

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF
www.arun.gov.uk

From: Craig NOEL [REDACTED]
Sent: 30 May 2022 15:43
To: Anita Gardner <Anita.Gardner@arun.gov.uk>
Cc: Julie ODONOGHUE [REDACTED] Helen MCCARTHY
[REDACTED]
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] RE: A/45/22/PL - Rustington Golf Course, Rustington #

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. You should take extra care when clicking links or opening attachments - if you are unsure the content is safe contact the IT Helpdesk before clicking or opening.

Good afternoon Anita,

I am forwarding for your information correspondence between BDW's highways consultant (Nick Billingham of ALP) and Ian Gledhill at WSCC Highways.

ALP will be progressing the design in line with the departure process in order to make as much progress on this matter as possible.

Kind regards,

Craig

From: Ian Gledhill [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 May 2022 14:22
To: Nick Billingham [REDACTED]

Cc: NOEL Craig [REDACTED]

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: A/45/22/PL - Rustington Golf Course, Rustington

Hello Nick, thanks for the email and supporting information. I acknowledge the situation regarding the potential lack of any further re-consultation from Arun DC. I of course see the merit to trying to resolve the highway matters regardless of this particularly if there is the possibility of a planning appeal if the site is refused.

I think it's far to say that the main outstanding matter is the A259 Toucan crossing. I recognise the comment within your response that the site is not reliant on this crossing. I don't necessarily disagree although a scheme without the crossing would need to road safety audited. The crossing could provide a far more convenient arrangement for pedestrians travelling southwards to Rustington compared with using the crossing at the Rustington Retail Park. I'd hope you'd therefore agree that there is merit in exploring to its conclusion the possibility of installing the crossing.

In terms of progressing the crossing, ordinarily the design and any Departures from Standard should first be resolved, and then for the RSA problems to be considered. Problem 2.1 within the RSA refers to the location of the crossing though and this problem doesn't appear to be fully addressed within the RSA response. I would ask that this problem and the auditors recommendation be revisited, and if necessary the design adjusted before the DfS is applied for; my concern otherwise is that the DfS is signed off only for the design to then have to be revised to suit the RSA, triggering the need to assess the DfS again.

On the basis that problem 2.1 is addressed, the attached form would need to be completed for the DfS. The departure would be for the crossing to be situated on a high speed (where 85th percentiles are 50+mph) road. It would be for you to identify any other design departures based on the appropriate standards. Once received, the DfS will then be assessed. Please can you ensure all supporting information is included with the DfS submission (e.g. speed data).

The WSCC responses to the Stage 1 RSA problems will be finalised once the DfS process is complete. In the event that the DfS is refused and the crossing element removed, the Stage 1 RSA would need to be revised or undertaken again without the signalised crossing.

For the reasons stated in my last consultation response dated 14th April 2022, the 85th percentile speed data from the Friday AM survey should be disregarded and not included as part of any assessment.

Hopefully the procedure above is clear. I'm happy to advise further as necessary.

Kind regards

Ian Gledhill

Ian Gledhill BSc MCIHT | Principal Planner – County Highways (Development Management) - Planning Services, West Sussex County Council | Location: Ground Floor Northleigh, County Hall, Chichester, PO19 1RH

From: Nick Billingham [REDACTED]

Sent: 25 May 2022 14:31

To: Ian Gledhill [REDACTED]

Cc: NOEL Craig [REDACTED]
Subject: A/45/22/PL - Rustington Golf Course, Rustington

****EXTERNAL****

Hi Ian,

Further to our previous correspondence on this we have now prepared the attached Technical Response addressing the issues raised in the Consultation Comments. In particular the note includes, in Appendix B, a signed RSA and updated Designers Response, as requested.

It is understood that Arun may not choose to reconsult formally with yourselves prior to determining the application. Notwithstanding, given how the course of the application may then progress following determination, we feel it is beneficial for you to have such information and our current position to hand to aid discussions between ourselves as and when the next stage occurs.

Obviously if you would like to discuss any elements or wish for any further clarity, please do not hesitate to get in touch.

Regards
Nick

Mr Nick Billingham
Associate

Abley Letchford Partnership Ltd
Consulting Engineers

3 Tealgate, Charnham Park
Hungerford, RG17 0YT

www.alpce.co.uk



Registered in England no. 8905427
3 Tealgate, Charnham Park, Hungerford, Berkshire, RG17 0YT

This message is intended for the addressee only and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If this communication has been sent to you in error, please notify us immediately by telephone.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

LEGAL DISCLAIMER

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended solely for the persons addressed. If it has come to you in error please reply to advise us but you should not read it, copy it, show it to anyone else nor

make any other use of its content. West Sussex County Council takes steps to ensure emails and attachments are virus-free but you should carry out your own checks before opening any attachment.

This email is confidential and may contain legally privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient it may be unlawful for you to read, copy, distribute, disclose or otherwise make use of the information herein. If you have received this email in error please contact us immediately. Strutt & Parker will accept no liability for the mis-transmission, interference, or interception of any email and you are reminded that email is not a secure method of communication.

Strutt & Parker is a trading style of BNP Paribas Real Estate Advisory & Property Management UK Limited, a private limited company registered in England and Wales (with registered number 4176965) and whose registered office is at 5 Aldermanbury Square, London EC2V 7BP.

For further details of Strutt & Parker please visit our web site

<http://www.struttandparker.com>