[redacted]

From: Bryan Curtis [redacted]
Sent: 31 July 2013 18:24
To: Cian Cronin
Subject: A/74/13 Fargro application, Vineries.

CianCian

Many thanks for your kind help with the above and answering my nieghbours queries at the public meeting.

This is my personal response to the above which I would like considered alongside the others when you consider this application.

As the applicants acknowledge that this proposal is on land outside the built up area in the Arun Local Plan and I believe that this enough to reject it now. The proposal is a step to far and not an appropriate proposal for this site. I believe the proposal is out of scale, too large for the site, not in keeping with the environment and community and should be rejected forthwith. Should the Council and residents have wanted or needed this site to be developed it would been listed in the Local Plan. As it isn't it should be rejected now so that no more of the applicatnts money is wasted.

The impact on the village, residents, environment and National Park make the proposal inappropriate and out of place.

The main piece of evidence used to select the site in the first place is questionable and not impartial, and in my opinion not credible, being prepared by the Agent for the Applicant and known associate of the owner of the land. Whilst a good union for the owner and applicant it can hardly be deemed as an impartial study.

The Agent being aware of the Owners desire to sell and the Applicants desire for land at the lowest cost the end result isn't hard to predict. I would appreciate if possible that you undertake some careful scrutiny of the assumptions and outputs of this study as it is pivotal in the final outcome of this application. I do not believe that it is a credible piece of work so shouldn't be material for the application.

Best reagrds.

Bryan Curtis

Resident Northbrook Cottage, Poling Street.