[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: 25 March 2014 04:35
To: Planning
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: Objection to revised planning application Barratts A/82/12 139 houses

I strongly object to the revised planning application Barratts A/82/12 for 139 houses for the following reasons;.

1. AGAINST ARUN'S POLICIES.
This application goes against Arun's Poilcy of using Brownfield sites before Greenfield sites and to avoid building outside the built up area.
2.HOUSING STOCK.
There is already a selection of new and existing housing in the Arun area which remains unsold. Derelict houses should be converted to Council living accommodation.
3. DOES NOT CONFORM WITH ADC-ENDORSED MASTERPLAN.
Which would return greater contributions towards infrastructure upgrades.Does not include a link road between Roundstone Lane and the A280.
4. DECISION TIMESCALE.
It would be totally unethical to allow this Planning Application to go through at a time when ADC and Angmering Draft Neighbourhood Plans have not been finalised.
5. MEDICAL FACILITIES.
These are already inadequate for existing residents at the moment one cannot get a Doctors appointment without waiting at least 1 week. Hospitals and Hospices are struggling to cope. No plans are in hand to improve this situation. Recently both my wife and myself have experienced such delays.
6. FLOODLIGHT/DROUGHT.
Angmering has experieced Flooding recently,concreting over Greenfields will reduce the surface area for rain water to soak away this would greatly increase the risk of further flooding to the area.
7.UNSUSTAINABLE INADEQUATE INFRASTRUCTURE AND SERVICES.
Lack of an adequate bus service, an inadequate water supply ,drainage and sewer system. The drainage system of Angmering is already overloaded. When new houses were built in Canada Drive they plumbed into the drains of The Dell which as today keep getting clogged and recently collapsed under pressure. With the present population in Angmering if an emergency occurs the Police have to travel here from Bognor so with more housing the strain would be unthinkable.
8.NEED.
No demonstrated need or requirement for the housing development in Angmering.
9. NO NEED.
For 580 houses per annum for the whole of Arun. This figure is largely in-migrants who will out-commute to work to pay for their high mortgages. This will put more pressure on Arun's already jammed roads.
10.NO LOCAL JOBS.

People buying these houses will not be Angmering people on local wages even if local jobs were created they would pay insufficient wages to pay for their expensive houses.

11.SITE.

Preference would be for small areas of new houses scattered throughout the village rather than large-scale development on one site East of Roundstone Lane.Fear of one development being the thin end of the wedge.

12.TRAFFIC / ROADS.

There are already long delays getting from Roundstone Lane onto the A259 which is single lane and a bottleneck. Narrow Village lanes,village through traffic (not the bypass) already exceed the speed limits, Pinch posts are dangerous. Inadequate parking in the village for shops and businesses. Heavy traffic causing damage to buildings in the conservation area.

13.SCHOOLS / SAFETY.

The Arundel Road is already heavily congested, there is a highway safety issue, no safe route to the school.The classes are already overcrowded. Village children who are not of the schools faith have to go to schools elsewhere because places are given to out of area children of the schools faith.Our junior schools are in the North of the village whereas the proposed housing development is in the South, this means that more cars to take the pupils to school. Angmering has already grown by 27% when Bramley Green (600 houses) was built. The pomised new school was never built.

14.TRAVEL.

Work commuters would increase the use of our already overcrowded roads causing (more emissions).This would not be in line with the Government Plans and train services. Angmering railway station car park is already full which causes drivers to park in Downsway which reduces the road to a single carriageway which is hazardous.

15.QUALITY OF LIFE.

Existing residents of Angmering rights to a reasonable quality of life will be compromised, which is against the Government Policy regarding sustainability and the quality of family life.

Gerry Cork