

-----Original Message-----

From: Terry Linkhorn [REDACTED]

Sent: 29 March 2018 18:48

To: Anita Gardner

Subject: A/212/17/CLP

Dear Mrs Gardner,
Further to the applicants recent letter.

I believe there are sufficient ambiguities and a lack of clarity in the applicant's information supplied so far as not to grant the CLP.

The numerous plans submitted by the applicant vary in size and detail but in any case the plots outlined in red on the initial application are far larger than the original plans support.

The land registry plan SX 45961 for Ashurst clearly show the diminishing size of the land in question as it goes Northwood and the dimensions of the plot of 115' width in its deeds.
(was this part of plot seven or simply the sale of garden land by HMGC as has occurred recently)

The original consents of 49/57 called for layout plans as a condition of the consent. There are no layout plans for the land at nine in the 57 file.

If you conclude that consent was granted for plots 7/8/9, this consent has already been invalidated since plot 7 no longer exists.

The Land Registry Plan SX55065 (you have a copy) Shows land edged in red as part of the golf course.
It confirms ownership and registration to HMGC in 1935.
This part of the golf course is protected by your green space policy.

I have found no evidence in the 57 file to support the inclusion of the land at the ninth in that consent.

I have been advised that
the legal decision to grant a certificate cannot be based on the balance of probabilities, it must be based on
absolute certainty.

I would request again that you reject this Certificate as absolute certainty cannot be substantiated.

Yours Sincerely Terry Linkhorn.

HMGC

Sent from my iPad