Diana Burrows [redacted]
Sent: 09 September 2012 12:05
To: Planning
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: Barratt's Planning Application Reference Number A/82/12

Mrs. D.R. Burrows,

24, Dell Drive,

Angmering.

West Sussex.

BN16 4HE.

Dear Sir / Madam,

I object to Barratts Planning Application A/82/12 for several reasons.

There are not enough school places for more children in this area. Our roads are already very congested, and we don't have an adequate bus service.

Also, we were on a hosepipe ban again this year, so how will we manage if lots more houses are built on our green fields, preventing more water from reaching the water table and each new house using gallons of water every day.

Yours truly,

Diana R. Burrows

Post June

Julie Grieves

From: Carolyn Nysingh on behalf of Planning
Sent: 10 September 2012 10:06
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Objection - Development East of Roundstone Lane

Rep.

-----Original Message-----
From: Neil Giles [redacted]
Sent: 09 September 2012 11:39
To: Planning; [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: Objection - Development East of Roundstone Lane

Dear Planning Office (and Angmering PC),

I write to object to the development proposal for the area to the East of Roundstone Lane, Angmering, W Sussex, on the following basis:

1. In a village with several large and unsightly brownfield sites that are prime for development I am astounded that Barratts David Wilson should chose to build 150 homes on three green fields with no footprint on brownfield whatsoever. This must offend Arun Councils logical and appropriate policy to 'build on brownfield first'.

2. Roundstone Lane at the point at which the only access / egress from the proposed development is planned is barely wide enough for two motor cars to pass. The footway on the west side is already very narrow and there is no scope to widen the road or to instal a second footpath on the east side. To propose this at all is inherently dangerous and foolhardy. If this goes ahead there will a significant accident risk here.

3. When Bramley Green was developed and settled the Primary Schools in the North of Village became traffic problems in themselves. The centre of the village became a traffic challenge in the morning and the afternoon as children being driven to school from the East of the village had to negotiate the single alternate line of traffic at the west end of The High Street. Bringing more children into school from the Est of village will increase the danger and there will be more accidents, pedestrians hit by vehicles and collisions between vehicles in the vicinity of the Parish Council Offices.

4. The A259 at the junction with Roundstone lane is single carriageway in each direction. At week ends and during the morning and evening busy p[eriods it is already very difficult to join or cross if you are coming south from the site of the proposed new development. Another 200 motor vehicles carrying out 4 journeys + per day will exacerbate the problems.

5. In Angmering many properties remain unsold for many months. There is no demand for another 150 homes. Some of the developments further West in the Arun Council area have been very slow to sell leading developers to build very slowly, over many months. The inconvenience to all residents is clear for all to see.

6. There is no significant work in this area and those moving here will have to travel. The transport infrastructure is extremely thin already. I spent 6 years commuting to London for work on the train. It is a gruelling experience and Southern trains take a very long time to get you there and back, and at significant cost. This will be less attractive to purchasers than the authority and the developers imagine.

7. Water mains / drainage and flooding / broadband is already patchy will all be impacted upon by such a new development.

8. It is an open discussion that Worthing Rugby Club and VHB Nurseries are in negotiations to sell their land to developers. This is all on a scale that is unwieldy, unneccesary and commercially bonkers. And still the really grotty, eyesore brown fields go undeveloped.

I would implore to build new homes in Angmering. But please do so where they improve the quality of life by building sensitively on the rubbish strewn waste land that peppers the village footprint. Not on green fields that enhance our existence and not where you raise the level of risk to pedestrians and road users through ill thought through traffic loading and access / agrees points.

Yours truly,

Neil Giles
4, The Chantrelles,
Angmering
BN16 4GR

Post June

Julie Grieves

From: Carolyn Nysingh on behalf of Planning
Sent: 10 September 2012 09:57
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Objection to Barratts Planning Application A/82/12
Attachments: Barretts Application A-82-12.pdf

Rep.

From: Nick Bishop [redacted]
Sent: 08 September 2012 18:03
To: Planning
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: Objection to Barratts Planning Application A/82/12

Dear Sirs,

Please find attched my letter objecting to Barratts Planning Application A/82/12.

With thanks for your attention to this matter.

Kind regards,

Nick Bishop

Nicholas Bishop
4 Linfield Close
Angmering
Littlehampton
BN16 4GP

Arun District Council

8 September 2012

Dear Sirs,

F.A.O: Planning Department
Re: Barratts Planning Application – A/82/12

I object to Barratts Planning Application, A/81/12.

There are many reasons to object to this proposal, which include the development being against Arun District Council's ("Arun DC") own policy to build on Brownfield sites before Greenfield sites and there are certainly plenty of unused Brownfield sites available. Other examples are:

- There is no need for this many extra houses in Angmering, especially as Barratts are but one of three or four greedy building companies after profits rather than actually fulfilling the needs of the community. Should one lot be approved the others will follow and instead of 150 houses, there will be 800 or so properties to cover the entire Greenfield areas that provide a buffer between Angmering and the A280. I appreciate that the A280 is the Angmering "by-pass" and therefore appears as prime land to the money grabbing building companies, however as well as providing a Green buffer, the land provides a considerable refuge for nature. Many animals and birds live on this Green land and there is no need for this to be disturbed.

- Concreting over this amount of green fields will undoubtedly cause problems with drainage as rain will be unable to soak away naturally and will therefore increase the pressure on an already overloaded and inadequate drainage and sewer system, which can lead to localised flooding. In addition, it is rain soaking away naturally that provides our drinking water and by further reducing the Greenfield land, Arun DC will be increasing the potential for droughts. Especially as there would be 150 to 800 new houses all requiring more water than is currently used in the area.

- There is only a limited bus service in Angmering, which encourages people to have and use cars. In fact, most families seem to have two or even three cars per house. An extra 150 to 800 houses would therefore generate between an extra 300 to 2,400 cars. Angmering' small roads are already extremely busy so to add even 300 cars would be not only a serious environmental issue, but would create additional congestion, delays and probably a danger to pedestrians. In addition, the A259 single carriage stretch between the A280 and the station is already a bottleneck with delays and the new and much larger Haskins garden centre appears to have made this worse. Further cars and extra congestion is expected when the new Asda opens, so creating even more would be ridiculous. More importantly, there are too many cars around the schools, some of which is definitely due to lazy parents, and this is certainly a danger that would only be seriously increased.

- Schools are another issue, as the primary schools are full and the main Angmering Science and Sports College is over subscribed. I believe that Barratt' advised that a new school is not required for less than 1,000 houses, so 150 to 800 houses would therefore not generate the need for a new school. However, where are the children supposed to go to school when the local ones are all full? They would have to travel to other towns or villages thereby creating more traffic congestion. Another point here is that the same excuse was used for not building a new school when Bramley Green was built as it was only a 600 house development. However, surely when you add the 600 houses from

Bramley Green with the 150 to 800 houses on the proposed developments, you get between750 to 1,400 houses and a school would therefore seem appropriate. Barratt's or the other developers obviously have no intention of building a new school and equipping it as this would severely decrease their huge profits.

- The Barratt proposal is for predominantly larger more expensive housing, which will not be affordable to local people on local wages, so the houses would be bought by people from other areas, who would then still commute to their current place of work. This will create even more congestion on the roads, or if people commute by train the station car park is normally full so people park in local roads, which causes congestion and hazards.

Notwithstanding the practical issues above, we, as a family, bought our house because it was not smack in the middle of a housing estate and looked over green fields with trees and wildlife. Should this or other money grabbing unnecessary housing developments be allowed to go forward, when many other suitable Brownfield sites are available, our quality of life would be seriously impacted. In addition to the completed development, the noise and dust and general disturbance of the building work would be a serious detriment to the enjoyment of our lives, and the development would most likely decrease the value of our property.

I thank you for your time with this objection letter and hope you will see fit to decline this and any similar proposals for this, or other, local Greenfield sites.

Yours faithfully,

Nick Bishop

Cc. Angmering Parish Council
Nick Herbert MP
Save Angmering Village

Post June

Julie Grieves

From: Carolyn Nysingh on behalf of Planning
Sent: 10 September 2012 09:54
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Planning application A/82/12

Rep.

From: Len CRICK [redacted]
Sent: 08 September 2012 16:38
To: Planning
Subject: Planning application A/82/12

I wish to register my objection to the proposed building of a further housing development as outlined in the above referenced planning application.
Angmering has already suffered from over-development over the years and further development would anly aggravate already existing problems.There would be even more traffic through the village which is even now is extremely heavy witness the number of traffic calming measures already in place, i.e. speed bumps at the approaches, pedestrian crossing lights, speed limit, pinch points). There is also the prospect of further polution,inadequate school accommodation,medical facilities etc.
All in all I believe approval of this further development would be irresponsible of the Council and a dereliction of its duty to the prople of Angmering.
L.J.Crick

P25

6 Brambletyne close
Angmering Village
West Sussex. BN16 4DD

ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL
TECHNICAL SUPPORT
07 SEP 2012
ACTION.....
(of 5)

4 September 2012

SAVE ANGMERING VILLAGE

Dear Mr Stack.

With regard to the local plan outlining the new development proposals for Angmering Village, Reference: A/82/12

All one can envisage is a housing program tailored not to serve local peoples needs with a more sober housing plan combining options for first time buyers, but a large development tailored to suit the appetite of the higher end housing market (Surrey by the sea) an escape from the big city environment attracted near to the coast with the added convenience of a main line rail link.

The 500+ homes originally indicated could bring with it a figure approaching a thousand additional vehicles (most homes now possessing two) adding to an already highly congested area of the county.
However, on the up side of further housing expansion this would be greeted with elation by local supermarkets who are seemingly experiencing multi births of food halls.
Other points to deem are health care, education, water demand, domestic waste, care for the elderly, increased air contamination all amounting to added pressure on services especially the local environment it's fauna and flora, in addition to these issues the now increasing concern of serious light pollution in rural settings, a point to mention, we moved here in 1978 on clear nights could study the night sky this (was) a sight of privilege, now with additional village and highway lighting in place is but a memory.
In addition to housing the other proposal for industrial units would seem to be an unnecessary implication, there are already substantial services in the nearby "towns" of Littlehampton, Rustington, and Goring, this suggestion would only add to further dilute the character of the Parish.

Our village environment has seen marked erosion over the past 30+ years with additional development, and expansive road schemes namely the A27 and A259 bringing with it the inherited heightened noise of ever increasing motor traffic, a bypass that has not entirely worked to protect the village, which still remains an optional shortcut for idle drivers, more especially at peak periods of the day.

[redacted]

6 Bramble Tyne Close
Angmering Village
West Sussex BN16 4QD

4 September 2012

SAVE ANGMERING VILLAGE

Dear Mr Stock

With regard to the local plan outlining the new development proposals for Angmering Village, Reference: AV/82/12

All one can envisage is a housing program tailored not to serve local peoples needs with a more sober housing plan combining options for first time buyers, but a large development tailored to suit the appetite of the higher end housing market (surely by the sea) an escape from the big city environment attracted near to the coast with the added convenience of a main line rail link.

The 500+ homes originally indicated could bring with it a figure approaching a thousand additional vehicles (most homes now possessing two) modern to an already highly congested area of the county.
However, on the up side of further housing expansion this would be greeted with elation by local supermarkets who are seemingly experiencing multi births of food halls.
Other points to deem are health care, education, water demand, domestic waste, care for the elderly, increased air contamination all amounting to added pressure on services especially the local environment it's future and here, in addition to these issues the now increasing concern of serious light pollution in rural settings, a point to mention, we moved here in 1978 on clear nights could study the night sky this (was) a sight of privilege now with additional village and highway lighting in place is but a memory
In addition to housing the other proposal for industrial units would seem to be or unnecessary implication, there are already substantial services in the nearby "towns" of Littlehampton, Rustington, and Goring, this suggestion would only add to further dilute the character of the Parish.

Our village environment has seen marked erosion over the post 30+ years with bypass that has not entirely worked to protect the village which still restricts on bringing with it the inherited heightened noise of ever increasing motor traffic, o additional development, and expansive road schemes namely the A27 and A259 optional shortcut for side drivers, more especially at peak periods of the day.

The proposed plan will destroy the very last of the once mildness of this area transforming it into the likes of a small town, sowing the seed for problems that additional population can bring. If or when the Titnore Lane development under Worthing's planning legislation also goes ahead, this then will be the sounding of the Requiem for this locality even importuning future pressure on the surrounding region, the domino effect a malignancy of development now spreading into vulnerable rural space witnessed in many parts of the country, there will be many hard fought campaigns.

The rural environment will always be attractive to Britain's fat developers delivering big and quick profits, who are not concerned of the effects of over development, but feeding on the exodus from Britain's towns and cities. We live in a time where conservation and protection of green space along with its allied disciplines are highly sensitive, discordant constructions built today will long remain after all our demise, this proposed development will be a retrograde step in further corrupting the last vestiges of the natural originality of this area, us all having nothing to gain, but so much to lose.

Yours Faithfully

M. Chapman

The proposed plan will destroy the very last of the once wildness of this area transforming it into the likes of a small town, sowing the seed for problems that additional population can bring. If or when the Titanore time development under Worthing's planning legislation also does ahead, it's then will be the sounding of the Requiem for this locality even important looming future pressure on the surrounding region, the domino effect a negligency of development now spreading into vulnerable rural space witnessed in many parts of the country, there will be many hard fought campaigns.

The rural environment will always be attractive to Britain's fat developers delivering big and quick profits, who are not concerned of the effects of over development, but feeding on the exodus from Britain's towns and cities We live in a time where conservation and protection of green space along with its allied disciplines are highly sensitive, disregarding constructions built today will long remain after all our demise, this proposed development will be a retrograde step in further corrupting the last vestiges of the natural originality of this area, it's all boiling nothing to gain, but so much to lose.

Yours Faithfully

M. Chapman

Julie Grieves

From: Carolyn Nysingh on behalf of Planning
Sent: 07 September 2012 11:01
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Letter of objection to Barratts' Planning application A/82/12

Attachments: Letter of objection to Barratts' Planning application A8212.doc

Letter of objection to Barratt...

Rep.

-----Original Message-----
From: Trevor Snyman [redacted]
Sent: 07 September 2012 10:55
[redacted]
Subject: Letter of objection to Barratts' Planning application A/82/12

Attached, please find my letter of objection to the Barrat's Planning Application A/82/12

Kind regards

Trevor Snyman
14 Rowan Way
Angmering
BN16 4FW

To

The Planning Department
Arun District Council
Arun Civic Centre
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton
West Sussex
BN17 5LF

7th September 2012

Re: Barrat's Planning Application Reference Number A/82/12.

I object to Barratt's Planning Application A/82/12 for the following reasons.

1. It is abundantly clear that the people of Angmering, myself included, do not support large scale housing developments such as that proposed by Barratt's. This is our village, we have invested our time and our money and our community spirit in making it what it is today. It is arrogant in the extreme, for a profiteering company like Barratt's to impose itself on our village, and on our lifestyles, with their inappropriate proposal. We do not want our village to become a high-density, overcrowded community. We want it to remain as it is, a village, with a village atmosphere. We do not object outright to development of housing in Angmering, but we claim the right to decide on what form such developments will take. As a community, the residents prefer small, appropriate, developments, of fewer houses, that will be in keeping with the Neighbourhood Plan of the Parish.

2. It is my view that Barratt's is hoping to push a decision on their application through ahead of the draft plans of the Arun District Council, and ahead of the draft Neighbourhood Plan of the Angmering Parish Council. It will be extremely unfair to the residents of Angmering, if a large scale development such as that proposed by Barratts is allowed to be bulldozed through the planning process before they have had the opportunity to present their own plan for the village.

3. One of the corner-stone's of the Prime Minister's Big Society philosophy has been that people at grassroots level should take decisions about what happens in their own communities. Granting of approval for the Barratts development, if done before both the Arun District Council and Angmering Parish plans have been allowed to complete the process of consideration and consultation, will effectively deprive the Angmering residents of this right.

4. Implicit in the Prime Minister's Big Society Philosophy concerning the rights of residents to make decisions on their own communities, is the right of the residents

to the quality of life to which they have been accustomed, and which has become the norm for the community. The imposition of 150 extra houses on a village will, without doubt, materially affect the quality of life of the residents, with increased pressure on an already inadequate local medical practice, increased pressure on an already inadequate public transport, increased pressure on an already inadequate water supply, and increased pressure on an already over-loaded drainage system, as examples. The Prime Minister made specific reference to residents making decisions to include small, appropriate developments in their parishes, rather than large, full-scale housing developments such as that proposed by Barratts.

5. The existing drainage systems in the village are inadequate for the existing density of housing in the village. Run-off of water from the hard surfaces (roads, pavements, courtyards and the like) have already over-loaded the drainage systems to the point that the very centre of the village was flooded in 2012 following heavy rains. The proposed new housing developments contained in the Barratts Planning Application Reference Number A/82/12 will significantly increase run-off of water onto existing roadways and pavements, and will increase the likelihood of further flooding, and the costs that are associated with such flooding.

6. The construction of 150 houses in a small village which is located in a region where there will not be enough jobs to support the new residents of the houses, is pure folly for the region. There is already unemployment in the region, with many of the resident of Angmering currently unemployed. New residents of a new housing development will further decrease the chances of current residents from finding jobs locally.

7. The current economic situation in the Angmering region is such that new residents, who will occupy the proposed new housing, will be subject to relatively high mortgage repayments. Salaries and wages of jobs in the region in which Angmering is located are not at the levels that will support these high mortgages, and new residents will commute out of the area to work. Additional road commuters on the narrow, busy roads in and around Angmering will add to congestion, will place additional pressure on the finances of the Parish at a time when austerity is being imposed on local authorities. Additional rail commuters from Angmering will place severe pressure on the existing rail systems. As it is at present, trains travelling to London from Angmering become over-crowded as they travel towards the city. Additional passengers from Angmering will exacerbate an already serious overcrowding situation, and will transfer the problems to communities further away from the village. The Angmering train station cannot cater for large additional numbers of passengers. The existing car park is over-crowded, and commuters who drive to the station, are forced to park

their cars on residential roads. Adding to this unacceptable situation by granting permission for 150 extra houses in Angmering, seems rather ridiculous to contemplate.

8. The small medical practices in and around Angmering are already grossly over-extended, and waiting times for appointments at General Practitioner practices can be as long as 10 days. This situation will become worse if there is an influx of residents to the village. In addition, hospitals that serve the region are over-stretched in their ability to deal with existing residents, are care homes and hospices.

9. Granting approval to the Barratts proposal would go against the logical premise, and against the policies of the Arun District Council, of not allowing building on greenfield sites, when other, more suitable sites, closer to existing built-up localities could be considered.

10. The motives of the developer for choosing the proposed site for the proposed housing development should be questioned. There does not seem to be a severe shortage of housing in Angmering. An argument has been heard from the developer, that providing housing is a government priority, and that proposals such as the Barratts Planning Application A/82/12 will provide jobs for the construction industry, and will, at the same time focus on government priorities. It is a known fact that many new and existing homes in the Arun district are available for sale or rental, but remain unoccupied. It is logical, therefore, that before permission is granted for the construction of more homes, the focus should be on occupying existing buildings and homes. This will address the government priority for providing housing. As for providing jobs for the construction industry, the construction of the new Haskins building, and of the new Asda building close to village, have already contributed a great deal to the economy. The building of extension to the Angmering School also provided jobs for the construction industry. So over the past two years, the Angmering region has already contributed significantly to the economy, and should now not be expected to continue to suffer the disruptions that accompany such projects, nor the permanent loss of quality of life that will accompany the Barratts Proposal.

11. Angmering is an area of natural beauty, and is situated in an ecotone region close to the South Downs National Park. We, the residents, love and value the green spaces around us, the small patches of woodland that buffer us from the busy roads, or the open fields in which our farmers plant and harvest crops, or graze livestock. In Angmering, we value the fact that the narrow strip of land between the A280 and Roundstone Lane creates a quiet buffer that will forever be destroyed if housing developments are allowed by the Arun District Council

Planning Department. We also value the views of rural fields and woodlands, rather than housing developments, as we travel around our village.

12. Day-to-day impacts of adding hundreds of people to a tiny rural village should not be overlooked or discounted. The few green spaces that are currently available to dog-owners are already spoilt by irresponsible dog-walkers who fail to remove faeces left by their dogs. Cycle-ways are few and far between in the Angmering area, and it is already dangerous for cyclists who have to make use of busy roads. Potentially adding many more cyclists to already unsafe roads, carries the great risk of increasing numbers of accidents and injuries to cyclists, in an area where the provision of emergency medical care is already seriously stretched.

The above issues are not theoretical, or formulated simply to stop unwanted developments in our village. They are real issues that must be considered. We live in Angmering, have done so for some time, and will continue to do so. Barratts are not part of our day-to-day lives and have not invested the time, love and spirit into our village as we have. They wish to simply descend on our region, destroy our lifestyles and quality of life under the protected status of being providers of jobs and homes that are government priorities. Once they have destroyed what we have, they will leave our village, and their so-called contribution will be gone. This is unfair, we don't want them in our parish.
I urge you to reject the Barratts Planning Application A/82/12.

Yours faithfully

Trevor Snyman
14 Rowan Way
Angmering
[redacted]

Julie Grieves

From: Carolyn Nysingh on behalf of Planning
Sent: 07 September 2012 12:49
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Objection to Barratts application A/82/12

Rep.

-----Original Message-----
From: Sarah And Jason Collins [redacted]
Sent: 07 September 2012 12:46
To: Planning
[redacted]
Subject: Objection to Barratts application A/82/12

Dear Planning Officer

I am writing to lodge my objection to Barratts application A/82/12.

I strongly object to this development for many reasons.

I question the need for more housing when it appears a lot of new houses in nearby areas remain unsold.

I do not believe we have sufficient water systems or supplies to support more housing developments of this scale.

I believe the roads are unable to support the increase in traffic, in particular with regard to school traffic which already causes issues in the village, but also in the general increase of traffic going through the village.

I am very concerned as an Nhs worker in this area and as a user of services about the effect on medical services which already appear stretched to the limit.

One of my main concerns as a parent of 2 children at St Margaret's in Angmering is the impact on schools. Class sizes are already above average at St Margaret's and I do not believe the school could cope with the extra children such a development would attract.

I strongly feel this development should not be allowed to go ahead as it is not only inappropriate in scale by itself, but there is a great fear it will lead to other developments of a similar scale in the near vicinity. We have already had to absorb the Bramley Green development, which I believe has stretched all the village resources to their limit.

Lastly as a great lover of countryside and green spaces I feel it is very worrying to start building a development of this scale on a green site as it is setting a very dangerous precedent. SAVE OUR COUNTRYSIDE

Yours Sincerely

Sarah Collins
28 Beech View
Angmering
BN16 4DE

Julie Grieves

From: Carolyn Nysingh on behalf of Planning
Sent: 07 September 2012 14:02
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Barratts Planning application Ref A/82/12

Rep.

From: mavdav@talktalk.net [redacted]
Sent: 07 September 2012 13:50
To [redacted]
Subject: Barratts Planning application Ref A/82/12

Sir I object to Barratts Planning application Ref no A/82/12.
Having absorbed the growth increase theBramley Green development created,without promises fulfilled. We cannot allow further large scale development
which will damage our current inadequate services.
The list of problems that increase with further development are well known, Traffic, Schools, medical facilities, the list goes on.
This really must not be approved.
Mr D J Burchell 41 dell Drive Angmering BN164HE.