

**VINE COTTAGE
HIGH STREET
ANGMERING
WEST SUSSEX
BN16 4AE**

[REDACTED]

The Enforcement Officer
Mr. P. Cleveland
Arun District Council
Arun Civic Centre
Littlehampton BN17-5LF

10/5/2014

re: Planning Application A/46/14/HH
on 2 Woodford Villas High Street Angmering

Dear Mr. Cleveland

Quoting from "Planning - A Guide for Householders"
DTHR publication "You will need to apply for planning
permission if you wish to erect... a wall... and... the wall would
be over 1 metre high and next to a highway used for
vehicles (page 17).

Also [A path cannot legally be diverted or closed
unless the council has made an order to divert or
close it to allow the development to go ahead.
The order must be advertised and anyone may
object. You must not obstruct the path until any
objections have been considered and the order
has been confirmed.]

(page 31)

I consider therefore that the stepped path in front
of 2 Woodford Villas having been completely filled in and

(2)

obliterated, and the erecting of brick wall as viewed from the highway is blatantly unlawful, and remains so. No order was advertised for the public to see - so no one could object in the formal way, before it happened.

The steps previously outside 2 Woodford Villas matched the steps opposite, leading from the public footpath to the highway - the two openings formed part of the character of this immediate area, opposite to each other.

I must point out that when "Angmering Villas" was built (further down the hill in High Street) there was obvious consideration to the appearance and character of the Conservation Area as far as the replacement wall was concerned. This wall fronts on to the highway, is principally of flint and is pleasing with the surrounding older buildings.

The bricks in front of 2 Woodford Villas do not continue the style of flint walling - and bricks are of a new look rather than aged. The inappropriate chicken ornament is completely unsuitable to the feel of the surrounding Conservation Area and should be removed.

I consider therefore that the whole brickwork and

(3)

infill should be taken away, and the stepped footpath should be reinstated. As it is, the illegal full height wall looks incongruous, and there is no way a legal wall of 1 metre height would look correct - so total reinstatement of the original must be enforced.

Retention of infill Brickwork to front boundary wall adjacent to the highway must be refused.

In good faith
Sincerely



Ref A/46 / 14 / HH