

From: Anita Gardner
Sent: 06 September 2018 15:22
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: A/23/18/DOC - Broadlees, Dappers Lane, Angmering

Please treat as a consultee response

Anita Gardner | Principal Planner, Directorate of Place, Arun District Council | Location: First Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF

Internal: 37529 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737529 | E-mail: anita.gardner@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Mark Warwick
Sent: 05 September 2018 17:12
To: Anita Gardner
Subject: A/23/18/DOC - Broadlees, Dappers Lane, Angmering

Hi Anita

I am sorry I have been unable to submit a formal consultation response for your consideration today.

It may be helpful to provide Anna Gillings with a list of omissions and outstanding concerns, for them to address shortly. On receipt of photographic evidence I ought then to be in a position to write up a final report with a recommendation to discharge the condition.

1. There is a gap in the fencing (mesh panel required) which permits access to trees, at least one of which appears to be the subject of an Order (TPO). This needs to be secured so as to provide an effective Construction Exclusion Zone and remove potential for soil contamination/disturbance.
2. Ground Protection as shown in Plan 3A is absent at the NW corner of the site. Again, there are trees of value here at least one of which appears to be the subject of an Order (TPO). This needs to be in place before I am comfortable that any demolition work can proceed.

It should not be at all difficult for the applicant to put these outstanding measures in place promptly. It is unfortunate that they have not managed to 'complete the job' as the fencing framework is of a high standard and represents the most challenging element of the tree protection they are required to install.

On my return from leave (September 17th) we will hopefully be in receipt of adequate photographic evidence that demonstrates the above measures have been taken. It is especially important that evidence of item 2 having been met is both comprehensive and contextual, if I am going to be able to recommend discharging this condition in good time and not have to return to site for a third time. It would be our fourth visit in response to this application!

They are almost there, but presently it falls short of providing adequate protection for the most significant trees retained on site.

Kind regards,

Mark

