

[REDACTED]

From: Richard Tolhurst [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 March 2014 12:42
To: Planning

[REDACTED]
Subject: Planning application A/82/12 for the following reasons objection

Dear Sirs,

I objected to the original planning application A/82/12 on 18 September 2012. I note the amendment to this planning application reduces the proposed number of homes from the original 150 to 139. I reiterate my objection to this application.

The reduction in the proposed number of homes does not mitigate any of the infrastructure, requirement nor quality of life challenges that I mention below. The local roads infrastructure is inadequate to cope with the additional traffic in its current layout. Angmering village does not have adequate medical or school provision to support these additional residents, nor is there a recognised local need for such a scale of additional housing. The plans would still remove valuable Greenfield sites within Angmering.

I still object to this application.

Yours sincerely

Richard Tolhurst
Laynes Mead
West Drive
Angmering
BN16 4NL

[REDACTED]
Sent: 18 September 2012 11:16
To: planning@arun.gov.uk

[REDACTED]
Subject: Planning application A/82/12 for the following reasons objection

I am a local resident and I object to planning application A/82/12 for the following reasons.

This planning application for 150 new homes in Angmering is fundamentally flawed in concept and anyone living in or near Angmering would understand this. Particular issues are:

1. **Inadequate road infrastructure.** The routes through and beside Angmering are inadequate to carry any additional traffic. The A259 is regularly gridlocked during peak periods and over the weekends. The village roads have bizarre traffic calming measures designed to push traffic onto the northern bypass – they do not, but only add to the congestion. The egress of the housing development is either back into Angmering village through narrow lanes where 2 cars struggle to pass safely or onto the A259 via a small roundabout onto a single-carriageway on Roundstone Lane.

2. **Inadequate village infrastructure.** The village has absorbed the Bramley Green development without any increase in provision for medical or schooling services. A further 150 households has not be catered for and can only increase the pressure on these finite resources.
3. **Use of Greenfields.** The development will eat up more greenfield land. It is fairly obvious that there are brownfield sites in the wider locality that could be used in preference to this – certainly this is a declared local building strategy.
4. **Requirement.** There is no proven requirement for additional housing in Angmering. There are no new employment opportunities being provided, so any new residents must automatically become commuters. With unused or unsold housing stock elsewhere in the locality there is already existing provision for population expansion.
5. **Quality of Life.** There is an extremely high probability that my quality of life will suffer as a direct result of additional building as proposed. This will manifest itself through increased congestion and reduced access to local facilities and infrastructure.

I object to this planning application in the strongest terms. I see it as an opportunistic application driven by commercial greed and not through carefully thought through local drivers for expansion. I would ask that you take my views into account and put in place the necessary measures to ensure that this application is rejected.

Yours sincerely

Richard Tolhurst
Laynes Mead
West Drive
Angmering
BN16 4NL