


## Ronnie McGregor

---

**From:** Julie Grieves on behalf of Planning.Responses  
**Sent:** 22 February 2019 10:32  
**To:** Planning Scanning  
**Subject:** FW: A/4/19/HH & A/5/19/L



---

**From:** Martyn White  
**Sent:** 22 February 2019 09:07  
**To:** Planning.Responses  
**Cc:** Zac Denton  
**Subject:** A/4/19/HH & A/5/19/L

### A/4/19/HH & A/5/19/L

The proposal is for an extension to an existing garage. The garage is located within the grounds of 'Weavers' which is a grade II Listed Building. Weavers is itself a two storey flint faced cottage with red brick dressings and quoins. The windows are timber casement.

The garage is located to the very rear of the back garden and appears to be of modern construction, in the form of block work and faced with flint. It is an unusual shape, presumably orientated in order to facilitate easy vehicular access/egress. It is noted that there is an existing garage door which allows the interior of the garage structure to be screened.

The proposal to extend the garage therefore appears to affect modern material which is of no significance. Further, the proposal removes an unusual shaped structure in the grounds of the listed building which can be supported. The use of the existing flint is positive and should be provided so that it reflects the quality of the existing walling.

Of some concern is the proposal to leave the larger garage open to the lane. Such a proposal will leave any contents exposed to the lane, which could potentially be unsightly. Is there a reason why replacement doors have not been proposed?

If minded to approve such a scheme, materials should match existing, and all existing flint should be retained and re-used.

