

From:
Nicola
Soong

Sent: 21 March 2014 09:09
To: Planning
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Planning application A/82/12 - OBJECTION
Importance: High

Dear Sir

I object to the revised planning application A/82/12 for 139 houses. My reasons for this objection remain exactly the same as my original objection to the original plans, and are listed below.

Yours faithfully
Nicola Soong

From: [REDACTED]
To: planning@arun.gov.uk
CC: [REDACTED]
Subject: Planning application A/82/12 - OBJECTION
Date: Sat, 22 Sep 2012 21:53:46 +0100

Dear Sir

Planning Application A/82/12
Barratts' 150 houses east of Roundstone Lane

I object to planning application A/82/12 for the following reasons:

- The development is not consistent with the draft neighbourhood plan and Arun policies. I also don't see a demonstrated requirement for this number of houses to be built in Angmering (it's clear the affordability of these houses isn't for local people on local wages) and I am very concerned this will be the start of a meca of large scale housing developments in Angmering, thus ruining it's character and village nature.

- It is clear and obvious that building on green field land is a bad option. Not only does this impact the environment, wildlife and my quality of life (and my children's) but it is clear that this will increase flood risk and drought risk, a known current problem.
- A major concern is traffic. I find it hard to believe another large scale housing development would even be considered given the current traffic issues in the Angmering area. On many occasions at peak times I have to queue down Water Lane back to the by-pass to enter the village. This will only get worse. The by-pass is close to being useless as it's not quicker for drivers to use the A259 single carriageways and hence they cut through our village. The pinchpoints are a nightmare with insensitve dangerous drivers keen to cut through the village in the quickest possible time with no regard for oncoming traffic. The centre of the village is extremely dangerous and congested as a result of this. Coupled with a of lack of pavement on the High Street in places forcing you to cross the road 2 times to walk into the centre, pedestrians have to play a game of Russian roulette with the cars. Weavers Hill has also become extremely dangerous due to the volume of cars.
- The location of the primary school versus the location of the housing developments obviously adds to this traffic concern. Whoever predicted only 15 children will arise from a 150 house development of this nature is clearly selecting the sample in a bias manner. It doesn't take a (bias) statistician to work that out, just knock on 150 doors in Bramley Green and count. The walk/drive to school is currently a dangerous nightmare. Let alone if more children go there. Classes are already over crowded - Angmering grew by 27% when Bramley Green was built. The promised new school was never built.
- The same over crowding can be seen at the doctors. If I need a 'routine' appointment which isn't a 'medical emergency' it usually takes 1.5 weeks to get an appointment. This is not acceptable.

I trust you will consider the above points when reviewing the application. I realise you may not live in Angmering but please try to put yourself in the shoes of the residents of Angmering and surrounding area and realise it's huge negative impacts. A large scale housing developement is not the answer to a small housing need in Angmering, which could be solved easily by small areas of new housing scattered throughout the village.

Yours faithfully

Nicola Soong

cc Angmering Parish Council
cc Nick Herbert MP
cc SAV (Save Angmering Village)