

Simon Davis

From: Glenn Gasson <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 10 January 2022 14:32
To: Simon Davis
Cc: Shane Noone; Neil Drinkwater
Subject: RE: Planning Consultation on: A/255/21/DOC
Attachments: C1249_100_AB_Drainage Layout.pdf; Hydrobreak Invoice.pdf; Polystorm Invoice.pdf; 1727_SuDS Verification Statement_P1.pdf; Photo 1.jpg; Photo 2.jpg; Photo 3.jpg; Photo 4.jpg; Photo 5.jpg

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. You should take extra care when clicking links or opening attachments - if you are unsure the content is safe contact the IT Helpdesk before clicking or opening.

Good morning, Simon

Further to your email below please note the following in red:


Engineers Comments Regarding Condition 5

1. The as built drawings supplied are inaccurate. The extents of channel and threshold drains are not accurately depicted. Inspection chambers are also not consistent with what has been constructed on site. A full review of features constructed on site and drawings must be completed by the applicant. -- As Build Drainage Layout attached
2. The verification report has identified a number of residuals works that are required to be completed to the network. There is no confirmation or evidence supplied to show that these residual works have been completed. -- Please find attached photos of the residual works completed
3. Copies of delivery notes need to be supplied, to evidence appropriate materials have been installed. -- Copies of Hydro break & Polystorm invoices attached
4. When revised information is supplied, we would appreciate a colour document also being made available to us to enable a better review of photos. -- Colour copy of the SuDS Verification Statement attached

Can you review the above/attached and let me have any comments at your very earliest convenience, thank you?

Kind regards

Glenn Gasson
Technical Manager



Woodmans Arms, Hammerpot, Angmering, West Sussex, BN16 4EU

Website: www.gdhomes.co.uk