




From: Linda Kane [REDACTED]
Sent: 16 May 2018 11:15
To: Planning
Subject: Planning application A/64/18/T

I am objecting to this application by Caffyns to fell two large mature copper beeches on their development site.

Where is the proof that these trees have been damaged and therefore only fit for removal? Although there are protective fences around them if they haven't been moved then they are not far enough away. In this case the tree officer of ADC has not been watching for this to happen. I have had experience of such fences being moved on a previous site.

It is obvious from the layout of the kerbing for the car park and slip road that there was no intention by the developers to keep the trees.

If as Audi state the trees are 'compromised' the trees should remain and be allowed to recover with correct treatment by an independant tree surgeon.

If replaced the trees should be replaced like for like and of a mature size.

It's particularly disturbing that this application is by a car manufacturer to allow them to sell even more cars to pollute our surroundings, when it is known that mature trees have such an important task on reducing said pollution.

Linda Kane
Angmering
BN16 4LZ
.

Sent from my Xperia X Compact on O2